Document hosted at JDSUPRA



Payment Matters®

Subscribe

JANUARY 23, 2009

Reprints

Health Law Group

www.ober.com

Payment Matters Archive

In this Issue

Clarity Is Hard to Find: CMS's CY 2009 "Clarification" Regarding Physician Direct Supervision Requirement for Hospital Outpatient Department Services Raises More Questions than it Answers

New Medicare-Certified Provider? Not So Fast – New Providers Continue to be Lowest Priority for State Survey Agencies

CMS Announces Final Five Medicare MACs

Payment Group

Principals

Thomas W. Coons

Leslie Demaree Goldsmith

Carel T. Hedlund

S. Craig Holden

Julie E. Kass

Paul W. Kim

Robert E. Mazer

Clarity Is Hard to Find:

CMS's CY 2009 "Clarification" Regarding Physician Direct Supervision Requirement for Hospital Outpatient Department Services Raises More Questions than it Answers

Thomas W. Coons 410-347-7389 twcoons@ober.com Mark A. Stanley 410-347-7353 mstanley@ober.com

CMS's CY 2009 final rule for the outpatient prospective payment system (OPPS) includes a "restatement and clarification of [CMS's] longstanding OPPS policy" regarding physician supervision of hospital outpatient department (HOPD) services. The "clarification," which CMS introduced in its CY 2009 OPPS proposed rule, emphasizes CMS's position that outpatient therapeutic services must be directly supervised by a physician, even if the services are provided on the campus of a hospital. CMS indicated that it does not relax the direct supervision requirement for services provided on a hospital campus, notwithstanding language in the CY 2000 OPPS final rule, which stated that the *Agency* assumed that the physician supervision requirement was met for on campus services "because staff physicians would always be nearby within the hospital."

Reiterating the language it used in the CY 2009 proposed rule, CMS stated its concern that the Agency's use of the word "assume" in the 2000 preamble may have led some providers to the incorrect conclusion that general supervision would suffice in on-campus outpatient departments. The final rule references 42 CFR 410.27(f), which requires direct physician supervision for any location designated as a hospital department. CMS pointed out that "direct supervision" is defined to require that the physician is present at the location and immediately available to furnish assistance throughout the performance of the procedure. Responding to hypothetical scenarios supplied by commenters, CMS stated that, per the CY 2000 OPPS final rule, "on the premises of the location' means that the physician must be...present in the provider-based department."

While CMS acknowledges that it has not defined the term "immediately available" in the context of physician supervision of HOPD services, the final rule notes that "the lack of timely physician response to a problem in the HOPD would represent a quality concern...that Hospitals should consider in structuring their provision of services...."

Ober|Kaler's Comments: In our **August 6**, **2008** issue of **Payment Matters**, we noted that CMS's most recent statements warrant close attention. As we noted, the "clarification" clearly signals an Agency concern that some hospitals are not ensuring that outpatient therapeutic services are being adequately

Christine M. Morse

Laurence B. Russell

Donna J. Senft

Susan A. Turner

Associates

Kristin C. Cilento

Joshua J. Freemire

Mark A. Stanley

Lisa D. Stevenson

Emily H. Wein

supervised. We also noted that the clarification introduces new confusion foliated at JDSUPRA providers who are attempting to determine the proper level of supervision foliated and the proper level of supervision foliated and the supervision foliated at JDSUPRA HOPD services, particularly with respect to the meaning of the term "immediately available" in the context of such services. Providers, therefore, should keep a close eye on further guidance regarding the direct supervision requirement.

Copyright© 2009, Ober, Kaler, Grimes & Shriver