

A Winthrop & Weinstine blog dedicated to bridging the gap between legal & marketing types.

GeeWhiz: Another Edition of Trademark AlphaWatch

Posted on September 27, 2010 by Steve Baird

In this edition of <u>AlphaWatch</u>, it appears another major brand owner is flirting with truncation and wants to be g too (of course, <u>not to be confused with G2 or even G for that matter</u>), despite the fact that the products associated with each brand might be considered complementary (assuming you're looking to break a sweat):

So, guess who appears to be working on developing their own family or series of lil' g marks (of course, not to be confused with another's <u>G Series</u>)? Visual answer below the jump:



So far, no federal trademark applications appear to be on file, so how serious can they b with g?



These are the only alpha marks I could find on the USPTO database for Gap, so there appears to b, let's say, a serious gap in their trademark coverage:



Hat tip to Nancy Friedman of Fritinancy Blog.

So, what do you think about this recent branding truncation strategy for Gap's new fitness line for women, will it be a successful business move?

And, from a legal perspective, is Gatorade likely to object? If so, would they have a leg to stand on?

