

Business, Trade and Competition

China Bulletin

October 2013

In This Issue...

- All Eyes on the Shanghai Free Trade Zone
- China and Korea Progress to Second Phase of Bilateral FTA Negotiations; Intersection of RCEP and TPP Remains Key Area of Interest



Welcome to this month's bulletin covering updates on the regulation of business, trade and competition in China.

International Trade

All Eyes on the Shanghai Free Trade Zone

The formal launch of the Shanghai Free Trade Zone (**SFTZ**) on September 29, 2013 could be a watershed moment for ushering in key financial and economic reform in China and propelling Shanghai into the ranks of the world's leading financial centers such as Hong Kong, London and New York. The SFTZ, which will commence on a trial basis, is expected to cover 28 square kilometers and combine four existing bonded trade zones, namely the Yangshan Bonded Port, the Waigaoqiao Bonded Zone, the Waigaoqiao Bonded Logistics Park, and the Pudong Airport Comprehensive Bonded Zone. Most observers agree that the planned SFTZ will act initially as a test site for the liberalization of the services and financial services sectors, including initiatives covering 18 different industries and reforms concerning interest-rate deregulation, foreign direct investment (**FDI**), and convertibility of the Chinese currency among others.

Events surrounding the establishment of the SFTZ have moved quickly. The Ministry of Commerce (**MOFCOM**) declared the State Council's formal approval to establish the SFTZ on August 22, 2013. Then in an unprecedented move on August 30, 2013, the National People's Congress (**NPC**) authorized the State Council to suspend temporarily for three years certain laws and regulations¹ governing foreign investment, foreign capital enterprises, and Chinese/foreign equity joint ventures to facilitate the establishment of the SFTZ and boost foreign capital inflow. Nearly one month later on September 25, 2013, numerous press sources noted that the Chinese government reportedly agreed to allow access to "sensitive and blocked" websites (e.g., Twitter, Facebook, etc.) and may solicit bids for licenses from foreign telecommunications companies to provide Internet services in the SFTZ.

White & Case is a leading global law firm with lawyers in 40 offices across 27 countries. Whether in established or emerging markets, White & Case is dedicated to the business priorities and legal needs of its clients.

If you have questions or comments regarding this bulletin, please contact:

Christopher Corr

Counsel, Beijing
+ 86 10 5912 9618
ccorr@whitecase.com

Patrick Ma

Counsel, Beijing
+ 86 10 5912 9615
pma@whitecase.com

Samuel Scoles

Regional Director Asia
International Trade Advisory Services
+ 65 6347 1527
sscoles@whitecase.com

¹ These include the Foreign-Invested Enterprise Law, the Sino-Foreign Equity Joint Ventures Law, and the Sino-Foreign Cooperative Joint Ventures Law.

Some Expected New Policies

- As a customs supervised area, the SFTZ will not only enjoy preferential policies granted by the central government, but also formulate its own preferential policies by seeking approval from relevant authorities, *e.g.*, seeking approval from the Ministry of Finance (**MOF**) with respect to financial policies or from the General Administration of Customs (**GAC**) on customs policies. If the relevant authorities need to coordinate on such approval or seek review from a higher level, they will submit a request to the State Council.
- The Chinese government is expected to relax foreign investment thresholds in the SFTZ. As a first step, it may revoke the necessity for recognition of qualifications, equity ratios for foreigners, and business scope restrictions for foreign investment in the areas of finance, shipping, commerce and trade, culture, and social services.
- Investment in the SFTZ will be subject to a “negative list” approach whereby only a list of prohibited or restricted investment areas will be published. This approach marks a first for China and signals a departure from traditional foreign investment catalogues that classify investments into encouraged, allowed, restricted, or prohibited categories.
- According to the draft SFTZ blueprint, the Chinese government will accelerate financial institution innovation in the SFTZ, including through the convertibility and internationalization of the Chinese currency as well as promote cross-border financial liberalization. Reportedly, the government has authorized the Shanghai Equity Trust Trade Center to set up a financial trade platform through a Corporate Stock Transfer System (**CSTS**). Initially, domestic enterprises located in the SFTZ may be able to obtain overseas investment directly through the CSTS. While foreign enterprises located therein will not be eligible at first, the government may relax the CSTS requirements down the road.

Implications and Outlook

The SFTZ is an ambitious idea coming from the highest levels of the Chinese bureaucracy. Premier Li Keqiang is reportedly leading the strategy behind the establishment of the SFTZ, aiming to usher in a second round of openness and reform in China. Supported by the Political Bureau of the Central Committee of the Chinese Communist Party, Premier Li overcame numerous challenges and hurdles in promoting the planned SFTZ during the past several months. Currently, the Chinese government is accelerating the procedures of formulating new policy and regulations for the SFTZ, with seven² key competent ministries tasked with formulating detailed regulations.

Should the SFTZ prove a success following the pilot period, it will likely serve as a model for institutional innovation and replicated in other major cities across the country. Already other municipalities and cities, including Tianjin, Xiamen, Chongqing, and Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macau are applying to establish free trade zones (**FTZ**) within their own districts.

Yet, despite the hype surrounding the SFTZ, details surrounding its implementation are scant and numerous questions remain. For instance, how will the Chinese government manage financial risk following liberalization, assess responsibility and liability in the event of mismanagement, reign in competing vested interests of different government ministries, and ultimately accept less control? Time will tell. In the short term, however, the SFTZ will need to build up evidence in support of transparent markets without the meddling of the old guard.

China and Korea Progress to Second Phase of Bilateral FTA Negotiations; Intersection of RCEP and TPP Remains Key Area of Interest

Chinese and Korean negotiators convened for three days in early September 2013 for the seventh round of China-Korea Free Trade Agreement (**CKFTA**) negotiations in the Chinese city of Weifang in central Shandong Province. The bilateral discussions have finally progressed beyond the first phase of identifying each side's priorities and sensitive sectors, defining the agreement scope and establishing negotiating modalities to a second more arduous phase of actual negotiation of the agreement text itself. The Parties are expected to meet for the eighth negotiating round before the end of the year to begin work on the draft text.

During the latest round of negotiations, both sides agreed to (i) liberalize or eliminate import tariffs on 90% of tariff lines, *i.e.*, approximately 85% of import value; (ii) treat agricultural and industrial products separately under the sensitive and highly-sensitive tracks;³ and (iii) gradually reduce and eliminate tariffs on non-sensitive items over a period of 10 years from entry into force of the Agreement, while sensitive items would be subject to a tariff reduction and elimination modality commencing 10 years from the effective date of the CKFTA. Specifically, Korea considers agriculture and fisheries as its most sensitive sectors, while China categorizes its manufacturing industries, which include the automobile, machinery and oil sectors, as its sensitive ones. Reportedly, approximately 10% of tradable goods will be excluded from the scope of tariff reduction and elimination, a significant amount when compared to Korea's

² The Ministry of Commerce (MOFCOM), Ministry of Finance (MOF), General Administration of Customs (GAC), State Administration of Taxation (SAT), General Administration of Quality, Supervision, Inspection and Quarantine (AQSIQ), People's Bank of China (PBOC), and State Administration of Foreign Exchange (SAFE).

³ Highly-sensitive and sensitive items will be excluded from tariff concessions or will be subject to only partial tariff reduction.

recently concluded trade agreements with the European Union and the United States. The Parties also established negotiating modalities for services and investment, economic cooperation and rules governing areas such as intellectual property, transparency, competition, and e-commerce. For trade in services, the two sides may consider adopting a comprehensive negative list approach – a first for China – for future services negotiations according to Chinese Ministry of Commerce officials.

Both China and Korea are economic powerhouses in their own right. China is Korea's largest trading partner, while Korea is China's third largest trading partner. According to Korea's Ministry of Strategy and Finance (**MOSF**), Korea's gross domestic product (**GDP**) is expected to increase by approximately one percent within five years following the entry into force of the CKFTA. Moreover, once effective, Korea would be the only country to have an FTA with the three largest global markets, namely the European Union, the United States and China. China's former Minister of Commerce, Chen Deming, anticipates that the CKFTA negotiations could be completed within two years, stressing that early conclusion of the negotiations could push forward the trilateral China-Japan-Korea FTA (**CJKFTA**) negotiation process.

There are other regional trade developments at play as well that drive the CKFTA negotiations and their respective positions. Korea and China are both parties to the ASEAN-led Regional Economic Partnership Agreement (**RCEP**). Thus, the CKFTA and CJKFTA are opportunities to clarify positions, minimize policy differences, and develop specific strategies on how to pursue the FTAs by linking them to the RCEP. Considering the existence of multi-track sub-regional and bilateral FTAs in the region, the RCEP negotiations will likely be tedious and complex, especially given that not all ASEAN+1 partners⁴ have FTAs with each other. Mitigating disagreements early on in the CJKFTA will be a boon to RCEP negotiations as RCEP Trade Negotiating Committee Chair Iman Pambagyo noted that negotiators will follow the RCEP ministers' guidance that liberalization commitments run on a single schedule.

The pace and breadth of RCEP negotiations is likely spurred onwards by the parallel Trans-Pacific Partnership (**TPP**) negotiations, of which Japan is a member and Korea has indicated interest in participating, as well as a host of political and economic developments in the Asia Pacific. First, the RCEP was late to launch due to delays at the East Asia level, particularly differences between China and Japan over membership, the former preferring the ASEAN+3 (China, Japan, Korea) East Asia Free Trade Area (**EFTA**) and the latter preferring the ASEAN+6 (Australia, China, India, Japan, Korea, New Zealand) Comprehensive Economic Partnership in East Asia (**CEPEA**). Second, there was concern regarding the relevance of ASEAN centrality if the CJKFTA materialized. Third, the dual participation of ASEAN members Brunei, Malaysia, Singapore, and Vietnam in the TPP and RCEP made clear that the TPP emerged as a viable competitor platform for meaningful Asian economic integration.

Korea's recent signal of interest of joining the TPP negotiations should be viewed in tandem with its long-view to bridge the RCEP and TPP, an increasingly fashionable strategic concept in Asian and trans-Pacific economic integration policy. For Korea, the benefits of TPP membership are clear: sizable gains from trade, greater bargaining power in ongoing negotiations with China and Japan to tackle non-tariff barriers, and the rationalization of its FTA "noodle bowl." Further linking TPP to RCEP will guide negotiators towards early interoperability and eventual harmonization of high-standards in Asia-Pacific free trade.

⁴ The "ASEAN +1 partners" include Australia, China, India, Japan, Korea, and New Zealand.

China Trade Remedy Cases (June 2013 – October 2013)

Product	Country of Origin	Petitioner Country	Announcement
Acetone	Japan, Korea, Singapore, Taiwan	China	AD expiry review initiated on June 7, 2013
Sulfamethoxazole (SMZ)	India	China	Definitive decision of AD expiry review made on June 14, 2013
Toluidine	EU	China	AD final decision made on June 27, 2013
Wines	EU	China	AD & CVD investigations initiated on July 1, 2013
Saloon Cars and Cross-country Cars	US	China	Definitive decision of AD new shipper review made on July 17, 2013
Solar-Grade Polysilicon	Korea, US	China	AD provisional decision made on July 18, 2013
Chloroprene Rubber (CR)	Japan	China	Final decision of AD interim review made on July 26, 2013
Grain Oriented Flat-rolled Electrical Steel	US	China	Decision of AD & CVD re-investigations made on July 31, 2013
IMP, GMP, I+G	Indonesia, Thailand	China	Final decision of AD interim review made on August 6, 2013
Single-mode Optical Fiber	India	China	AD investigation initiated on August 14, 2013
Tertiary Butylhydroquinone (TBHQ)	India	China	AD investigation initiated on August 22, 2013
Bisphenol-A (BPA)	Japan, Korea, Singapore, Taiwan	China	Definitive decision of AD expiry review made on August 29, 2013
Solar-Grade Polysilicon	US	China	CVD provisional decision made on September 16, 2013

Business, Trade and Competition at White & Case

Our Firm's business, international trade, antitrust and competition, intellectual property and disputes lawyers help clients manage the risks and maximize the opportunities associated with the increasing regulation of global business and international trade in goods and services. One of the most important services we provide is to monitor legislative proposals worldwide and advise clients on the effects of legislation under multilateral agreements, bilateral agreements and US law. Because we are on top of the ever-shifting trade schemes around the world, our clients can stay out in front of their markets.

Our clients include a diverse roster of sovereign and private-sector entities, including national governments, manufacturers, exporters, importers, and end users. Our insight into global business and trade laws is deepened by our immersion at the ground level. In China, we have lawyers and analysts in Beijing and Shanghai, working closely with our advisors in Brussels, Geneva, Miami, Monterrey, Singapore, Tokyo, and Washington, DC.

Our Firm

White & Case is a global law firm with longstanding offices in the markets that matter today. Our on-the-ground experience, our cross-border integration and our depth of local, US and English qualified lawyers help our clients work with confidence in any one market or across many. We guide our clients through difficult issues, bringing our insight and judgment to each situation. Our innovative approaches create original solutions to our clients' most complex domestic and multijurisdictional deals and disputes. By thinking on behalf of our clients every day, we anticipate what they want, provide what they need and build lasting relationships. We do what it takes to help our clients achieve their ambitions.

Some of our independent accolades include:

- Number One Global Law Firm—*Law360 2012*
- International Trade and Finance Law Firm of the Year
—*U.S. News & World Report – Best Lawyers 2011 – 2012*
- Competition and Project Finance Practice Groups of the Year—*Law360 2012*
- Leading Innovative US Firm in Finance, Litigation, Corporate and Business of Law
—*Financial Times US Innovative Lawyers 2012*
- Global Elite in Antitrust/Competition—*Global Competition Review 2013*

This bulletin is provided for your convenience and does not constitute legal advice. It is prepared for the general information of our clients and other interested persons. This bulletin should not be acted upon in any specific situation without appropriate legal advice and it may include links to websites other than the White & Case website.

White & Case has no responsibility for any websites other than its own and does not endorse the information, content, presentation or accuracy, or make any warranty, express or implied, regarding any other website.

This bulletin is protected by copyright. Material appearing herein may be reproduced or translated with appropriate credit.