

1 John M. LeBlanc (155842)
BARGER & WOLEN LLP
2 633 West Fifth Street, 47th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
3 Telephone: (213) 680-2800
Facsimile: (213) 614-7399

4 Gregory N. Pimstone (150203)
5 MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
11355 West Olympic Boulevard
6 Los Angeles, CA 90064-1614
Telephone: (310) 312-4000
7 Facsimile: (310) 312-4224

8 Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Complainant
California Physicians' Service dba Blue Shield of
9 California

10 Michael G. Nutter (74853)
LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL G. NUTTER
11 2021 East Fourth Street, Suite 200
Santa Ana, CA 92705
12 Telephone: (714) 667-1101

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF ORANGE
CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER

MAY 28 2009

ALAN CARLSON, Clerk of the Court
C. Henderson
BY C HENDERSON

13
14 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
15 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE**

17 CINDY HAILEY AND STEVE HAILEY,)
18 Plaintiffs,)

19 vs.)

20 CALIFORNIA PHYSICIANS' SERVICE)
DBA BLUE SHIELD OF CALIFORNIA, and)
21 DOES 1 THROUGH 100, inclusive,)
22 Defendants.)

CASE NO.: 03 CC 01789
Assigned to Hon. Peter J. Polos, Dept. C27

**JOINT STIPULATION OF FACTUAL
AND LEGAL ISSUES; [PROPOSED]
ORDER GRANTING DIRECTED
VERDICT IN FAVOR OF BLUE SHIELD
OF CALIFORNIA**

Trial; May 18, 2009

23)
24 AND RELATED CROSS-COMPLAINT)
25)

26
27
28
JOINT STIPULATION RE FACTUAL AND LEGAL ISSUES

1 Defendant California Physicians' Service dba Blue Shield of California ("Blue Shield") and
2 plaintiffs Cindy Hailey and Steve Hailey (the "Haileys") hereby stipulate to the following factual
3 and legal issues:

4 1. Blue Shield completed medical underwriting in this case by making reasonable
5 efforts to ensure that the Haileys' application for coverage with Blue Shield was accurate and
6 complete;

7 2. Blue Shield's underwriting procedures included reasonable checks on the accuracy
8 and completeness of applications;

9 3. Blue Shield acted in good faith;

10 4. Blue Shield's rescission investigation in this case was reasonable and was performed
11 in a timely fashion;

12 5. Blue Shield's rescission investigation procedures were reasonable;

13 6. The Haileys willfully misrepresented and willfully omitted material information on
14 their Blue Shield application for health coverage;

15 7. The Haileys did so in order to obtain health coverage that they knew they would not
16 obtain had they been truthful;

17 8. The Haileys understood the Blue Shield application;

18 9. The Blue Shield application is clear and unambiguous;

19 10. Blue Shield did not breach its contract with the Haileys;


20 11. Blue Shield did not commit post-claims underwriting.

21
22 IT IS SO STIPULATED.
23
24
25
26
27
28


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: May 28, 2009

BARGER & WOLEN LLP


By: 
JOHN M. LE BLANC
ANDREW S. WILLIAMS
Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-
Complainant California Physicians'
Service dba Blue Shield of California

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS LLP

By: 
GREGORY N. PIMSTONE
Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-
Complainant California Physicians'
Service dba Blue Shield of California

Dated: May 28 2009

LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL G. NUTTER

By: 
MICHAEL G. NUTTER
Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Cross-
Defendants Cindy Hailey and Steve
Hailey

WE HAVE READ AND UNDERSTAND THE ABOVE JOINT STIPULATION OF FACTUAL
AND LEGAL AND VOLUNTARILY AGREE THEM:

Dated: May 28 2009


CYNTHIA K. HAILEY

Dated: May 28, 2009


STEVEN W. HAILEY

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

Based on the foregoing stipulation of factual and legal issues, the other evidence presented in this case, and the motion of California Physicians' Service dba Blue Shield of California ("Blue Shield") for a directed verdict, the Court hereby rules as follows:

- (1) The Court grants Blue Shield's motion and directs a verdict in favor of Blue Shield and against plaintiffs Cindy and Steve Hailey (the "Haileys") on each and every cause of action alleged in the Haileys' Second Amended Complaint; and
- (2) The Court directs a verdict in favor of Blue Shield as to the first cause of action in Blue Shield's cross-complaint for declaratory relief as to the validity of the rescission.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: MAY 28 2009

PETER J. POLOS

Hon. Peter J. Polos
Orange County Superior Court Judge