

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: MDL Docket No 06-1791 VRW
NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY ORDER
TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS
LITIGATION

This order pertains to:
Al-Haramain Islamic Foundation et
al v Obama et al (C-07-0109 VRW),
_____ /

Plaintiffs propose that briefing on their fee application be deferred until completion of proceedings on any appeal from judgment. The court determines that postponement of plaintiffs' fee application pending appeal would not be efficient or prudent and ORDERS that plaintiffs file their application for attorney fees by no later than June 30, 2010. Defendants must file an opposition by July 20, 2010. Plaintiffs may file a reply by July 30, 2010.

With respect to a reasonable hourly rate to be applied in a lodestar calculation the court refers the parties to the method employed in In re HPL Technologies, Inc Securities Litigation, 366

\\
\\

1 F Supp 2d 912, 921-22 (ND Cal 2005) (applying hourly rates
2 published in the Laffey matrix as adjusted for locality).

3
4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5
6 

7 VAUGHN R WALKER
8 United States District Chief Judge
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28