



Private Equity

in 33 jurisdictions worldwide

Contributing editor: Casey Cogut

2010



Published by
Getting The Deal Through
in association with:

- Advokatfirmaet Steenstrup Storange DA
- Advokatfirman Delphi
- Appleby
- Bowman Gilfillan
- Broseta Abogados
- Carey Olsen
- CHSH Cerha Hempel Spiegelfeld Hlawati
- Esin Law Firm
- Gowling Lafleur Henderson LLP
- Hamelink & Van den Tooren NV
- HJM Asia Law & Co LLC
- Homburger AG
- Jones Day
- Kennedy Van der Laan NV
- Kromann Reumert
- Latournerie Wolfrom & Associés
- Lee & Ko
- Lepik & Luhaäär LAWIN
- Loyens & Loeff Luxembourg
- Lydian Lawyers
- Mundie e Advogados
- Ori Rosen & Co, Law Offices
- P+P Pöllath + Partners
- Proskauer Rose LLP
- Salomon Partners
- Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP
- Slaughter and May
- Stoica & Asociații
- Varul Vilgerts Smaliukas
- Wiesner & Asociados Ltda Abogados
- WongPartnership LLP
- Yangming Partners

Russia

Anton Klyachin and Igor Kuznets

Salomon Partners

1 Types of private equity transactions

What different types of private equity transactions occur in your jurisdiction?

Although there have been appropriate reforms in corporate governance, there is still a lack of special regulation aimed at private equity transactions and investments generally. Effective laws regulating investments are outdated and practically of no use, and even the effective legal definition of a private equity transaction is far from the accepted standard. Court rulings and business customs, which could close the gap in legislation related to private equity, are not recognised as sources of law in Russia. Business customs, although they are recognised as a source of law, also cannot close such a significant gap in legislation. This makes it harder to implement deals that have complex structures, limiting the vast majority of investors to the simplest types of private equity transactions.

Consequently, the most widespread private equity transactions in Russia are acquisitions of controlling or blocking shares (or participation interests, depending on the form of the company) to achieve control over the target company and secure its development, and direct asset acquisition, when the object of investment is not a company itself, but some of its valuable assets or real estate. Other types of equity transactions such as leveraged buyouts, management buyouts, etc, are also available and performed from time to time; however, the number of such complex transactions is still insignificant, and in 2009 there were no such complex transactions involving significant amounts of capital.

2 Corporate governance rules

What are the implications of corporate governance rules for private equity transactions? Are there any advantages to going private in leveraged buyout or similar transactions? What are the effects of corporate governance rules on companies that, following a private equity transaction, remain or become public companies?

Owing to a lack of special regulation, investors follow the same general corporate governance rules while making private equity transactions as all other business entities operating in Russia, with no special preferences for any type of private equity transactions. All advantages and disadvantages of each type of private equity transaction therefore arise from general legislation regulating companies, the stock market, competition, currency control, etc. Regarding the two main types of transactions that have become common in Russia, this means that the acquisition of shares, participatory interests and assets of Russian companies by a private investor is subject to the same governance as any other respective acquisition; and since the law grants foreign individuals and companies the same legal status as Russian ones, foreign private investors have almost the same rights as local private investors (excluding several limitations mentioned below).

It is important to note that current Russian legislation does not formally divide public and private companies, although considering the latest amendments to the Civil Code of the Russian Federation

and to the Federal law 'On limited liability companies' it may be concluded that legislators are moving in this direction. Presently, a joint-stock company that has performed a public offer of shares is understood as a public company. Corporate governance of such public companies does not substantially differ from the governance of private joint-stock companies whose shares are not publicly traded.

3 Issues facing public company boards

What are the issues facing boards of directors of public companies considering entering into a going-private or private equity transaction? What is the role of a special committee in such a transaction where members of the board are participating or have an interest in the transaction?

The management structure of Russian companies differs from Anglo-American standards. The role of the board of directors in a Russian public company is less important, while shareholders tend to control the company's activities, including by means of holding of controlling or blocking shareholdings. Thus boards of directors of public companies are not competent to make any important resolutions regarding going-private and private equity transactions; their role is to determine tactics of the company, provide the shareholders with certain recommendations (for example, if the company receives a voluntary or mandatory offer from one of the shareholders to buy out all other shares) and make necessary disclosures connected with private equity transactions when required by law. In several cases, individual members of the board may participate in transactions directly or have an interest in a transaction, although in these cases the law does not provide for the organisation of any special committee. Provisions regulating related-party transactions, however, shall become applicable, and in accordance with explanations provided by the Supreme Arbitration Court of the Russian Federation, these provisions shall be applicable both in cases where members of the board act as parties of such transactions and as beneficiaries of such transactions.

4 Disclosure issues

Are there heightened disclosure issues in connection with going-private transactions or other private equity transactions?

Due to the order of the state Federal Service for Financial Markets (FSFM) related to the disclosure of information by public companies, a company becomes obliged to disclose certain information that may significantly affect the price of a company's issued securities. Such information includes, for example, any acquisition by one shareholder of 5, 10, 15 per cent and so on up to 75 per cent of shares of the company. Although there are no specific disclosure requirements connected with going-private transactions or other private equity transactions, the deal would most probably lead to necessary relevant disclosure, if it involves a listed company.

Another potentially necessary disclosure is to antimonopoly bodies, which is required if a transaction involving the purchase of shares

or assets exceeds certain thresholds provided by antimonopoly bodies in normative acts regulating limitation of monopoly activities. In this case, the transacting parties shall, depending on the value of the deal and the assets of the parties involved, either inform the competent authorities of the deal, or apply for the permit to enter into the deal, and within the framework of such notification or application, the purchaser will have to disclose certain information regarding both the target company and itself.

5 Timing considerations

What are the timing considerations for a going-private or other private equity transaction?

Russian legislation does not provide any limitations or requirements in respect of timing of a private equity transaction, so the parties are free to take as much time as they think necessary. As in other jurisdictions, the most time-consuming matters are adjustment of transfer conditions between the parties and complex due diligence of the target company or assets by the purchaser. Sometimes the companies involved in the transaction perform one or several corporate actions, approve the transaction, etc. If the transaction involves large companies, prior permission from antimonopoly bodies may be required, and this inevitably takes at least another one-and-a-half to two months. If the transaction involves the transfer of real estate located in Russia, or if participatory interest in a Russian LLC is purchased and foundation documents of such LLC are amended, it will be necessary to apply for state registration of the results of the transaction, which might also prove time consuming. Usually, therefore, private equity transactions take several months from the beginning to the closing of the deal.

6 Purchase agreements

What purchase agreement issues are specific to private equity transactions?

There are some important issues to be noted in respect of share or asset purchase agreements according to Russian law. Generally, this instrument has very limited use, since it is almost impossible to include in the agreement any conditions other than those directly related to sale and purchase, namely, transfer and payment for shares or assets. For example, representations and warranties as they are known in common law are not accepted in Russia, and the courts would most likely acknowledge this part of agreement as void. This results in many investors seeking mechanisms allowing them to regulate the transaction under foreign law. Although it is theoretically possible to include some provisions regarding further financing in the purchase agreement, the parties to the private equity transaction usually have additional and detailed shareholders' agreements, often also regulated by foreign law. The purchase agreement basically contains provisions defining the subject matter of the agreement, price of the agreement (consideration), mutual rights and obligations of the parties (including completion mechanics) and an arbitration clause if the parties to the agreement wish to submit their disputes to arbitration. If the parties use a foreign vehicle for the transaction and conclude a purchase agreement in respect of such vehicle governed by foreign law, this agreement may include any provisions permitted by the applicable law and relevant to the essence of the transaction.

7 Participation of target company's management

How can management of the target company participate in a going-private transaction? What are the principal executive compensation issues?

Classical management buyouts are quite rare in Russia. They mostly take place when rather small companies are going private, especially research and development companies, where the company's management consists of the founders of the company. However, the man-

agement of larger companies may still participate in going-private transactions in several ways, including bonuses, stock options and profit-sharing schemes. For example, a large Russian telecoms operator was purchased by a strategic investor jointly with the management of the company, which had received from the former sole shareholder an option to purchase the whole equity stock in the company. Such cases are not common, but do occur. Generally, practice shows that investors are interested in the preservation and motivation of competent management of a promising company; such motivation may include different means such as stock options, including phantom stock options, or bonuses connected to certain times, achievement of financial results, etc.

8 Tax issues

What are the basic tax issues involved in private equity transactions? Can share acquisitions be classified as asset acquisitions for tax purposes?

Since the modern Russian tax system is relatively young and undeveloped, the parties to a private equity transaction may face some difficulties when implementing complex structures for the transaction, connected not only to present and future taxation, but also to historic tax risks of the target companies, which could have implemented tax schemes in order to avoid paying VAT, profit tax and payroll taxes. VAT and withholding tax issues often require complex solutions, often with the participation of local consultants and auditors.

Currently, there are not many options for the parties to private equity transactions, but at the same time the tax system is constantly developing and there have been several attempts by the legislator to provide advantages to investors, for example recent exemptions for dividends on strategic investments. Applicable taxes and tax issues differ slightly depending on the type of deal (acquisition of shares or assets); therefore, the methods of tax optimisation and decreasing tax risks differ. In a share transaction the parties may decide to bring the transaction to a foreign jurisdiction, often offshore. This may provide the possibility not only to enjoy the advantages of a shareholders' agreement governed in accordance with western legal principles, but also to decrease taxation connected directly with the transaction, primarily profit tax, and to further use Russia's network of double tax treaties. In an asset deal, it is not possible to use an offshore deal structure, so the overall taxation shall be comparatively higher, include 18 per cent VAT and 24 per cent profit tax for the seller. However, to escape historic tax risks of the old company, the asset deal may be preferable.

All monetary income received by individuals, including executives' compensations, is subject to individual income tax, which is currently at a flat rate of 13 per cent.

Since the wording of the Russian Tax Code is often vague, which enables the tax bodies to impose sometimes doubtful claims, the Tax Code itself is constantly changing and target companies in Russia (especially those with a long history) shall most likely have significant tax risks, it is strongly recommended to perform a detailed tax analysis in respect of each private equity transaction.

9 Existing indebtedness

What issues are raised by existing indebtedness at a potential target of a private equity transaction? How can these issues be resolved?

Existing indebtedness of a target company generally does not restrict or limit the possibility of a private equity transaction involving its shares or assets. However, it is something that the parties to the transaction must be aware of. Existing indebtedness is usually handled in two ways, depending on its nature. Third-party debts (commercial indebtedness to suppliers and financial indebtedness to banks and other lenders) usually remain after a private equity transaction until they are repaid by the target company, as they are considered as a part of the enterprise value of the target company. In the case of

indebtedness to selling shareholders, such debt, usually upon agreement of the parties to the transaction, shall be assigned by the old shareholder to a new one or otherwise redeemed before the completion of the transaction.

Indebtedness of a target company may be secured with various securities, including a pledge, a guarantee, etc. The purchaser shall be aware of such security and may demand implementation of the respective provisions related to the security or its cancellation in the text of relevant agreements.

10 Debt-financing structures

What types of debt are used to finance going-private or private equity transactions? Do margin loan restrictions affect the debt-financing structure of these transactions?

Russian legislation recognises only two types of debt – credit provided by banking institutions and loans provided by any company or other entity. There may, therefore, be separate debt financing using banking credits and debt financing using loans, although both types would have very similar consequences for the target company. The concept of a margin loan or common business practice as a separate type of debt are not known in Russian law; therefore, no specific restrictions are applicable to such arrangements.

11 Debt- and equity-financing provisions

What provisions relating to debt- and equity financing are typically found in a going-private transaction? What other documents set out the expected financing?

A typical private equity transaction in Russia combines debt and equity financing, where debt usually precedes equity. Debt financing is usually provided through loan or credit agreements. Equity financing is possible through the initial subscription of the investor for the issued shares of the target company, and also through the purchase of shares from the other shareholder. If the target company is a Russian-based LLC, the law also provides its shareholder with a possibility to invest funds directly into the company's assets without further compensation, which sometimes proves to be a rather useful financial instrument. A new possibility introduced in 2009 is a convertible debt arrangement, which can now be structured through the creation of a pledge over shares or participatory interests, and is converted directly into the equity at some point in time; such structure was not available before. However, since the possibility of a convertible debt arrangement is relatively new and investment activities have been rather low lately, this instrument has not yet become part of widespread practice.

12 Fraudulent conveyance issues

Do private equity transactions involving leverage raise 'fraudulent conveyance' issues? How are these issues typically handled in a going-private transaction?

Apart from the bankruptcy context, the concept of 'fraudulent conveyance' is not recognised by Russian law. However, to protect the company and its creditors throughout a private equity transaction, especially connected with the change of shareholders in the target company, the parties usually perform actions aimed to increase control over the target company's activities throughout the deal. This may include limitation of powers of executive bodies to the lowest level possible and economically reasonable scope and close control over the company's operations and bank accounts.

13 Shareholders' agreements

What are the key provisions in shareholders' agreements covering minority investments or investments made by two or more private equity firms?

One of the most noticeable problems that private equity firms face in Russia is the current practical unavailability of comprehensive shareholders' agreements regulated by Russian law.

The necessity of legal regulation of shareholders' agreements as comprehensive binding documents concluded between the shareholders in forms currently used in foreign countries has been evident for a long time and noticed by many domestic lawyers. However, a law that will govern all the basic aspects of shareholders' agreements exists only as a bill at present and has yet to be enacted.

Although recent amendments to the Federal law 'On limited liability companies' and 'On joint-stock companies' have made available agreements between participants or shareholders regarding the use of participants' or shareholders' rights. Such agreements are similar in many aspects to shareholders' agreements as they are understood internationally. In such agreements, the parties still may agree on a limited range of matters related to the exercise of several rights of the participants or shareholders, including principally voting upon certain matters of a target company, on general meetings and on the conditions of sale of participatory interests and shares in the company.

Provisions of such agreements shall comply with mandatory provisions of the respective law. Since the possibility of a participants' and shareholders' agreement appeared recently, legal regulation of such agreements is apparently insufficient and, due to limited possibilities provided to the parties by the said agreements, conclusion of such agreements has not yet become a widespread practice for investors in Russia, with most of them still relying on common shareholders' agreements regulated by foreign law and concluded in respect of special purpose vehicles.

Currently, the parties to a private equity transaction, even if both parties are Russian, are in most cases forced to bring their deal outside Russian borders by using special purpose vehicles (usually offshore) in order to execute a comprehensive and effective shareholders' agreement which would set out the obligations of the parties in respect of further financing and operation of the target company, as well as mutual representations and warranties, and to submit this agreement to the foreign law, usually one of the common law systems. This situation, combined with tax optimisation considerations, are the main reasons leading to a major part of private equity transactions targeted at Russian companies being concluded abroad. Therefore, to structure the deal and to execute a valid shareholders' agreement, the parties often engage foreign advisers specialised in such matters, and it usually means that the key provisions of shareholders' agreements intended to operate a target company in Russia are in all essential aspects the same as in shareholders' agreements concluded all over the world.

14 Limitations on transaction size

Do private equity firms have limitations on the size of transactions they may engage in?

Russian law does not limit the size of transactions of private equity firms. However, depending on the size, certain obligations may arise for such companies, related to antimonopoly and similar provisions. These obligations may include disclosure of certain information in respect of the transaction and receiving permission of antimonopoly bodies to effect a transaction (which cannot be withheld unless the transaction violates antimonopoly regulations and limits competition). Regarding Russian-based mutual investment funds and incorporated investment funds, there are limitations on the maximum part of assets that may be invested in instruments issued by the same issuer and similar limitations, but they refer to proportions of assets of the

Update and trends

The continuing financial crisis has led to a dramatic decrease of investment activities throughout 2009, both by foreign and domestic investors. The large state programme of support of private equity and venture capital sectors initiated in the end of 2008 could not completely compensate the decrease. However, this programme managed to support the investment market and in 2009 funds that received support from the state were basically the only active investors on the market. The state has developed a substantial body of new legislation on the matter, which mainly relates to the order of getting access to state funds. We expect this legislation to be further refined and expanded by inclusion of norms regulating state control over the investments, etc.

In the near future there may be a shift regarding what are considered to be the most attractive investment targets. Energy and mining of mineral resources may prove to be a valuable area

for investment, while the processing industry will stay generally unattractive for the private investor. Investment into the consumer market and infrastructure will probably be popular due to the vast potential and underdevelopment of those areas; however, investment in those areas is unlikely to occur until the end of the crisis.

Russian corporate law is also undergoing substantial changes aimed at providing more flexibility to private companies' governance rules, which may attract investors and decrease their willingness to use foreign law for their transactions. We do not expect, however, a quick shift in Russian law governing documentation among investors, since the law should be first tested in the courts. Considering the general conservatism of the Russian court system, we believe English law will, for the time being, remain dominant on the Russian private equity scene.

said funds and not directly to the size of the transactions they may engage in.

15 Exit strategies and investment horizons

How do the exit strategies and investment horizons of private equity firms affect the structuring and negotiation of leveraged buyout transactions?

As noted above, leveraged buyout transactions are not common in Russian investment practice. There have been solitary examples of leveraged buyout transactions and it is impossible to estimate the influence of the exit strategies and investment horizons of private equity firms on the structuring of leveraged buyout transactions. As for exit strategies of investors operating in Russia in general, the main strategy in the past and in the foreseeable future, is a sale to a strategic investor or to the state (including state banks or state-owned companies). IPOs were not common practice until 2007 to 2008, and almost no IPOs of Russian companies took place in 2009 due to the global financial credit crunch. However IPOs are still a possible exit strategy.

16 Principal accounting considerations

What are some of the principal accounting considerations for private equity transactions?

The principal consideration is to be very cautious when dealing with accounting information. IFRS is not widespread in Russian companies and official financial statements shall be provided in the form set by Russian accounting standards. This is the reason why it is sometimes hard for an investor, especially a foreign one, to receive accurate information on the financial condition of the target company. There may be two solutions to this problem, which complement each other. The first is to demand accounting information composed in accordance with IFRS from the target company or seller. This may take additional time and delay the transaction, but it is, in our view, a fair exchange for accurate and proper information. The second way is to engage experienced local advisers who can estimate the accuracy of the provided information and possible financial risks.

17 Target companies and industries

What types of companies or industries have typically been the targets of going-private transactions? Has there been any change in focus in recent years? Do industry-specific regulatory schemes limit the potential targets of private equity firms?

Traditionally, the scope of investment activities in Russia was more or less limited to real estate, retail and production of consumer goods as the most profitable spheres. Recently, other spheres such as IT and telecommunications have also begun to provide vast opportuni-

ties for investors operating in Russia, while retail, real estate and consumer goods have kept their role as the leading investment areas. However, in 2009 the focus has significantly changed. The debt-ridden real estate and retail businesses are out of favour. Investors are looking mostly for infrastructure projects, the high-tech sector, energy and high-margin natural resources companies, such as those providing timber, oil and gas, precious metals and stones or even peat. Some sections of the real estate market still attract investors, such as the hotel business.

In accordance with the Federal Law of the Russian Federation 'On order of investments in business companies having strategic importance for country defence and state safety', the participation of foreign investors (and investment groups that include foreign entities) in companies acting in several spheres of industry is limited. Among these areas are aviation equipment development and production and TV and radio broadcasting. Participation of a foreign investor in a company with a dominant position on the market and included in a special register of dominant companies is also limited. The Land Code of the Russian Federation provides limitations on foreign entities' ownership of agricultural land. As for other sectors and companies, there are no legal limitations on the participation of foreign private investors. There are also a number of businesses available for investors, both domestic and foreign, only on the basis of prior obtainment of a special permission (licence). The list of such activities is provided by the Federal law 'On licensing of certain activities' and includes more than one hundred types of activities, including production and use of aviation, weapons, chemicals, medical equipment and goods, etc.

18 Cross-border transactions

What are the issues unique to structuring and financing a cross-border going-private or private equity transaction?

As noted above, due to lack of regulation and the cautious position of the Russian courts in respect to shareholders' agreements, in practice most significant private equity transactions are indeed cross-border. This fact, in combination with the absence of special regulation of private equity transactions, causes the parties to combine within the framework of the single transaction the laws of several jurisdictions, including general rules and more specific rules covering activities of the parties to the transaction and the target company. All issues related to international treaties, double taxation and dispute resolution shall be closely examined and coordinated by the parties. A positive aspect is that, unlike in the past, currency control has become unnecessary owing to general liberalisation of the currency legislation, so currency control shall not notably affect private equity transactions.

19 Club and group deals

What are the special considerations when more than one private equity firm (or one or more private equity firms and a strategic partner) is participating in a club or group deal?

Since Russian law does not provide special regulation for private equity transactions as a concept, it does not provide any special considerations related to club or group deals. Such deals are treated like all other private equity transactions. Since the agreement between shareholders is inevitable, such transactions shall most likely involve foreign law with all subsequent issues arising on account of the cross-border nature of such transactions.

20 Recent credit market disruptions

How have disruptions in the credit markets affected dealmaking? What specific changes to transaction terms have you seen and do you expect in the future?

Owing to continuing disruptions, private investors have become very prudent in respect of new investment projects and transactions and their primary concern is not to lose large sums. Current deal making is concentrated in two main directions – investment of monies provided by the state through venture, nanotech and other funds; and investments in distressed assets where the price is sometimes much lower than the asset value. The second direction may turn out to be profitable in the long term; however, investments in distressed assets have significant risks and make demands of the investor and its team. Precise and elaborate steps are necessary to keep the assets from dilution and to convince creditors not to initiate insolvency procedures for a substantial time. Therefore, the current trend in the market can be characterised as the ‘rule of creditor’.



Anton Klyachin

anton.klyachin@salomons.com

Skatertny lane 8/1, 3rd floor
123995 Moscow
Russia

Tel: +7 495 691 1184
Fax: +7 495 691 1508
www.salomons.com

GETTING THE DEAL THROUGH

Annual volumes published on:

Air Transport
Anti-Corruption Regulation
Arbitration
Banking Regulation
Cartel Regulation
Climate Regulation
Construction
Copyright
Corporate Governance
Dispute Resolution
Dominance
e-Commerce
Electricity Regulation
Environment
Franchise
Gas Regulation
Insurance & Reinsurance
Intellectual Property & Antitrust
Labour & Employment
Licensing
Life Sciences
Merger Control
Mergers & Acquisitions
Mining
Oil Regulation
Patents
Pharmaceutical Antitrust
Private Antitrust Litigation
Private Equity
Product Liability
Product Recall
Project Finance
Public Procurement
Real Estate
Restructuring & Insolvency
Securities Finance
Shipping
Tax on Inbound Investment
Telecoms and Media
Trademarks
Vertical Agreements

**For more information or to
purchase books, please visit:
www.gettingthedealthrough.com**



Strategic research partners of
the ABA International section



THE QUEEN'S AWARDS
FOR ENTERPRISE
2006



The Official Research Partner of
the International Bar Association