

Client Advisory | August 2010

## Amendment to Massachusetts Personnel Records Statute Imposes Affirmative Obligation for Employer to Discuss “Negative” Information with Employees

Buried within the text of the recently-signed “Act Relative to Economic Development Reorganization” is a significant amendment to the Massachusetts Personnel Records Statute, G.L. c. 149, § 52C. Under this amendment, which took effect on August 1, 2010, employers are now obliged to “notify an employee within 10 days of the employer placing in the employee’s personnel record any information to the extent that the information is, has been used or may be used, to negatively affect” the employee’s employment status, including eligibility for promotions, transfers, additional compensation, or possible disciplinary action.



*Timothy P. Van Dyck, Partner*



*Robert G. Young, Associate*

This broadly-worded, open-ended amendment marks a sea change in employers’ obligations. Pre-amendment, the employer’s only obligation was to permit an employee to inspect or receive a copy of his or her personnel record upon request. Now, employers must engage employees directly on any “negative” information, arguably even if the information ultimately is not used for any concrete employment decisions.

The amendment does not change the existing definition of a “personnel record.” Under existing law, a “personnel record” is not confined to a central file or compendium of documents. To the contrary, a “personnel record” includes any records that are used, have been used, or may be used relative to the employee’s qualifications for employment, promotion, transfer, additional compensation or disciplinary action. Therefore, items such as a supervisor’s personal notes, or an e-mail to others in the company concerning an employee, may be part of an employee’s personnel record.

Given the existing, expansive definition of a personnel record, the notification requirement in the Personnel Records Statute amendment presents several compliance

challenges for employers. Phrased in the conditional (e.g., the amendment requires disclosure of information that “may be used” for the “possibility” of disciplinary action), the amendment offers little guidance to employers as to precisely when an employer’s duty to notify is triggered. Read broadly, however, the amendment potentially imposes a requirement that the employee be informed of every negative comment that a supervisor may utter about him or her in the workplace. Perhaps a court will construe the statute narrowly, focusing on the use of the verb “placing” in the amendment, which suggests that the information may have to be “placed” into a central file in order to trigger the notification requirements. In the meantime, however, employers must balance the risk of non-compliance with the statute against practicality and organizational efficiency.

The Personnel Records Statute amendment also limits employees’ right to access their personnel records to two times per calendar year (previously, employees had a right to unlimited access to their personnel records). However, an employee’s request to review his or her personnel record

following notification that “negative” information has been placed in it does not count toward this twice-per-year limit.

In light of this new amendment, employers should again review their personnel

records creation and retention policies, train supervisors on the new requirements and develop protocols for notifying employees of any negative information to be placed in their personnel records.

---

*The Personnel Records Statute amendment also limits employees’ right to access their personnel records to two times per calendar year (previously, employees had a right to unlimited access to their personnel records).*

---

BOSTON MA | FT. LAUDERDALE FL | HARTFORD CT | MADISON NJ | NEW YORK NY | NEWPORT BEACH CA | PROVIDENCE RI  
STAMFORD CT | WASHINGTON DC | WEST PALM BEACH FL | WILMINGTON DE | LONDON UK | HONG KONG (ASSOCIATED OFFICE)

This advisory is for guidance only and is not intended to be a substitute for specific legal advice. If you would like further information, please contact the Edwards Angell Palmer & Dodge LLP attorney responsible for your matters or one of the attorneys listed below:

Timothy P. Van Dyck, Partner  
Robert G. Young, Associate

617.951.2254  
617.239.0180

tvandyck@eapdlaw.com  
ryoung@eapdlaw.com

This advisory is published by Edwards Angell Palmer & Dodge for the benefit of clients, friends and fellow professionals on matters of interest. The information contained herein is not to be construed as legal advice or opinion. We provide such advice or opinion only after being engaged to do so with respect to particular facts and circumstances. The Firm is not authorized under the UK Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 to offer UK investment services to clients. In certain circumstances, as members of the Law Society of England and Wales, we are able to provide these investment services if they are an incidental part of the professional services we have been engaged to provide.

Please note that your contact details, which may have been used to provide this bulletin to you, will be used for communications with you only. If you would prefer to discontinue receiving information from the Firm, or wish that we not contact you for any purpose other than to receive future issues of this bulletin, please contact us at [contactus@eapdlaw.com](mailto:contactus@eapdlaw.com).

© 2010 Edwards Angell Palmer & Dodge LLP a Delaware limited liability partnership including professional corporations and Edwards Angell Palmer & Dodge UK LLP a limited liability partnership registered in England (registered number OC333092) and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority.

Disclosure required under U.S. Circular 230: Edwards Angell Palmer & Dodge LLP informs you that any tax advice contained in this communication, including any attachments, was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of avoiding federal tax related penalties, or promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

ATTORNEY ADVERTISING: This publication may be considered “advertising material” under the rules of professional conduct governing attorneys in some states. The hiring of an attorney is an important decision that should not be based solely on advertisements. Prior results do not guarantee similar outcomes.

EDWARDS  
ANGELL  
PALMER &  
DODGE

[eapdlaw.com](http://eapdlaw.com)