



Much discussion has centered around the de-certification aspect of the energy reporting requirements of the new LEED guidelines. However, as I have been [reading](#) and [commenting](#) on the proposed energy reporting requirements found in the latest LEED certification guidelines, and looking at the issue through the eyes of [Eeyore](#) (my favorite [A. A. Milne](#) character), I realize that my biggest issue with the reporting requirement is a broken window problem.

No, not the [Broken Window Fallacy](#) first set out by Mr. Bastiat years ago. The problem I am talking about is not the illustration of an economic theory, but a practical issue I see with the use of long term energy reporting.

Once this energy data is out there (and it will be because what's the point of building a [LEED](#) Platinum building just to have it de-certified?), owners and governmental entities will use it and make it a part of their contracts or regulations. It is at this point that broken windows become a problem.

In short, what happens if a kid breaks a window or someone leaves a door open? Despite training and lectures, humans make mistakes. Who's fault is it when such a problem (totally unrelated to design or construction) causes the building to fail to meet an energy reporting standard a year or more after construction?

I feel that these sorts of relatively straightforward issues must be dealt with if sustainability and "green" construction is to take hold. I also feel that [attorneys](#), architects and other construction professionals should work together to deal with them before the trouble occurs.

Image from [FreeFoto.com](#).

Please check out my [Construction Law Musings Blog](#) for more on Virginia construction law and other topics.