



Ifrah Law's Blog Wrap-Up, June 1-20

JUNE 22, 2011

This is the sixth of a regular series of posts that summarize and wrap up our latest thoughts that have appeared recently on Ifrah Law's blogs.

1. Perjury, Obstruction and Barry Bonds' Conviction

Read why we regard the Barry Bonds obstruction of justice verdict as troubling: It sets a bad precedent for the grand jury system and allows prosecutors to unfairly pin an obstruction of justice charge on a witness.

[Read the full post here on the Crime in the Suites blog.](#)

2. FTC Says These 'Free' Offers Were Anything But Free

In yet another salvo aimed at online marketers, the FTC goes after a Canadian company in the latest federal action targeting companies involved in what is known as the upsell industry. Our post looks at how the FTC wants ads to be worded.

[Read the full post here on the FTC Beat blog.](#)

3. Disqualification of AUSA in Scruggs Case Is Message to Prosecutors

In this case, the government may have imprisoned an innocent defendant for 14 months. The only remedy that took effect was the removal of a particular prosecutor. We wonder: Was that really enough?

[Read the full post here on the Crime in the Suites blog.](#)



4. FTC Tries to Stay One Step Ahead of Internet Fraud

In this interesting case of “location fraud,” the FTC calls out an Internet seller who allegedly misled British purchasers by claiming to be based in the U.K. and therefore supposedly subject to stringent U.K. consumer protection rules.

[Read the full post here on the FTC Beat blog.](#)

5. Online Poker Finds New Supporter on the Hill

An outspoken GOP conservative House member is also a poker player – and he has pledged to support legalization and to move it through a House committee.

[Read the full post here on the Crime in the Suites blog.](#)

6. Good-Faith Rule Applies to Document Destruction

A court rejects charges that in a civil case, DuPont was guilty of “spoliation,” or the intentional destruction of evidence. The court says the proper test for document destruction is one of reasonableness and good faith in the circumstances, and DuPont didn’t act in bad faith.

[Read the full post here on the Crime in the Suites blog.](#)

FTC Beat is authored by the [Ifrah Law Firm](#), a Washington DC-based law firm specializing in the defense of government investigations and litigation. Our client base spans many regulated industries, particularly e-business, e-commerce, government contracts, gaming and healthcare.

The commentary and cases included in this blog are contributed by Jeff Ifrah and firm associates Rachel Hirsch, Jeff Hamlin, Steven Eichorn and Sarah Coffey. We look forward to hearing your thoughts and comments!