

Subject: CASE SUMMARY: Mental torture, threats, intimidation, sabotage by USA's - NSA (Pro Republican - military intelligence agency) of an individual and family wrongfully approached outside of law for forced settlement of criminal charges filed in court. Viol

Attn: Honorable
Chief Justice
U.S. Supreme Court
Senate/Congress Judiciary Committee of USA Senate/ Congress Intelligence Committee of USA
Washington D.C., USA.

International Criminal Court
United Nations High Commission on Human Rights Geneva, Switzerland.

RE: CASE SUMMARY: Mental torture, threats, intimidation, sabotage by USA's - NSA (Pro Republican - military intelligence agency) of an individual and family wrongfully approached outside of law for forced settlement of criminal charges filed in court. Violation of privacy, harassment, interference in due process of law, absolute disruption in personal and business communications by Mind Reading/Writing Devices via satellite(Echelon).

Honorable Chief Justice(s)
Honorable Senator, Congressman and Human Rights Leaders

In the past several years we as a family have experienced threats, intimidation, sabotage, coercion, mental torture and disruption in employment and investments. We believe our family is unlawfully and unjustifiably targeted by an American military intelligence surveillance (NSA - Echelon) to keep us intimidated and in bad health to gain an upper hand and a favorable outcome in our legal complaint against US intelligence (FOIA, classified surveillance).

It seems for Defendants if racially motivated incidents caught happening in America were repeated in a premeditated, scripted and coached manner elsewhere, somehow the ends will justify the means.

If a chronology of events, incidents and situations were taken into consideration it would be obvious NSA military intelligence (Echelon) has created an unhealthy, unsafe, and often hostile environment for a family to suffer and lose their legal claims in USA. Several disruptive events, episodes and remarks are meant to affect our family's well being, our credibility, and our ability to participate in society and be an effective member of any community.

Although, we try to participate in many charitable events and want to be productive members of society.

We ask for your intervention to bring a criminal charge against NSA (Echelon), a US military intelligence agency for their illegal behavior including obstruction of justice and disregard for domestic and international human rights laws.

We thank you in anticipation for increasing awareness about our suffering so that others may not suffer in this manner.

Thank you,

Yours truly,

Victim, Family & Friends Against
Illegal NSA Surveillance Program
USA.

CIVIL RIGHTS LAWS / CASES APPLICABLE TO ILLEGAL INTERFERENCE VIA SURVEILLANCE BY NSA (ECHELON)

LEGALITY OF THE USE OF MIND READ/WRITE DEVICES BY US INTELLIGENCE TO SUPPRESS DUE PROCESS & TO DEPRIVE COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGES OF PLAINTIFFS.

1. Illegal, Criminal and Partisan Political use of Classified Mind Reading/Writing Devices for uncompetitive economic gain and espionage by NSA & CIA defendants in defense of their behavior with Plaintiff and to defend against Plaintiffs Credibility, Qualifications, Abilities, Capacity and Progress. (See Posse Comitatus Act).
2. Freedom Of Information Act, 5 U.S.C.V 552 which allows access to all files, documents and information regarding any electronic transmissions pertaining to the electronic surveillance and records.
3. Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. V 552(a) which requires that strict records be maintained and has specific procedural requirements regarding obtaining and release of any US intelligence information on an individual.

4. Title III of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act. of 1968; 18 U.S.C. V V 2510-2520. Congress passed title III in order to strictly limit and control the use of electronic surveillance to protect the privacy interests of citizens and to provide a process for legitimate electronic surveillance; Section 2520 establishes jurisdiction for civil claims under title III, without reference to diversity of citizenship or the amount in controversy (Jandak Vs. Village of Brookfield, 520 F. Supp. 815,819 (N.D. Ill 1981)). See also Kinoy Vs. Mitchell, 331 F. Supp. 379,382 (S.D. N.Y. 1971) (dictum) and Wright Vs. State of Florida because, “...the right to be free from unauthorized or improperly authorized wiretapping; being one aspect of the right to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures, involves the jurisdictional element of an act of congress providing for protection of civil rights”.

Unlawful Interception, Stockler Vs. Garratt, 893 F. 2d 856, 859 (6th Cir, 1990)

Zweibon Vs. Mitchell 170 US App DC 1, 66-67, 516 F. 2d 594, 659-70 (1975), 425 US 944 (1976),

Wright Vs. State of Florida, 495 F. 2d 1086 (5th Cir. 1974)

5. Federal Civil Procedure – 1773: In ruling on a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, the complaint should not be dismissed merely because plaintiff’s allegations did not support the legal theory plaintiff intends to proceed on, in view of the fact that the court is under a duty to examine the complaint to determine if the allegations provide for relief an any possible theory.

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 8(a), (e)(1), 12(b)(1), 28 U.S.C.A.; See Patriarca Vs. F.B.I. 639 F. Supp. 1193 (D.R.I., Jul 16 1986) (No. CIV. A. 85-0707 B), Harper Vs. Cserr, 544 F. 2d 1121,1122 (1st Cir, 1976).

6. Federal Civil Procedure – 627

In a complaint, it is not only unnecessary to spell out each legal theory to be relied on; it is also unnecessary to separate each distinct legal theory into a separate count. F.R.C.P. Rule 8(a), (e)(1), 28 U.S.C.A.

Standards applied in: Patriarca Vs. F.B.I. 639 F. Supp. 1193; & Fed. Civil Procedure – 1829.

7. 5 U.S.C. V 552 a (g)(1) which states that, “Whenever an agency ,...fails to comply with any provision of this section...in such a way as to have an adverse effect on an individual, the individual may bring a civil action against the agency, and the district courts of the United States shall have jurisdiction in the matter under the provisions of this subsection.”

8. U.S. Supreme Court's Opinion in Bivens's Vs. Six Unknown Named Agents, 403 U.S. 388, 91 S. Ct. 1999, 29 L.Ed.2d 619 (1971).

9. CISPES Vs. Director of F.B.I., William F. Sessions 929 F. 2d 742, 289 U.S. App. D.C. 149 (D.C. Cir.,Apr. 12 1991) (No. 90-5179);
Testimony before congressional committees of the Dir. Of FBI, Sessions.

10. In injunction suits, plaintiffs usually must establish that the alleged illegal actions of the past are causing or threatening to cause plaintiff present injuries – current consequences. Sites Cases:

O'Shea Vs. Littleton, 414 U.S. 488, 495-96, 94 S. Ct. 669, 675-76, 38 L. Ed. 2d 674 (1974);

Sibron Vs. New York, 392 U.S. 40, 88 S. Ct. 1889 20 L. Ed. 2d. 917 (1968);

North Carolina Vs. Rice, 404 U.S. 244, 92 S. Ct. 402, 30 L. Ed. 2d 413 (1971)

11. Civil Rights – 214(2): Employee's Due Process right to be free from unreasonable, government interference with private employment was clearly established for purposes of qualified immunity.

U.S.C.A. Const. Amend. 5, 14.

Greene Vs. McElvoy 360 US 474, 79 S. Ct. 1400, 3 L. Ed. 2d 1377 (1959)

Dent Vs. West Virginia, 129 U.S. 114 (9 S. Ct. 231, 32 L. Ed. 623)

Schwartz Vs. Board of Bar Examiners 353 US 232 (77S. Ct. 752 1 L. Ed. 2d 796)

Peters Vs. Hobby 349 US 331,352 (75 S. Ct. 790, 801, 99 L. Ed. 1129)

Traux Vs. Raich 239 US 33,41 (36 S. Ct. 7,10,60 L. Ed. 131)

Merritt Vs. Mackey, 827 F. 2d 1368 (9th Cir. 1987); "...when a private employee is deprived of his employment through government conduct, the cause of action available to the employee is not merely the right to sue for interference with contractual relationships..."

Harlow Vs. Fitzgerald, 457 US 800, 818, 102 S. Ct. 2727, 2738, 73 L. Ed. 2d 396 (1982).

For 14th Amend. Due Process see Screws Vs. US; Fed. Criminal Civil Rights Statutes sections 241, 242 of Title 18 US Code; 3504-Fed.

Criminal Code claim of illegal surveillance 18 USC 2510-20 (1982) L. No. 90-351 802, 82 Stat.212.

12. Relief based on Conspiracy 42 U.S.C. V 1985(3) & 42 USC 1986 Wahad Vs. FBI 813 F. Supp. 224 (SDNY Jan 29, 1993) (No. 75 CIV. 6203 (MJL))

Silkwood Vs. Kerr-McGee Corp. 637 F. Ed. 743.

Peck Vs. U.S., 470 US 1084, 105 S. Ct. 1843, 85 L. Ed. 2d 142

(1985).

13. Remedy for tortious activities of unknown FBI agents based on Federal Torts Claims Act. 28 U.S.C.A V 2679 (b) (1); 28 USC V 1346(b) (1982) which states a remedy be provided for invasions of privacy Sec-2510, Black Vs. Sheraton Corp. 184 US App. DC 46, 54-55, 564 F. 2d 531, 539-40.

14. Plaintiff prevented from fully exercising associational rights, Angola Vs. Civletti, 666 F. 2d 1 (2nd Cir.(NY) Jan 06, 1981) (No. 80-6120,312)

15. Legality of electronic surveillance (Laws of Electronic Surveillance by Carr):

"...continuous, unlimited eavesdropping of all conversations without regard to their connection with a crime under investigation counts for an 'impermissible general search'.

Forsyth Vs. Kleindienst, 729 F. 2d 267 (3rd Cir. (Pa.), Mar 08, 1984) (No. 82-1812, 83-3150)

Martinez Vs. Winner, 771 F. 2d 424 (10th Cir. (Colo.) Aug 22, 1985) (No. 82-2110).

16. FISA Guidelines (Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act.): On the Issue of warrantless wiretaps (Mind reading/writing devices, satellite surveillance/dissemination), ...guidelines on scope limitation, time limitation, space limitation

U.S. Vs. U.S. District Court, 407 US 297, 92 S. Ct. 2125, 32 L. Ed.

2d 752 (1972); ...the US Supreme Court held that warrantless wiretaps violated the 4th amendment in cases involving domestic threats to national security.

Mitchell Vs. Forsyth, 472 US 511, 105 S. Ct. 2806, 86 L. Ed. 2d 411 (1985).

17. Article V 32; ...Conduct unbecoming of U.S. Military...

18. Warrantless Surveillance; Illegal & Excessive:

Halperin Vs. Kissinger, 424 F. Supp. 838, 843 (DDC 1976)

Bukhart Vs. Saxbe, 448 F. Supp. 588, 595 (E.D. Pa 1978)

19. For violation in effect of communication for transmissions on television, radio, computer and audio system see 47 USC V 605 of Federal Communications Code.

20. The Posse Comitatus Act is a United States federal law (18 U.S.C. § 1385). The statute generally prohibits federal military personnel under federal authority from acting in a law enforcement capacity within the United States, except where expressly authorized by the Constitution or Congress. The Act prohibits most members of the federal uniformed services (today the Army, Air Force, and State National Guard forces when such are called into federal service) from exercising nominally state law enforcement,

police, or peace officer powers that maintain "law and order" on non-federal property (states and their counties and municipal divisions) within the United States.

LEGAL NOTICE TO FUGITIVE DEFENDANTS: SECRET AGENTS OF NSA-ECHELON

UNITED NATIONS GENEVA CONVENTIONS & INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT PROTECTIONS / LAWS APPLY TO ALL CIVILIAN NON-POLITICAL SUBJECTS OF ILLEGAL SURVEILLANCES, MONITORING OR HOSTAGES IN AMERICA (USA) WITH NO CONNECTION TO ANY CRIME OR AREAS OF CONFLICT.

SEVERE PENALTIES, PUNISHMENT, SANCTIONS, ARRESTS, TRAVEL BANS, RESTRICTIONS, AND PUNITIVE DAMAGES CAN BE IMPOSED ON ANY INDIVIDUAL, ORGANIZATION, POLITICAL PARTY, ARMY OR STATE WHO PARTICIPATES, ENCOURAGES, AIDES AND ABETS ANY SUCH SURVEILLANCE, MONITORING, INTELLIGENCE AGENCY THAT WITHOUT WARRANT, JURISDICTION, PROBABLE CAUSE OR SOVERIGNTY DO THE FOLLOWING...

1. SUPPRESSES, STOPS, DISCOURAGES, PATRONIZES, INTERFERES, CONSPIRES, DISCRIMINATES, TORTURES, OR PROVIDES ADVERSE RECOMMENDATION OR REFERENCE TO ANY INDIVIDUAL IN THEIR PRIVATE OR BUSINESS PURSUITS.
2. COLLECTS INTELLECTUAL, PROPRIETARY, COMPETITIVE IDEAS, BUSINESS PLANS, SOLUTIONS, BIDS, CONTRACTS, STRATEGIES AND TACTICS WITH MILITARY, NON-MILITARY OR POLITICAL AGENTS AND/OR MECHANISMS.
3. FORCES MARRIAGES OR INTERFERES IN RELATIONSHIPS BY ARMY, INTELLIGENCE, POLITICAL OPERATIVES OR TECHNOLOGY.
4. DELAYS TRAVEL ABROAD DURING MULTI-NATIONAL EVENTS WITH MEDIA EXPOSURE FOR BUSINESS OR PLEASURE.
5. CREATES HURDLES BEFORE TRAVEL PLANS TO FOREIGN COUNTRIES WITH LIBERAL LEADERS, LIBERAL BUSINESS AND LIBERAL ENTERTAINMENT.
6. HECKLES, SHOUTS, INTERRUPTS OR DISRUPTS CONVERSATIONS IN A PRIVATE OR PUBLIC PLACE WITHOUT WARRANT OR JURISDICTION.
7. THREATENS OR DISCOURAGES WOMEN FROM SOCIALIZING WITH THE SUBJECT OF SURVEILLANCE. (NSA Agents–Pro-Republican)

8. ANNOUNCES, DISSEMINATES, COMMENTS, DEFENDS OR EXPLAINS ON PRIVATE MATTERS VIA SURVEILLANCES CLASSIFIED AS STATE SECRET, TOP SECRET OR MILITARY RELATED.

9. SELF DEFENCE APPLIES IF REBUTTAL IS PROHIBITED... DISSEMINATION, COMMENTS, REMARKS ARE ILLEGAL AND AGAINST THE LAW.

10. RESTRICTS MEDIA, JOURNALISTS, LAWYERS OR BUSINESS LEADERS FROM TAKING THE OPPOSING VIEWPOINT THAN THE ONE PRESCRIBED BY THE TOP SECRET SURVEILLANCE (NSA-Agents – Pro Republican)

11. RESTRICTS INVESTMENT, EMPLOYMENT, VENTURES AND COLLABORATION WITH FOREIGNERS.

SIXTEEN (16) OTHER ORGANISATIONS AS PLAINTIFFS IN SIMILAR CASES AGAINST DEFENDANT

Legal Arguments for the case against NSA Agents (U.S. Justice Dept.)

Legal Claims in ACLU, CCR, CAIR, ALG, Plaintiffs... v. National Security Agency (NSA)

http://www.cognitiveliberty.org/issues/mental_surveillance.htm

<http://www.aclu.org/safefree/general/24283res20060220.html>

<http://www.epic.org/features/surveillance.html>

<http://www.ccr-ny.org/v2/home.asp>

<http://www.eff.org/legal/cases/att/>

<http://www.eff.org/Privacy/Surveillance/NSA/>

<http://www.progressivepatriotsfund.com/page/petition/censure0306/kou>
gw

http://www.cognitiveliberty.org/issues/mental_surveillance.htm

CLARIFICATION OF DEFENDANT'S ILLEGAL ACTIONS IN PUBLIC

LEGAL QUESTION:

WHAT WAS/IS THE PROBLEM WITH WANTING A CAUCASIAN BLONDE WOMAN?

LEGAL SHORT ANSWER:

A SURVEILLANCE TO FORCE AN NSA INTELLIGENCE PROFILE ON PLAINTIFF

LEGAL LONG ANSWER:

AN ILLEGAL NSA SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM (BY DEFENDANT) WAS CAUGHT WITH INTERFERING, DISRUPTING AND DISTURBING A PERSON DURING WORK AND PERSONAL TIME IN AMERICA. WHEN ASKED WHY ARE YOU DOING THAT AND QUESTIONED ON THE LEGALITY OF THE SURVEILLANCE AS THE INTERFERENCE, DISRUPTION AND DISTURBANCE WAS WITHOUT TIME LIMITS, SCOPE LIMITS AND SPACE LIMITS; BEFORE RESPONDING TO THE COMPLAINT AND ADMITTING GUILT OF CONDUCTING A CRIMINAL INVASION OF PRIVACY, CONDUCT UNBECOMING, TAIN, ATTEMPTED FAILED ENTRAPMENTS AND PASSE COMMATATUS THE SECRET AGENTS DECIDED NOT TO REVEAL THEIR IDENTITIES AND TRIED TO IMPROVE THEIR STANDING IN THE COMMUNITY & CASE BY USING ECHELON COVERT SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS TO KEEP COMPLAINANT (PLAINTIFF) FROM ASSOCIATING WITH ANY CAUCASIAN BLONDE WOMEN OR ANY PERSONS OF EUROPEAN OR AMERICAN DESCENT FOR SEVERAL YEARS.

AFTER SEVERAL WHITE CAUCASIAN AMERICAN AND EUROPEAN AQUAINTENCES OF COMPLAINANT (PLAINTIFF) BECAME SUSPICIOUS OF THE UNIDENTIFIED SATELLITE WHISPERS & HECKLING, SECRET AGENTS OF NSA FELT COMPELLED TO MAKE THE ILLEGAL SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM WORK BY FORCE. THE COMPLAINANT (PLAINTIFF) WAS INCREMENTALLY ISOLATED FROM PUBLIC CONTACT AND KEPT AWAY FROM BEING FRIENDLY WITH CAUCASIAN WHITE WOMEN AND MEN FROM EDUCATED WELL TO DO FAMILIES. BY PROVIDING A POLITICAL CARROT AND STICK APPROACH TO THE ILLEGAL SURVEILLANCE,

SECRET AGENTS FROM THE SUB-CONTINENT WERE DELIBERATELY ASKED TO FORCE COMPLAINANT (PLAINTIFF) TO MEET WOMEN FROM SUB-CONTINENT ONLY, ESPECIALLY DEPRIVED "MOHAJIR" FAMILIES. IRRESPECTIVE OF SERIOUS SEXUAL HARRASSMENT AND MENTAL TORTURE A RACIST NSA SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM PREDOMINANTLY RUN BY IRISH & JEWISH AGENTS WAS GIVEN A COSMETIC COVER BY ASKING "MOHAJIR" SECRET AGENTS TO RE-ENACT THE ATTEMPTED ENTRAPMENTS AND FORCE MARRIAGE TO WOMEN FROM THE SUB-CONTINENT ONLY AND RETALIATE FOR NOT DOING SO. THE CARROT FOR DEPRIVED MOHAJIRS FOR SAVING THE SKIN OF IRISH & JEW AGENTS, POLITICAL REPRESENTATION AND ACCEPTANCE AS NOT THE MOST VIOLENT GROUP IN THE SUB-CONTINENT.

OBVIOUSLY, APPARANTLY & REPEATEDLY NOT EVERYONE IN THE WHOLE WORLD HAS BEEN ABLE TO ACCEPT, DIGEST AND FORGET WHAT HAS AND IS BEING DONE TO KEEP NSA (ECHELON) IRISH & JEWISH SECRET AGENTS FROM PROSECUTION.

NEXT TIME YOU HEAR A WHISPER...BE SURE TO KNOW YOU CAN FOOL SOME PEOPLE ALL THE TIME, ALL OF THE PEOPLE SOME OF THE TIME BUT NEVER ALL THE PEOPLE ALL THE TIME...KNOW ANY CAUCASIAN WHITE WOMEN TO TANGO..

Victim, Family & Friends Against
Illegal NSA Surveillance Program
USA.