```
1
        IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY,
2
                              MARYLAND
3
4
    INA AMAYYE' EDEN CHI JONES, by and
    through her mother and next friend,
5
    Candace Jackson,
6
            and
7
    PRINCE CARMEN JONES, SENIOR,
8
            and
 9
    to the use of
    MABEL S. JONES, Intervenor,
10
                     Plaintiffs,
11
                                        Civil Action Law
            ٧S
12
    PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY
                                        01 - 3974
13
        and
    CORPORAL CARLTON B. JONES
14
15
        and
    JOHN S. FARRELL
16
    Chief of Police, Prince George's County
17
        and
18
    ALEXANDRE BAILEY.
19
                     Defendants.
2.0
           REPORTER'S OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
              (Excerpt; Mr. Malone's Closing Argument)
21
                                 Upper Marlboro, Maryland
22
                                 January 17, 2006
23
    BEFORE:
24
       HONORABLE JAMES J. LOMBARDI, Associate Judge
                                              and a jury
25
```

```
APPEARANCES:
1
2
       For the Plaintiffs, Nina Amayye' Eden Chi Jones and
3
       Prince Carmen Jones, Senior:
          TERRELL N. ROBERTS, III, ESQUIRE
4
5
          PATRICK A. MALONE, ESQUIRE
6
          CHRISTOPHER A. GRIFFITHS, ESQUIRE
7
       For the Plaintiff/Intervenor Mabel S. Jones:
8
9
          GREGORY L. LATTIMER, ESQUIRE
10
          TED J. WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE
11
       For the Defendants:
12
13
          JAY H. CREECH, ESQUIRE
14
          ALICE CHONG, ESQUIRE
15
    16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
    ESTHER L. THOMPSON, RPR,
    OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
    P.O. Box 401
24
    Upper Marlboro, Maryland 20773
25
    (301) 952-4449
```

MR. MALONE: Let's turn a chapter here and turn a page and talk about what this case is really about, because it's about more than just deciding what happened on Spring Terrace on the night of September 1, 2000. Your job today is to decide whether anything good can come out of that terrible tragedy. What good can come out of that? That is in your hands, ladies and gentlemen.

We have the greatest system of civil justice in the world. Many other places in the world, if someone had shot someone else, all it would bring about would be revenge and bloodshed. But we have a justice system where parents can have enough faith in the system of justice that they can sit in a courtroom with the same man just a few feet from them who has killed their son, admittedly killed their son, and they can lay at the feet of the trier of fact -- that's you -- their evidence and expect justice to come out of it.

We have the greatest system of justice in the world for two reasons. One is that everyone is equal in this courtroom. Just because you're with the Prince George's County government doesn't make you better than anyone else. And the second reason that we have the greatest system in the world is that even when the government itself is alleged to be the wrongdoer, we can

trust the government to bring about justice. We are sitting in a building that is owned by the Prince George's County government.

And what has the government done to decide this case fairly? Let's bring in a cross section of the community to decide the case. We don't care anything about these folks except that they make a pledge to be fair. You didn't have to show any special degree when you came in here, no special expertise, just your common sense, because you are the voice of the community that decides what justice, what good can come out of this case. And that is a powerful and awesome responsibility for you.

There is a law that guides you. The law only puts out a skeleton, though, of what you are to consider. The law requires you to put flesh on the skeleton. Let's talk about what the law provides. And His Honor told you, Judge Lombardi, in essence, that money is the measure of justice in this case. You can not turn back the clock. You cannot bring this man back to life. You can only measure the fair value of what his family has lost.

Now, if this family had lost a priceless painting, even if by some miracle they had a Van Gogh or something like that in their attic and this man had

destroyed that painting, there wouldn't be any question that he would be liable for it even if it's very expensive. No question at all. It would just be, "Well, what's the fair market value," and they're going to have to pay.

Now, when a human being's life is destroyed, it's a lot harder, and that's why we bring in the voice of the community to decide that. The Governor and the legislators, the lawmakers who setup this law that we call the Wrongful Death Act, set up three categories for you to consider in making a determination of what is just and fair in this case. I want to go over them now with you.

You heard that Nina Jones is entitled to recover for any reasonably expected loss of income of the decedent that would have gone to her. In other words, her loss of support.

Now, you heard evidence about financial support. You heard what kind of worker Prince Jones was. You heard that at Bally's he was extremely well-liked, popular, articulate, customers loved him. He went out of his way to help people. He needed minimal supervision. A good employee. Handsome, well-spoken, the kind of employee anyone would want to have.

You heard he was about to enter an elite Navy nuclear submarine program. We know that the next few years as he got into that program would not have been easy years for he and Nina, but we calculated out very carefully just what a conservative estimate would be on what amount of support the average father making an average income would have provided a child. And you saw the numbers. We had them on the board here.

Let me just show it to you quickly. Depending on if he did a 20-year program in the Navy or a six-year program in the Navy, and just using averages, just the average college graduate, this young girl has lost somewhere between a little over four hundred thousand dollars, when you add in the loss of health insurance, to a little over eight hundred thousand dollars on just that aspect of the case.

I'm going to draw a circle here on the board and I'm going to call it the circle of family and what a father does for a daughter, and I'm just going to ask you to think about how much financial support is in the overall scheme of things for a father and a daughter. Because there are two other categories that we still have left to talk about here. How do you measure the value of financial support versus the services that a father gives to a daughter and the care and the comfort

and the companionship? People will have different percentages that they will give to this. But everybody knows that if all a father did was to send a check in every month to his daughter for her support, that would not be much of a father. That father might be maybe one-tenth of a father, maybe one-fifth of a father, maybe even one-fourth of a father just on financial support. So let's put in a quarter of what a father does for a child as financial support, and that's something for you all to talk about.

The second category that the law provides -and remember, the great thing about our law is that it
doesn't set out any particular financial schedules or
formulas for calculating the value of the loss of a
father. The law says we trust the people, we trust the
voice of the community to figure out what it is worth.
And the powerful lesson there is that you know you can
imagine a system where the law said, "Okay, death of a
father of a minor child, that's X hundred thousand, two
hundred thousand," any number you want, and that would
just be a schedule and then we wouldn't even need to
have a jury trial.

That's not what our law provides. Our law sets out categories. And our law says those categories are important, and the law turns it over to the voice of the

community to decide. So, our second category, loss of services, the Judge told you what that means. Any reasonably expected loss of services, protection, care and assistance which the decedent provided to the child and likely would have provided if he had lived.

What was the evidence on that? Even in just a short ten months together, Prince fed her, he dressed her or burped her, he changed her diaper. But you must stretch your mind. You must stretch your mind to the loss of a lifetime of services, because nothing can -- what the judge said -- put any time limit on loss of services. You heard only the loss of financial support, that that's reasonably limited to age 18, and to a little bit after that for college. But everybody knows a father doesn't stop caring for his daughter at age 18, or even age 22 when she graduated from college.

Now, let's talk about the third category for a minute. Loss of companionship, comfort. What else did the Judge say? Kindly offices. Great how the lawmakers write these Statutes. Advice. This is just the law's awkward way of saying how important a father's love is for a daughter. Because what is love between a father and a daughter? It is companionship. It is comfort. It is kindly offices. It is advice. And the law places no restrictions on your consideration of that. And it

is a lifetime of love that has been lost here.

You must wrap your mind around what it means for a little girl to lose a lifetime of her father's love, for a little girl never to be able to say, "Daddy, look at me, I'm on top of the slide. Daddy, watch this, I'm going to kick the ball. Daddy, please take me to soccer practice. Daddy, guess what, the kids just elected me president of my kindergarten class. Daddy, guess what I did today?"

Now, some fathers are absent out of choice, but we know from the evidence here that this father would have been there for all of his daughter's important milestones. The first ride on a bicycle, the first time she goes out on the soccer field, with a basketball field for her school, the first time she borrowed the car keys. It's funny how quick that happens. Her high school graduation, college graduation. All of that, there will be a hole in her life where her father could have been. A daughter who hopes that one day, like many girls, she will walk down the aisle of a church, but she will not have a father on her arm. A daughter who will not be able to say, "Daddy, guess what, you're a grandpa now."

And it's not just that the father is there for the great times, for the joyous times, he's there for

the tough times, too. "Daddy, some kid was calling me names today and I want you to beat up her daddy, or something. Daddy, I was with some kids at the mall and we did something really stupid, and I'm sorry. Daddy, I'm all right, but I wrecked the car." All these times of trouble which we know our children go through, this little girl will not have a daddy for.

We know that this was a father who took his job very seriously, so seriously that he bought books about child care before she was even born. A father who sat that girl on his lap and read Bible stories to her and Doctor Seuss. Now, he had put off becoming a man, you know, he was still 25 years old and he hadn't quite yet graduated from college. He dropped out of school for awhile. It is probably no coincidence that he did not get serious about his career until he had a little baby, because responsible men get serious when they have serious obligations. And that's what happened to Prince Jones. That is the evidence.

You heard that his life expectancy, if he was just an average man, when his daughter died, was forty-six years. This father and daughter lost forty-six years together, and you have to wrap your mind around the enormity of that loss.

People make objections to awarding money in a

case like this. Some say, "Well, no amount of money can compensate for this." But when someone says that, aren't they really just saying that it's huge and that it's hard to calculate the loss that is that big? Other people might say, "Well, what good does money do anyway?" I'll tell you, money does a lot of good.

Fair compensation for this little girl can give her -- guarantee her an education, a fine education.

All she needs is one step up on the ladder of success.

She can climb the rest of that ladder herself. You heard how bright she is and talented, even in kindergarten. All she needs is the financial wherewithal to make it.

Another objection would be what if the money is misused by the adults in her life? Now, you heard the Judge talk about that. We have laws for that. We have courts. We have trust accounts set up so that the money is protected and is only used for her benefit while she's a child for her education, if she has any medical needs. And then when she becomes an adult, it becomes under her control.

We did not bring this little girl into court, ladies and gentlemen. It wouldn't have been right. She wouldn't have understood what was going on here. And at worst, she would have been scared. Now, the only

possible purpose would have been to parade her around and try to win your sympathy for seeing a darling little girl. But we're not about sympathy here. We're about justice. We're about following the law and doing justice for this girl and her family. You wish you had seen her in the flesh, please, take it out on me and Mr. Roberts and not on this little girl.

Now, you have seen two other people here who have had a terrible loss; Mabel Jones and Prince Carmen Jones, Senior. Nina, fortunately, was only ten months old when her father died, and, so, she was young enough to be protected from the raw grief, the horrible sorrow that these parents have endured. And you have to consider that, too. Because when this young man died, he wasn't just a father, he was a son. They called him Rocky. Rock.

Now, a father who asks his son to become his best man at his remarriage is entitled to say that,
"That boy was my best friend." A father today who still goes to the gravesite a couple of times a month, who wakes up in the middle of the night and thinks that he's talking to him and thinks that there's going to be a phone call and thinks he's going to be there. You heard all of that evidence and more about the terrible impact this had.

You know, losing a child is just not in the natural order of life. We all expect to lose our parents one day. We know it's going to happen. We try to put it off. But we do not expect to have to bury our own children. And it is an event that takes the heart right out of a person and stomps it on the ground and leaves it crushed. You saw two people who have been crushed. The law says that for the parents, you are to consider their sorrow, their mental anguish, their loss of society and companionship and comfort and protection and care, attention, advice, all these words the law uses to describe love, the love in a family.

1.5

Now, grief is one thing. Loss of joy and loss of love is something more. And even protection and care, remember, that just one other thing that they have been deprived of, is having a devoted son who, twenty years down the road when they get older, they could turn to and say, "Son, please help me out, I need to use my walker now. Can you help me get something out of the refrigerator," all those kinds of things that a good son does for a good parent. They have been deprived of that, too.

So, you must wrap your mind around the enormity of their lifetime loss. A fair amount awarded here represents your assessment as the voice of the community

about what has been taken from Nina and what has been taken from her parents, and taken from her grandparents, from Prince Jones' parents.

A death has been incurred here. When someone destroys something of value that someone else has, that person is owed a debt, and it may be a huge debt, but it is a debt, nevertheless, and it is time to pay it. How much is this little girl's case worth? Is it worth five million dollars? Is it worth more? Is it worth less? That is for you to decide based on the evidence in this case.

You will render a verdict, and I want to write that word down because it is a very special word. The word "verdict" comes from the Latin. "Ver," means truth. "Dict" means to speak. A verdict is nothing more than a speaking of the truth. When you speak the truth in this case with your verdict, you will speak out about the value of love, the value of family, and the horrible price that is inflicted when those things are wrongfully taken and a cost that must be repaid to make it right under the law. Thank you.

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Esther L. Thompson, an Official Court

Reporter for the Circuit Court of Prince George's

County, Maryland, do hereby certify that I

stenographically recorded the proceedings in the matter

of Nina Amayye' Eden Chi Jones, et al., versus Prince

George's County Maryland, et al., in the Circuit Court

for Prince George's County, Maryland, Civil Action Law

I further certify that the page numbers one through fifteen constitute the official transcript of the requested excerpt from the proceedings as transcribed by me from my stenographic notes to the within typewritten matter in a complete and accurate manner.

No. 01-3974, on January 17, 2006, before the Honorable

James J. Lombardi, Associate Judge.

In Witness Whereof, I have affixed my signature this 2006.

Esther L. Thompson, RPR.
Official Court Reporter