

January 14, 2010

CFTC Proposes Position Limits for Major Energy Commodities

Today, the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC), in a fairly unprecedented public hearing, approved publication of a proposed rule to set position limits for futures and option contracts in the major energy markets. The proposal would cover four energy commodity contracts: (1) the NYMEX Henry Hub natural gas contract; (2) the NYMEX Light Sweet crude oil contract; (3) the NYMEX New York Harbor No. 2 heating oil contract; and (4) the NYMEX New York Harbor gasoline blendstock (RBOB) contract. The rule would also apply to any other contract that is exclusively or partially based on the referenced contracts' commodities and is deliverable at locations specified in the proposed regulations. Currently, these products are traded on two CFTC-regulated exchanges: (1) the New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX) and (2) the Intercontinental Exchange (ICE).

As indicated in the CFTC's [fact sheet](#) and [Q&A](#) regarding the proposed rulemaking, the proposal seeks to build upon the CFTC's experience in setting position limits for specified agricultural products. Another important aspect of the proposed rulemaking is the proposed exemptions for bona fide hedging and a new limited risk management exemption for swap dealers, in lieu of an exemption for a bona fide hedging transaction. According to the CFTC Q&A, the proposed rulemaking, if finalized, would likely affect approximately ten large traders. These large traders could seek and may qualify for exemptions.

The proposed rule would *not* limit trading in the over-the-counter (OTC) market and this was a factor in Commissioner Sommers' decision to dissent from approval of the proposed rulemaking. Other factors cited by Commissioner Sommers include the current consideration in Congress of legislation that would specifically authorize the CFTC to establish position limits for both the regulated futures markets and the OTC market, and her view that the proposed rule's impact on large, passive investors has not been adequately analyzed nor has the risk posed by such large investors been clearly demonstrated. Commissioner Dunn, while approving publication of the proposed rule, voiced "serious reservations" about the proposal and indicated that his vote should not be interpreted as his agreement with the proposal. Commissioner O'Malia and Commissioner Dunn expressed concern that imposing limits on the regulated futures markets without also regulating the OTC market would be an uncoordinated effort that is not likely to achieve the goal of curbing speculation or avoiding manipulation in the futures markets.

Given the three Commissioners' skepticism, much remains to be seen as to how the final rule will look. When a final rule would be issued is also uncertain. In addition to the announced public comment period, it was suggested during the hearing that the evaluation period could extend over a lengthy period of time. Additionally, Congress is looking at the same issue contemporaneously, and the legislative process can be anticipated to have an impact on the issuance of a final rule.

The following is a link to the text of the CFTC's proposed rule on position limits: http://www.futuresindustry.org/files/Federal_Speculative_Position_Limits_for_Referenced_Energy_Contract_and_Associated_Regulations_011410.pdf. There will be a 90-day public comment period commencing on the day the proposed rulemaking is published in the Federal Register.



If you have any questions regarding this Legal Alert, please feel free to contact any of the attorneys listed below or the Sutherland attorney with whom you regularly work.

© 2010 Sutherland Asbill & Brennan LLP. All Rights Reserved.

This communication is for general informational purposes only and is not intended to constitute legal advice or a recommended course of action in any given situation. This communication is not intended to be, and should not be, relied upon by the recipient in making decisions of a legal nature with respect to the issues discussed herein. The recipient is encouraged to consult independent counsel before making any decisions or taking any action concerning the matters in this communication. This communication does not create an attorney-client relationship between Sutherland and the recipient.

SUTHERLAND

James M. Cain	202.383.0180	james.cain@sutherland.com
Paul B. Turner	713.470.6105	paul.turner@sutherland.com
Peter H. Rodgers	202.383.0883	peter.rodgers@sutherland.com
Warren N. Davis	202.383.0133	warren.davis@sutherland.com
William H. Hope II	404.853.8103	william.hope@sutherland.com
Robin J. Powers	212.389.5067	robin.powers@sutherland.com
Doyle Campbell	212.389.5073	doyle.campbell@sutherland.com
Richard E. Grant	202.383.0909	richard.grant@sutherland.com
Meltem F. Kodaman	202.383.0674	meltem.kodaman@sutherland.com
Mark D. Sherrill	202.383.0360	mark.sherrill@sutherland.com