



Buyers Up • Congress Watch • Critical Mass • Global Trade Watch • Health Research Group • Litigation Group
Joan Claybrook, President

President Bush Dis-Torts the Truth About Lawsuits' Impact on Health Care and the Economy

President Bush argues that weakening our legal system will strengthen the economy and improve access to health care. But his understanding of economics is faulty, and his factual assertions are incorrect. The quotes below are typical of statements made regularly by President Bush in campaign speeches. Each quote is followed by the true facts, as determined by government agencies or other authorities.

- **Lawsuits are “driving docs out of business.”** President Bush in Kutztown, PA July 9, 2004; <http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2004/07/20040709-5.html>

The facts: Doctors are not leaving states with high malpractice insurance premiums. Only two months before he made that comment, the *Allentown Morning Call* reported that the number of doctors in Pennsylvania had increased during the malpractice “crisis.”¹ The previous summer, the General Accounting Office reported that the volume of medical care delivered in Pennsylvania had increased during the crisis.² Statistics from state medical boards in every other so-called “crisis” state show the same—no doctors are being driven from practice.³

- **“All these junk lawsuits are running up the cost of medicine.”** President Bush in Greensboro, NC, July 25, 2002; <http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2002/07/20020725-5.html>

The facts: Malpractice costs are less than one percent of the nation’s health care expenditures. According to Department of Health and Human Services actuaries’ most recent report on growth in health care expenditures, in 2002 health care expenditures rose 9.3% to \$1.553 trillion.⁴ Expenditures on malpractice premiums reported to National Association of Insurance Commissioners that year were \$9.6 billion,⁵ making malpractice costs about .0062 of national health care expenditures. Malpractice costs rated only an eleven-word mention in the actuaries’ 13-page report.

- **“Docs and hospitals practice what’s called ‘defensive medicine’ in order to protect themselves in a court of law.”** President Bush, Albuquerque, NM, March 26, 2004; <http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2004/03/20040326-9.html>

The facts: Independent researchers reject the “defensive medicine” theory. The only study ever attaching a price tag to defensive medicine was one conducted by the Bush Administration’s own Mark McClellan.⁶ No other independent researcher has been able to replicate his findings. The existence of defensive medicine is crucial to the Bush Administration’s claim of high tort costs because the cost of malpractice insurance is relatively minor. Using McClellan’s article to

project \$25 billion in “defensive medicine” costs allows Bush to attach an artificially-inflated legal cost to the federal budget. But both the General Accounting Office⁷ and Congressional Budget Office⁸ have rejected such cost estimates.

- **“Too many frivolous lawsuits... are being filed against doctors”** Madison, MS
August 7, 2002; <http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2002/08/20020807-1.html>

The facts: So-called “frivolous” suits have little impact on health care costs. Doctors define as “frivolous” any lawsuit in which no payment is made to the victim. But they fail to mention to that nearly all of those claims are withdrawn voluntarily by patients and their lawyers,⁹ after thoroughly investigating the cause of the injury, usually at great expense to the lawyer. Cases that are taken to trial and rejected by a jury constitute only five percent of all claims.¹⁰ Only about 12 percent of malpractice premium dollars are spent defending claims that are closed without payment.¹¹ If attorneys *never* filed an unsuccessful suit, the savings would constitute less than one-tenth of one percent of national health expenditures.

- **“Lawsuits threaten to close the doors of too many small businesses and factories.”**
President Bush, Washington DC, July 21, 2004;
<http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2004/07/20040721-14.html>

The facts: A lawsuit can’t wipe out a business, unless the business depends upon unsafe or illegal activities to make a profit. Sometimes a business that is capable of earning a profit through lawful means will try to earn extra income by cutting corners. If court judgments awarded to those harmed by the unsafe or illegal practices exceed the value of the business (i.e., its plants, equipment, customer relationships, etc.) the company could be liquidated; but the plants and equipment are not scrapped, nor are innocent employees fired. For instance, Johns-Manville continues to manufacture non-asbestos insulation; its bankruptcy simply led to much of its stock being held in trust for people injured by asbestos.

- **“Industry estimates show that litigation is a \$200 billion a year burden on the U.S. economy.”** President Bush in Kansas City, MO September 4, 2003;
<http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2003/09/20030904-5.html>

The facts: The \$200 billion “lawsuit burden” figure has been repudiated by the Congressional Budget Office. The number was reached by adding up the total cost of liability insurance purchased in the United States, including administrative costs. But these costs would not disappear if there were no tort system. The costs of liability insurance represent the costs of injuries that would take place with or without a tort system, such as the estimated \$230 billion annual cost of automobile crashes.¹² \$174 billion of those costs are covered by auto insurance. \$93.4 billion of that is liability insurance and is thus included in the \$200 billion figure, while \$80.3 billion is first-party insurance that is not paid through the tort system. If that \$93.4 billion in liability insurance were replaced by first-party, no-fault insurance, the arbitrarily-defined “litigation burden” would drop by 40 percent, but the actual cost of automobile insurance Americans pay would remain the same, as would the overall cost of automobile injuries. The non-partisan CBO called the number “too large.” It explained that most of the payments “merely shift money from injurers to victims and thus are not true costs to society as a whole. In economic terms, payments that do not involve any use of resources to produce goods or services

are called ‘transfer payments.’ Those that do involve using resources for production are known as ‘real resource costs’ (also ‘social costs’ or simply ‘costs’). Specifically, the portion of a settlement or judgment that goes to the plaintiffs is a transfer payment.”¹³

- **“See, everybody is getting sued.”** President Bush in Washington DC, March 16, 2004; <http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2004/03/20040316-5.html>

The facts: Tort lawsuit filings have decreased since 1992, according to the Court Statistics Project. The period 1992 through 2001 saw an overall 9 percent decline in the number of tort filings, according to a joint tracking project of the Conference of State Court Administrators, the Bureau of Justice Statistics and National Center for State Courts.¹⁴ The filing data from 30 states in their sample, including three of the four most populous states, California, Texas and Florida, represents a total of 77 percent of the U.S. population. When adjusted for population growth, tort filings declined by 15 percent, from 269 to 228 per 100,000. Population adjusted filings dropped 25 percent or more in 11 of these states. The largest decreases occurred in Texas and Massachusetts, where tort filings fell by 41 percent.¹⁵

- **“It’s like a giant lottery.”**-President Bush in Washington DC, March 16, 2004; <http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2004/03/20040316-5.html>

The facts: The median jury award for personal injury cases fell 30 percent in 2002. The median jury verdict in personal injury cases peaked in 2000 at \$45,000 declined to \$42,945 in 2001 and dropped to \$30,000 in 2002. Overall this represents a decline of 33 percent in two years.¹⁶

¹ “Diagnosis of the numbers shows doctors not leaving state in droves,” *Allentown Morning Call*, April 18, 2004.

² United States General Accounting Office, Report GAO-03-836, “Medical Malpractice: Implications of Rising Premiums on Access to Health Care,” August 2003. On-line at: <http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d03836.pdf>.

³ See, e.g. “Physician count clouds malpractice argument; State data show increase in doctors” *Chicago Tribune*, July 16, 2004

⁴ Levit et al, “Health Spending Rebound Continues in 2002,” *23 Health Affairs* 147 (2004)

⁵ Statistical Compilation of Annual Statement Information, 2002, National Association of Insurance Commissioners (2003).

⁶ “Do Doctors Practice Defensive Medicine,” *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, May 1996. McClellan was appointed by Bush to head the Food and Drug Administration and Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services.

⁷ United States General Accounting Office, Report GAO-03-836, “Medical Malpractice: Implications of Rising Premiums on Access to Health Care,” p. 27, August 2003.

⁸ Congressional Budget Office, Cost Estimate for H.R. 5, HEALTH Act of 2003, ordered by the House Committee on the Judiciary, submitted March 10, 2003.

⁹ PIAA Claim Trend Analysis, 2001 Edition, Physician Insurers Association of America.

¹⁰ *Id.*

¹¹ *Id.* PIAA companies spent \$741 million on claims and expenses in 2001. \$94 million, or 12.6 percent, was spent on claims for which were closed without payment to the plaintiff.

¹² per National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Economic Impact of Motor Vehicle Crashes, 2000 <http://www.nhtsa.dot.gov/people/economic/econimpact2000/>

¹³ The Economics of U.S. Tort Liability: A Primer, Congressional Budget Office, October 2003.

¹⁴ Examining the Work of State Courts, 2002, National Center of State Courts, Court Statistics Project (2003)

¹⁵ *Id.*

¹⁶ Jury Verdict Research News Release April 1, 2004. Jury Verdict Research maintains the only nationwide database of more than 213,000 plaintiff and defense verdicts, and settlements resulting from personal-injury claims.