

# Regulatory monitoring

Newsletter

August 2022





# ECB in focus

ECB in focus is our blog dedicated to the banking supervisory activities of the European Central Bank (ECB). We report on key developments in European banking regulation led by the ECB as part of the Single Supervisory Mechanism (SSM).

The blog features views and commentary from members of Allen & Overy's market-leading German financial services regulation practice.

For enquiries regarding Allen & Overy's ECB in focus blog, please contact us.

## Some of our recent posts

### **ECB EXPRESSES SUPPORT FOR THE EU PROPOSAL TO HARMONISE RULES FOR THIRD COUNTRY BANK**

*7 June 2022*

In its opinion on the Commission's proposal to amend CRD VI, the ECB strongly supports the plans envisaged by harmonising the EU regulatory framework for third country branches. It endorses the planned prohibition to provide cross-border banking services, but acknowledges that the scope of the services caught by the ban needs clarification.

[Read more →](#)

### **EU GENERAL COURT BACKS ECB ON REFUSAL TO PERMIT SILVIO BERLUSCONI TO ACQUIRE A STAKE**

*5 July 2022*

In *Fininvest and Berlusconi* (Case T-913/16), the General Court upheld the ECB's decision to refuse to authorise Silvio Berlusconi's acquisition of a qualifying stake in Banca Mediolanum, due to his failure to meet the reputational criterion following his 2013 conviction for tax fraud.


[Read more →](#)

### **GOVERNANCE WITHIN SMALLER BANKS - KEY TAKEAWAYS FROM THE ECB THEMATIC REVIEW**

*1 June 2022*

In the context of its 2022-2024 Supervisory Priorities, the ECB carried out a thematic review of the governance arrangements of more than 200 smaller banks under its indirect supervision. The review identified some areas requiring improvement and highlighted the need for greater alignment among European supervisors in addressing the identified weaknesses.

[Read more →](#)



More information  
under [reggateway.com](http://reggateway.com)

# RegGateway

The All-in-One solution for regulatory monitoring & compliance

## Features

### HORIZON SCANNING / REGULATORY MONITORING

Identify new regulatory developments easily and tailored to the specific type of regulated firm, so that only relevant changes appear.

### FINANCIAL REGULATORY LAW SOURCEBOOK

A categorised collection of all laws in the field of financial regulatory law for Europe and Germany (constantly being expanded), which can be compiled as an obligation register and commented on as desired.

### IMPLEMENTATION MANAGEMENT

Effective implementation (including tailored impact analysis and gap analysis) of new legislation and agile control using our project management tool (including KPIs).

### CHANGE ANALYSIS AND PREVIEW OF RULES

Read the future versions of a law early, including the official explanatory memorandum, and identify any legislative changes.

# Contents

1.	Bank regulation .....	7
1.1	Prudential regulation.....	7
(a)	Solvency/Own funds issues.....	7
	BaFin: Update of FAQ on the Systemic Risk Buffer ( <i>Update der FAQ zum Kapitalpuffer für systemische Risiken</i> ).....	7
	EC: Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) .../... supplementing the CRR with regard to RTS specifying exotic underlyings and the instruments bearing residual risks for the purposes of the calculation of own funds requirements for residual risks .....	7
	EC: Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) .../... supplementing the CRR with regard to RTS specifying the calculation methods of gross jump-to-default amounts for exposures to debt and equity instruments and for exposures to default risk arising from certain derivative instruments, and specifying the determination of notional amounts of instruments other than the instruments referred to in Article 325w(4) of the CRR .....	7
(b)	Securitisation.....	8
	EBA: Consultation on draft RTS specifying the determination by originator institutions of the exposure value of synthetic excess spread pursuant to Article 248(4) of the CRR.	8
(c)	Liquidity .....	8
	BaFin: Circular 07/2022 on additional liquidity outflows for other products and services pursuant to Article 23 of Delegated Regulation 2015/61 ( <i>Rundschreiben 07/2022 (BA) über zusätzliche Liquiditätsabflüsse in Zusammenhang mit anderen Produkten und Dienstleistungen gemäß Artikel 23 Delegierte Verordnung (EU) 2015/61</i> ) .....	8
	BaFin: Circular 06/2022 on stable refinancing of off-balance sheet items ( <i>Rundschreiben 06/2022 (BA) zur stabilen Refinanzierung von außerbilanziellen Posten</i> ).....	9
1.2	Recovery and resolution .....	9
	BaFin: Circular 08/2022 regarding the minimum requirements for resolution capability in the context of resolution planning ( <i>Rundschreiben 08/2022 zu den Mindestanforderungen an die Abwicklungsfähigkeit im Rahmen der Abwicklungsplanung</i> ) .....	9
2.	Investment firms regulation .....	10
	Corrigendum to IFR Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1159 on public disclosure of investment policy by investment firms.....	10
3.	Market regulation/Conduct rules .....	11
3.1	Benchmarks .....	11



	ESMA: Response to the Commission’s consultation on the regime applicable to the use of benchmarks administered in a third country .....	11
3.2	Consumer protection rules .....	11
	EC: Final report on the disclosure, inducements, and suitability rules for retail investors study .....	11
3.3	MiFID/MiFIR .....	12
	BMF: Regulation implementing Delegated Directive (EU) 2021/1269 ( <i>Verordnung zur Umsetzung der Delegierten Richtlinie (EU) 2021/1269</i> ) .....	12
	ECON: Draft report on proposed Regulation amending MiFIR .....	12
3.4	Packaged retail and insurance-based investment products (PRIIPs) .....	13
	BaFin: Note on PRIIPs basic information sheet replacing key investor information as of 1 January 2023 ( <i>Mitteilung über das PRIIPs-Basisinformationsblatt, das die wesentlichen Anlegerinformationen zum 1. Januar 2023 ersetzt</i> ).....	13
4.	Market infrastructure .....	14
4.1	Custody rules .....	14
	ESMA: Q&A on the implementation of the CSDR .....	14
4.2	EMIR .....	14
	ESMA: Memorandum of Understanding on CCPs established in South Africa .....	14
	EC: Report on the treatment of central counterparty equity in the write-down and conversion tool under the CCP Recovery and Resolution Regulation .....	14
	IOSCO/CPMI: Discussion paper on CCPs’ practices for addressing non-default losses	15
5.	Anti-money laundering .....	16
	FATF: Report on Germany’s measures to combat money laundering and terrorist financing.....	16
6.	Payments .....	17
6.1	Payment services/E-money .....	17
	EC: Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) .../... amending the RTS laid down in Delegated Regulation (EU) 2018/389 as regards the 90-day exemption for account access.....	17
7.	Investment funds .....	18
7.1	Product regulation.....	18
(a)	AIF .....	18
	BaFin: FAQ about the marketing and acquisition of investment funds pursuant to the German Investment Code ( <i>FAQ zum Vertrieb und Erwerb von Investmentvermögen nach dem KAGB</i> ).....	18

	ECB: Opinion on a proposal for a directive as regards delegation arrangements, liquidity risk management, supervisory reporting, provision of depositary and custody services and loan origination by alternative investment funds.....	18
(b)	UCITS .....	19
	BaFin: FAQ about the marketing and acquisition of investment funds pursuant to the German Investment Code ( <i>FAQ zum Vertrieb und Erwerb von Investmentvermögen nach dem KAGB</i> ).....	19
	ECB: Opinion on a proposal for a directive as regards delegation arrangements, liquidity risk management, supervisory reporting, provision of depositary and custody services and loan origination by alternative investment funds.....	19
8.	Special topics .....	20
8.1	Sustainable finance .....	20
	ESMA: Response to EFRAG’s consultation on first draft of European Sustainability Reporting Standards .....	20
	EC: Summary report on targeted consultation on the functioning of the ESG ratings market in the EU and on the consideration of ESG factors in credit ratings.....	20
9.	Contacts.....	21

# 1. Bank regulation

---

## 1.1 Prudential regulation

### (a) Solvency/Own funds issues

#### (i) Germany

##### **BaFin: Update of FAQ on the Systemic Risk Buffer (*Update der FAQ zum Kapitalpuffer für systemische Risiken*)**

Status: Final

BaFin has updated its FAQ on the topic of systemic risk buffers by adding a new question no. 8. These FAQ support the General Administrative Act (*Allgemeinverfügung*) establishing a systemic risk buffer pursuant to Section 10e of the German Banking Act for the residential real estate sector. The new question explains how to deal with the fact that the data-related reporting item Credit Risk Standardised Approach (CRSA), line 40, column 220 can also contain risk positions that are secured by residential real estate located abroad.

Date of publication: 09/08/2022

#### (ii) EU

##### **EC: Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) .../... supplementing the CRR with regard to RTS specifying exotic underlyings and the instruments bearing residual risks for the purposes of the calculation of own funds requirements for residual risks**

Status: Adopted by the EC

The EC has adopted a Delegated Regulation supplementing the CRR with regard to RTS specifying exotic underlyings and the instruments bearing residual risks for the purposes of the calculation of own funds requirements for residual risks under the alternative standardised approach for market risk. In particular, the final draft RTS: (i) specify that longevity risk, weather, natural disasters and future realised volatility should be considered as exotic underlyings; (ii) set out a non-exhaustive list of instruments bearing residual risks; and (iii) set out a list of risks that, in themselves, do not constitute residual risks.

Date of publication: 16/08/2022

##### **EC: Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) .../... supplementing the CRR with regard to RTS specifying the calculation methods of gross jump-to-default amounts for exposures to debt and equity instruments and for exposures to default risk arising from certain derivative instruments, and specifying the determination of notional amounts of instruments other than the instruments referred to in Article 325w(4) of the CRR**

Status: Adopted by the EC

The EC has adopted a Delegated Regulation containing RTS on gross jump-to-default (JTD) amounts for exposures to debt and equity instruments and for exposures to default risk arising from certain derivative instruments, and specifying the determination of notional amounts of instruments other than the instruments referred to in Article 325w(4) of the CRR. The draft RTS specify how institutions are to determine prescribed components, and the notional amounts of instruments, when calculating gross JTD amounts for different types of instruments in accordance with the formulae in Article 325w of the CRR. In addition, the draft RTS specify which alternative methodologies institutions are to use for estimating the gross JTD amounts of exposures to default risk arising from derivative instruments whose pay-offs in the event of default of the obligor are not related to the notional amount of a specific instrument issued by that obligor, or to the LGD of the obligor or an instrument issued by that obligor. In this regard, the draft RTS provide specifications for the determination of those components, and methodologies,

aimed at ensuring that gross JTD amounts calculated in accordance with Article 325w of the CRR are equivalent to those calculated under international standards for equivalent positions. In accordance with the provisions in the draft RTS, gross JTD amounts are determined as the change in market value of the instrument from which the exposure arises following an instantaneous default event of the debt or equity instrument, or of the obligor.

The Council and the EP will scrutinise the Delegated Regulation, and, if neither object, it will enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the OJ.

Date of publication: 11/08/2022

## (b) Securitisation

### (i) EU

#### **EBA: Consultation on draft RTS specifying the determination by originator institutions of the exposure value of synthetic excess spread pursuant to Article 248(4) of the CRR**

Status: Consultation

Deadline for the submission of comments: 14/10/2022

The EBA has launched a consultation on draft RTS on the determination by originator institutions of the exposure value of synthetic excess spread (SES) in securitisations under the CRR. The Capital Markets Recovery Package sets out that SES shall be considered a securitisation position by the originator institution with regard to a synthetic securitisation, and further requires the EBA to submit draft RTS specifying the determination of the exposure value to the EC. The proposals set out in the consultation paper will contribute to a more risk-sensitive prudential framework in the area of synthetic securitisation. The draft RTS address arbitrage opportunities, which can occur when an originator institution provides credit enhancement to the securitisation positions held by protection providers by contractually designating certain amounts to cover losses of the securitised exposures during the life of the transaction. These amounts, which encumber the originator institution's income statement in a manner similar to an unfunded guarantee, were not previously risk weighted.

These draft RTS specify the calculation of the exposure value of the elements that should be included in the exposure value of SES, taking into account the relevant losses expected to be covered by SES. These elements include: (i) any income from the securitised exposures recognised by the originator institution in its income statement under the applicable accounting framework that the originator institution has contractually designated to the transaction as SES that is still available to absorb losses; (ii) any SES contractually designated by the originator institution in any previous periods that is still available to absorb losses or for the current period; and (iii) any SES contractually designated by the originator institution for future periods.

Date of publication: 09/08/2022

## (c) Liquidity

### (i) Germany

#### **BaFin: Circular 07/2022 on additional liquidity outflows for other products and services pursuant to Article 23 of Delegated Regulation 2015/61 (*Rundschreiben 07/2022 (BA) über zusätzliche Liquiditätsabflüsse in Zusammenhang mit anderen Produkten und Dienstleistungen gemäß Artikel 23 Delegierte Verordnung (EU) 2015/61*)**

Status: Final

Date of application: 15/08/2022

BaFin has published a directly applicable Circular on additional liquidity outflows for other products and services pursuant to Article 23 of Delegated Regulation 2015/61, replacing the previously applicable Circular BA 12/2021. In particular, the new version aims to adjust the materiality criteria for the annual report in accordance with Article 23(2) of Delegated Regulation 2015/61. It also aims to introduce a few content-related changes for clarification purposes. The new criteria intend to ensure that institutions only submit a report for which the respective product categories are actually material. The Circular specifies the supervisory approach when applying Article 23 of Delegated Regulation 2015/61 to the additional liquidity outflows in



connection with other products and services. The document further defines the categories of products and services specified in Article 23(1)(a) to (h) of Delegated Regulation 2015/61 and the associated liquidity outflows. Along with this Circular, BaFin has also published a [cover letter](#) sent to the institutions addressed and an accompanying [notification sheet](#) for annual notifications pursuant to Article 23(2) of Delegated Regulation 2015/61.

The Circular applies to institutions to which Article 6(4) of the CRR applies and which are classified as less significant institutions under Article 6(4) of the SSM Regulation. It also applies to all institutions that are treated as CRR credit institutions in accordance with Section 1a of the German Banking Act (*Kreditwesengesetz* – KWG).

Date of publication: 15/08/2022

### **BaFin: Circular 06/2022 on stable refinancing of off-balance sheet items (*Rundschreiben 06/2022 (BA) zur stabilen Refinanzierung von außerbilanziellen Posten*)**

**Status: Final**

BaFin has published a Circular on stable refinancing of off-balance sheet items, in which it specified its approach to the application of Article 428p(10) and Article 428aq(10) of the CRR. This concerns the off-balance sheet items in the net stable funding ratio (NSFR) or in the simplified net stable funding ratio (sNSFR). This Circular is accompanied by an [exemplary calculation](#) on the basis of Articles 428p(10) and 428aq(10) on how to treat off-balance sheet assets used as collateral which have been received as part of off-balance sheet securities lending.

The Circular is intended to apply to institutions which are covered by Article 6(4) of the CRR and classified as less significant institutions (LSIs) in accordance with Article 6(4) of the SSM Regulation. It also applies to all institutions that are treated as CRR credit institutions in accordance with section 1a of the German Banking Act (*Kreditwesengesetz* – KWG).

Date of publication: 01/08/2022

## 1.2 Recovery and resolution

### (i) Germany

### **BaFin: Circular 08/2022 regarding the minimum requirements for resolution capability in the context of resolution planning (*Rundschreiben 08/2022 zu den Mindestanforderungen an die Abwicklungsfähigkeit im Rahmen der Abwicklungsplanung*)**

**Status: Final**

BaFin has published the final version of its Circular 08/2022 regarding the minimum requirements for resolution capability in the context of resolution planning. This Circular is based on the [SRB Expectations for Banks](#) and also implements the [EBA Guidelines on improving resolvability for institutions and resolution authorities under Articles 15 and 16 BRRD \(Resolvability Guidelines\)](#). It aims to establish minimum requirements that institutions or affiliated group entities must meet in order to be considered resolvable with regard to the categories set out in Article 26(3) of Delegated Regulation (EU) 2016/1075. These minimum requirements are divided into the following seven dimensions in order to enhance the resolvability of entities: (i) Governance; (ii) Loss Absorption and Recapitalisation Capacity; (iii) Liquidity and Funding; (iv) Operational Continuity and Access to Financial Market Infrastructure Services; (v) Information Systems and Data Requirements; (vi) Communication; and (vii) Severability and Restructuring. The institutions concerned must generally implement the minimum requirements by 1 January 2024. In the event of a change in strategy, they must meet the minimum requirements as quickly as possible, but no later than three years after the date of the initial approval of the resolution plan by BaFin.

The Circular is directed at all institutions within the meaning of Article 2 of the Single Resolution Mechanism (SRM) Regulation and entities within the meaning of Section 1(1) of the German Recovery and Resolution Act (*Sanierungs- und Abwicklungsgesetz* – SAG) with their registered office in Germany for which the SRB is not responsible. The target group comprises institutions whose liquidation in the course of regular insolvency proceedings would jeopardise at least one of the resolution objectives pursuant to Article 14(2) of the SRM Regulation or Section 67(1) of the SAG and for which the use of resolution tools to achieve the resolution objectives was assessed as suitable at the time of resolution planning.

Date of publication: 17/08/2022

## 2. Investment firms regulation

---

### (i) EU

#### Corrigendum to IFR Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1159 on public disclosure of investment policy by investment firms

Status: Published in the OJ

The Corrigendum to Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1159 supplementing the IFR with regard to RTS for public disclosure of investment policy by investment firms has been published in the OJ. The Corrigendum inserts two tables in Annex I of the Delegated Regulation related to voting behaviour in general meetings.

Date of publication: 25/08/2022



## 3. Market regulation/Conduct rules

---

### 3.1 Benchmarks

#### (i) EU

##### **ESMA: Response to the Commission's consultation on the regime applicable to the use of benchmarks administered in a third country**

Status: Final

ESMA has published its response to the EC's consultation on the regime applicable to the use of benchmarks administered in a third country (TC). Key points highlighted by ESMA include: (i) the restrictions on the use of TC benchmarks should be removed following a risk-based approach while ensuring a level playing field between EU and TC administrators; (ii) the proposal to create a new category of 'strategic' benchmarks is supported by ESMA. This category would be the only category of benchmarks subject to mandatory restrictions of use, similar to the current rules; (iii) the introduction of an EU ESG benchmark label would be an extra supporting tool against greenwashing; and (iv) ESMA emphasises that while the BMR covers a wide range of benchmarks used in the EU, so far very few jurisdictions have followed a similar regulatory approach regarding the provision and use of benchmarks. Therefore, the wide scope of the BMR would lead to the undesirable outcome of limited availability of TC benchmarks to EU investors as opposed to their non-EU peers.

The EC is required to complete its review and submit a report to the EP and the Council by 15 June 2023.

Date of publication: 19/08/2022

### 3.2 Consumer protection rules

#### (i) EU

##### **EC: Final report on the disclosure, inducements, and suitability rules for retail investors study**

Status: Final

The EC has published a final report on disclosure, inducements and suitability rules following the retail investors study that it commissioned to feed into the development of its retail investment strategy. The methodology for the study was designed to capture the whole process of retail investor decision-making, from searching for information and reviewing information documents to undergoing a suitability assessment and demands and needs test and receiving advice. The objective was the study and analysis of the investment environment the investors are in, with an analysis of the product costs, current practices in advice and product provision. The primary data collection focused on 15 EU member states to cover a wide range of situations regarding levels of take-up of retail investment products, market characteristics and geographical diversity. The findings relating to disclosure, inducements and advice, and suitability, demands and needs test are each set out in different chapters, with the findings then analysed under the light of the Better Regulation criteria to understand whether the current legal framework on disclosure, advice, inducements and suitability assessments is relevant, coherent, effective, efficient and has added value for consumer protection. Eight overarching conclusions arise from the study, and these are set out in Chapter 8.

Date of publication: 02/08/2022

## 3.3 MiFID/MiFIR

### (i) Germany

#### **BMF: Regulation implementing Delegated Directive (EU) 2021/1269 (*Verordnung zur Umsetzung der Delegierten Richtlinie (EU) 2021/1269*)**

Status: Consultation

Deadline for the submission of comments: 26/08/2022

The German Federal Ministry of Finance (*Bundesfinanzministerium* – BMF) has published for consultation a draft Regulation to implement Commission Delegated Directive (EU) 2021/1269 amending Delegated Directive (EU) 2017/593 as regards the integration of sustainability factors into the product governance obligations. The Delegated Directive to be implemented aims to introduce sustainability-related factors and goals in the design and sale of financial instruments.

The draft Regulation transfers the changes to be implemented one-to-one into the Regulation specifying the rules of conduct and organisational requirements for investment services companies (*Wertpapierdienstleistungs-Verhaltens- und -Organisationsverordnung* – WpDVerOV). In addition, the regulation is used as an opportunity to update an outdated reference in the WpÜG Offer Regulation (*WpÜG-Angebotsverordnung* – WpÜGAngebV).

Date of publication: 08/08/2022

### (ii) EU

#### **ECON: Draft report on proposed Regulation amending MiFIR**

Status: Draft

The European Parliament's Economic and Monetary Affairs Committee (ECON) has published a draft report on the EC's proposal for a Regulation amending MiFIR as regards enhancing market data transparency, removing obstacles to the emergence of a consolidated tape, optimising trading obligations and prohibiting receipt of payments for forwarding client orders. The draft report, dated 26 July 2022, contains a draft EP legislative resolution which sets out suggested amendments to the proposed Regulation, as well as an explanatory statement.

The explanatory statement details the amendments to the legislation – these include: (i) consolidated tape (CT). The rapporteur agrees with the EC that a CT should be introduced across all asset classes. The various CTs should be introduced in a phased approach – starting with bonds, then equities/ETFs and derivatives – and with no longer than six months between the initiation of the process for appointing the CTP in each asset class; (ii) transparency waivers. The rapporteur proposes a rebalancing of the rules governing capital markets by limiting the use of the waivers to pre-trade transparency obligations under Article 4 of MiFIR. The threshold for the use of those waivers should be determined by ESMA, and not be higher than twice the standard market size. This proposal introduces greater flexibility than the EC's proposed fixed threshold, allowing ESMA to factor in different elements when determining the threshold; (iii) transparency: non-equities deferrals. To simplify the current regime and ensure end-investor transparency, the rapporteur believes that the deferral regime for non-equities should be harmonised. The price and the volume of a non-equity transaction should be published as close to real time as possible, and the price should only be delayed until, at most, the end of the trading day; (iv) systematic internalisers (SIs) definition and reporting requirements. ESMA should establish a register of all SIs and data reporting entities (DREs), specifying their identity and the instruments or classes of instruments for which they are either an SI or a DRE; (v) derivatives trading obligation (DTO) suspension. The EC proposal addresses the impact of the dealer-to-customer market by allowing for temporary suspension when receiving client quotes from counterparties with no active membership on an EU trading venue. However, this solution does not address the dealer-to-dealer market for credit default swaps in Europe. The ECON's amendment introduces the possibility for DTO suspensions in favour of dealer-to-dealer platforms that have established links to CCPs established in the EU, directly supporting the EU agenda to support the competitiveness of EU CCPs and clearing in the EU; and (vi) forwarding and execution of client orders. The rapporteur believes that the problems identified by the EC with the practices related to the so-called payments for order flows (PFOF) are symptomatic of a broader issue related to the best execution regime. In particular, the wording of the best execution requirements under Article 27 of MiFID II has led to widely divergent supervisory interpretations, of which PFOF is the starkest example. While the rapporteur maintains the initial proposal regarding PFOF, the amendments seek to implement changes to the best execution requirements with a view to ensuring a harmonised approach to best execution.

Date of publication: 29/07/2022

## 3.4 Packaged retail and insurance-based investment products (PRIIPs)

### (i) Germany

**BaFin: Note on PRIIPs basic information sheet replacing key investor information as of 1 January 2023**  
*(Mitteilung über das PRIIPs-Basisinformationsblatt, das die wesentlichen Anlegerinformationen zum 1. Januar 2023 ersetzt)*

**Status: Final**

BaFin has stated that capital management companies will have to comply with preparing PRIIPs basic information sheets instead of key investor information as of 1 January 2023 as their exemption under Article 32 of the PRIIPs Regulation ends on that day. As of this date, the obligation for capital management companies to prepare key investor information pursuant to Section 166 of the German Investment Code (*Kapitalanlagegesetzbuch* – KAGB), possibly in conjunction with Section 270 of the KAGB, no longer applies: In accordance with the newly introduced Article 82a of the UCITS Directive, a key information document that meets the requirements of the PRIIPs Regulation is considered equivalent to key investor information.

When units or shares of a UCITS are marketed to professional investors, the capital management company has the choice of providing either the key investor information document or the PRIIPs key information document. If units or shares of a public AIF (alternative investment fund) are sold to professional investors, the obligation to prepare key investor information will no longer apply from 1 January 2023.

Date of publication: 04/08/2022



## 4. Market infrastructure

---

### 4.1 Custody rules

#### (i) EU

##### **ESMA: Q&A on the implementation of the CSDR**

Status: Final

ESMA has updated its Q&A on the implementation of the Central Securities Depositories Regulation (CSDR). Both changes relate to the section in the Q&A on settlement discipline. ESMA has updated a question on the calculation of cash penalties, and added a new question on the bilateral cancellation facility.

Date of publication: 03/08/2022

### 4.2 EMIR

#### (i) EU

##### **ESMA: Memorandum of Understanding on CCPs established in South Africa**

Status: Final

ESMA has published a memorandum of understanding (MoU) it entered into with South Africa's Financial Sector Conduct Authority, Prudential Authority and the South African Reserve Bank relating to CCPs established in South Africa that applied to ESMA for recognition under EMIR. This MoU: (i) ensures the fulfilment of the condition set out in Article 25 of EMIR, which is that cooperation arrangements have been established as regards the covered CCPs, including as regards information sharing related to the covered CCPs and to regulatory and supervisory developments in South Africa; and (ii) provides ESMA with adequate tools to assess compliance and to monitor the ongoing compliance by the covered CCPs with the recognition conditions in Article 25. This MoU also recognises the role of the ECB and other central banks of issue under EMIR. This MoU will take effect on the date that it is signed by the authorities. It will substitute the MoU entered into between ESMA and the FSCA (formerly known as Financial Services Board) dated 30 November 2015.

Date of publication: 10/08/2022

##### **EC: Report on the treatment of central counterparty equity in the write-down and conversion tool under the CCP Recovery and Resolution Regulation**

Status: Final

The EC has published a report, directed to the EP and Council, on the treatment of central counterparty (CCP) equity in the write-down and conversion tool under the CCP Recovery and Resolution Regulation. Under Article 27(7) of the CCP Recovery and Resolution Regulation (CCP RRR), the resolution authority must write down and convert any instruments of ownership, debt instruments and other unsecured liabilities immediately before or together with the application of another resolution tool, unless a different sequence is applied that would minimise deviations from the 'no creditor worse off' principle and better achieve the resolution objectives. The EC was mandated to publish a report on the application of Article 27(7) under Article 96 of the CCP RRR. Since technical work is still ongoing and practical experience is limited, the EC concludes that no recommendation to amend Article 27(7) can be made at this time. The EC states that more work is needed to ensure that the principle of CCP equity absorbing losses first and being fully loss-absorbing in resolution can be applied. It urges conclusions to be shared with the EP and the Council as soon as possible and no later than 12 February 2026, when the EC should submit the general CCP RRR assessment report.

Date of publication: 10/08/2022

## **(ii) International**

### **IOSCO/CPMI: Discussion paper on CCPs' practices for addressing non-default losses**

Status: Final

Deadline for the submission of comments: 04/10/2022

The International Organization of Securities Commissions (IOSCO) and the Committee on Payments and Market Infrastructures (CPMI) have published a discussion paper on central counterparty (CCP) practices to address non-default losses (NDLs). CPMI/IOSCO explain that NDLs can threaten a CCP's viability as a going concern and its ability to continue providing critical services. Therefore, under the CPMI-IOSCO Principles for financial market infrastructures (PFMI), CCPs must take action and have policies, procedures and plans for addressing NDLs, in addition to a sound risk management framework to mitigate and manage those risks. The discussion paper is intended to help advance industry efforts and foster dialogue by facilitating the sharing of existing practices CCPs employ to address NDLs, and by highlighting opportunities and challenges for CCPs to improve their planning for management of NDLs. It focusses on the key concepts and processes used by CCPs in four areas: (i) developing methodologies and practices for: (a) identifying scenarios in which NDLs may occur (NDL scenarios); (b) quantifying potential NDLs; and (c) assessing the sufficiency of resources and tools available to address NDLs; (ii) achieving the operational effectiveness of plans to address NDLs; (iii) reviewing, exercising, and testing plans for addressing NDLs; and (iv) providing effective governance of, and transparency regarding, plans for addressing NDLs, both in advance of, and during, an NDL event, and engaging with participants (e.g. clearing members and clients) and authorities.

Date of publication: 04/08/2022

## 5. Anti-money laundering

---

### (i) International

#### **FATF: Report on Germany's measures to combat money laundering and terrorist financing**

Status: Final

The FATF has published a report evaluating Germany's measures to combat money laundering and terrorist financing. It found that Germany has implemented significant reforms in the last five years to strengthen its system and more effectively combat money laundering and terrorist financing. Furthermore, it found that some of these new measures are already delivering results, but that Germany needs to continue to implement reforms and take steps to make sure that there is resourcing and prioritisation at the operational level to combat illicit financial flows. The report also addresses BaFin and, for example application where suggests further improvement regarding money laundering prevention supervision in the non-bank financial sector and the elimination of deficiencies in individual financial institutions, especially large banks.

Following this publication, BaFin has published a statement expressing its delight that the FATF recognises BaFin's progress in the area of anti-money laundering and its agreement with the recommendations issued by the FATF. BaFin has also declared that it will implement these recommendations within its efforts to intensify its money laundering supervision.

Date of publication: 25/08/2022



## 6. Payments

---

**As you might have noticed, our Payments & Fintech News have taken a summer break due to a lack of activity in the market, but will be back on 15 September.**

### 6.1 Payment services/E-money

#### (i) EU

**EC: Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) .../... amending the RTS laid down in Delegated Regulation (EU) 2018/389 as regards the 90-day exemption for account access**

Status: Adopted by the EC

The EC has adopted a Delegated Regulation amending the RTS laid down in Delegated Regulation (EU) 2018/389 as regards the 90-day exemption for account access. This document aims to introduce into Delegated Regulation 2018/389 a new mandatory exemption from the requirement to apply strong customer authentication (SCA). Under the exemption, account providers must not apply SCA when customers use an account information service provider to access their payment account information, if certain conditions aimed at ensuring the safety and security of the payment service user's data. This includes that data must be limited in scope, the account service payment service provider (ASPSP) has to apply SCA for the first access and periodically renew it, and the ASPSP may at any time decide to apply SCA if it has objectively justified and duly evidenced reasons for unauthorised or fraudulent access. The Delegated Regulation aims to limit in parallel the scope of application of the voluntary exemption in Article 10 of Delegated Regulation (EU) 2018/389 to instances where the customer accesses the account information directly. It also extends the timeline for the renewal of SCA from every 90 days to every 180 days where the above-mentioned exemptions apply. ASPSPs that offer both a dedicated interface and a contingency mechanism are not required to implement the SCA exemption in the contingency mechanism, provided that they do not apply the SCA exemption in their direct customer channels.

The Council and the EP will scrutinise the Delegated Regulation, and, if neither object, it will enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the OJ.

Date of publication: 03/08/2022

## 7. Investment funds

---

### 7.1 Product regulation

#### (a) AIF

##### (i) Germany

#### **BaFin: FAQ about the marketing and acquisition of investment funds pursuant to the German Investment Code (*FAQ zum Vertrieb und Erwerb von Investmentvermögen nach dem KAGB*)**

Status: Final

BaFin has published an English language version of its recently updated FAQ regarding the distribution and acquisition of investment funds pursuant to the German Investment Code (*Kapitalanlagegesetzbuch – KAGB*). In particular, this version is intended to reflect changes made by the German Fund Jurisdiction Act (*Fondsstandortgesetz – FoStoG*) by adding two new sub-chapters at the top of the document regarding the distinction between marketing and pre-marketing and the submission of pre-marketing notifications.

Date of publication: 26/08/2022

##### (ii) EU

#### **ECB: Opinion on a proposal for a directive as regards delegation arrangements, liquidity risk management, supervisory reporting, provision of depositary and custody services and loan origination by alternative investment funds**

Status: Draft

The ECB has published an opinion on the EC's legislative proposal for a directive amending the AIFMD and the UCITS Directive as regards delegation arrangements, liquidity risk management, supervisory reporting, provision of depositary and custody services and loan origination by alternative investment funds (AIFs). In the opinion, the ECB focuses on the proposed amendments to the AIFMD, and sets out its views on the following: (i) liquidity management and macroprudential tools. The ECB generally welcomes the proposed directive's aim to harmonise the obligations of AIF managers (AIFMs) as regards the management of liquidity risks. However, the proposed directive should also aim to limit the liquidity mismatch between the assets and liabilities of AIFs, by means of measures that specifically target either assets or liabilities; (ii) reporting. The ECB stands ready to cooperate with ESMA in the preparation of a report for the development of an integrated supervisory data collection. The ECB stresses, however, that the integration of the underlying reporting infrastructure must not interfere with or otherwise prejudice the ECB's competence to adopt statistical regulations for its own purposes or to continue to include the full set of relevant statistical reporting requirements in relevant ECB regulations; and (iii) European System of Central Banks (ESCB) access to detailed data on the AIF sector. The proposed directive should require ESMA, which currently receives individual AIF data from the competent authorities, to also make that data available to the ECB and to other relevant ESCB central banks, to enable them to fulfil their tasks, including those under the Treaty, of defining and implementing monetary policy and contributing to the stability of the financial system.

Where the ECB recommends that the proposed directive is amended, specific drafting proposals are set out in a separate technical working document and accompanied by an explanatory text.

Date of publication: 09/08/2022



## (b) UCITS

### (i) Germany

#### **BaFin: FAQ about the marketing and acquisition of investment funds pursuant to the German Investment Code (FAQ zum Vertrieb und Erwerb von Investmentvermögen nach dem KAGB)**

Status: Final

BaFin has published an English language version of its recently updated FAQ regarding the distribution and acquisition of investment funds pursuant to the German Investment Code (*Kapitalanlagegesetzbuch – KAGB*). For further information, please see section 7.1(a) above.

Date of publication: 26/08/2022

### (ii) EU

#### **ECB: Opinion on a proposal for a directive as regards delegation arrangements, liquidity risk management, supervisory reporting, provision of depositary and custody services and loan origination by alternative investment funds**

Status: Draft

The ECB has published an opinion on the EC's legislative proposal for a directive amending the AIFMD and the UCITS Directive as regards delegation arrangements, liquidity risk management, supervisory reporting, provision of depositary and custody services and loan origination by alternative investment funds (AIFs). For more information, please see section 7.1(a) above.

Date of publication: 09/08/2022

## 8. Special topics

---

### 8.1 Sustainable finance

#### (i) EU

##### **ESMA: Response to EFRAG's consultation on first draft of European Sustainability Reporting Standards**

Status: Final

ESMA has provided comments on the first draft of the European Sustainability Reporting Standards (ESRS), as suggested by the European Financial Reporting Advisory Group (EFRAG). The draft ESRS are a key element to achieve the Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive's (CSRD) ambition of ensuring sustainability reporting which is relevant, reliable, comparable and understandable. This document highlights ESMA's support for a strong materiality assessment but expresses its concern with the suggested 'rebuttable presumption' approach. ESMA also encourages EFRAG to keep engaging with the International Sustainability Standards Board to ensure further alignment of the ESRS and the IFRS Sustainability Standards to benefit both users of sustainability reporting and the companies that prepare the reporting. In addition, ESMA sets out several technical remarks on the details of the draft standards.

EFRAG is expected to deliver its final draft ESRS to the European Commission in November 2022, on which ESMA, EBA and EIOPA are mandated to deliver opinions.

Date of publication: 08/08/2022

##### **EC: Summary report on targeted consultation on the functioning of the ESG ratings market in the EU and on the consideration of ESG factors in credit ratings**

Status: Final

The EC has published a summary report on a targeted consultation on the functioning of the ESG ratings market in the EU and the consideration of ESG factors in credit ratings. The report focuses on: (i) use of ESG ratings and dynamics of the market. The vast majority of respondents declare that they do use ESG ratings and among these, 77% use them 'very much', while a smaller share use them 'a little'. Almost all respondents replied that they value and need transparency in data sourcing and methodologies and timeliness, accuracy and reliability of ESG ratings. The majority of respondents, in total and within each main user group, expect to increase their usage of ESG ratings, at least to some degree but often to a large degree; (ii) functioning of the ESG ratings market. The large majority of respondents (over 84%) consider that the market is not functioning well today. A large majority of respondents consider that the lack of transparency on the methodologies used by the providers is a problem in the ESG ratings market, and that there are significant biases with the methodology used by providers, with the market prone to potential conflicts of interests; (iii) intervention in the ESG ratings market. Almost all respondents (94%) consider that intervention is necessary, of which the large majority (80%+) support a legislative intervention with the remainder supporting the development of non-regulatory intervention in the form of guidelines or a code of conduct. The vast majority of respondents (82%) consider that ESG rating providers should be subject to some form of authorisation/registration regime in order to offer their services in the EU; (iv) incorporation of ESG factors in credit ratings. Credit ratings are used by the large majority of respondents for investment decisions (48 out of 55), but they are only decisive for 9% of respondents; they are rather one of many sources of information (for 51%) or a starting point (for 13%). On the other hand, most respondents do find that the level of disclosures as to which credit ratings actions have been influenced by sustainability factors has improved sufficiently, with some laggards; and (v) intervention in the credit ratings market. Slightly more respondents consider that the current trends in the market are sufficient to ensure that CRAs incorporate relevant ESG factors in credit ratings (52 out of 101), than those who disagree. As far as enabling users' understanding of how ESG factors influence credit ratings is concerned, the majority (72%) do not consider market trends and ESMA guidelines to be sufficient. However, out of those who do not consider the current situation sufficient, the majority favour a non-legislative approach.

Date of publication: 03/08/2022

## 9. Contacts

---

### Financial Services Regulatory

---



**Dr Alexander Behrens**  
Key contact | Partner  
Tel +49 69 2648 5730  
alexander.behrens@allenoverly.com



**Stephan Funck**  
Of Counsel  
Tel +49 69 2648 5791  
stephan.funck@allenoverly.com



**Dr Frank Herring**  
Of Counsel  
Tel +49 69 2648 5310  
frank.herring@allenoverly.com



**Lennart Dahmen**  
Counsel  
Tel +49 69 2648 5901  
lennart.dahmen@allenoverly.com



**Woldemar Häring**  
Counsel  
Tel +49 69 2648 5541  
woldemar.haering@allenoverly.com



**Dr Daniela Schmitt**  
Counsel  
Tel +49 69 2648 5475  
daniela.schmitt@allenoverly.com



**Valeska Karcher**  
Senior Associate  
Tel +49 69 2648 5312  
valeska.karcher@allenoverly.com



**Suzana Cvejic**  
Associate  
Tel +49 69 2648 5868  
suzana.cvejic@allenoverly.com



**Niklas Germayer**  
Associate  
Tel +49 69 2648 5973  
niklas.germayer@allenoverly.com



**Betül Kohlhäufel**  
Associate  
Tel +49 69 2648 5788  
betuel.kohlhaeufl@allenoverly.com



**Lukas Wagner**  
Associate  
Tel +49 69 2648 5906  
lukas.wagner@allenoverly.com



**Lisa Huber**  
Professional Support Lawyer  
Tel +49 69 2648 5467  
lisa.huber@allenoverly.com

### Derivatives, Structure Finance and Financial Services Regulatory



**Dr Stefan Henkelmann**  
Partner  
Tel +49 69 2648 5997  
stefan.henkelmann@allenoverly.com



**Martin Scharnke**  
Head of ICM Germany  
Tel +49 69 2648 5835  
martin.scharnke@allenoverly.com

### Derivatives and Structured Finance, Debt Capital Markets

---

FOR MORE INFORMATION PLEASE CONTACT

---

## Frankfurt

Bockenheimer Landstraße 2  
60306 Frankfurt am Main  
Germany

Tel +49 69 2648 5000  
Fax +49 69 2648 5800

---

## COPYRIGHT/DISCLAIMER

---

Our newsletter contains material published and copyrighted information by various authors (in particular of the: Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS), Committee on Payments and Market Infrastructures (CPMI), Council of Europe (CoE), Directorate General for Financial Stability, Financial Services and Capital Markets Union (FISMA), European Banking Authority (EBA), European Central Bank (ECB), European Commission (EC), European Parliament (EP), European Parliament's Committee on Economic and Monetary Affairs (ECON), European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA), European Systemic Risk Board (ESRB), Federal Bank of Germany (BB), Financial Action Task Force (FATF), Financial Stability Board (FSB), German Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (BaFin), German Federal Government, German Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy (BMWi), German Ministry of Finance (BMF), German Federal Ministry of Justice and Consumer Protection (BMJV), German Parliament (BT), Joint Committee of the ESAs (JC), Single Resolution Board (SRB), and Vienna Initiative).

Our newsletter does not represent any legal advice and is provided for information purposes only. All rights reserved, all information without engagement, subject to modifications. This document may be duplicated and distributed only with the express permission of Allen & Overy LLP.

### Allen & Overy LLP

Dreischeibenhäuser 1, 40211 Düsseldorf, Germany | Tel +49 211 2806 7000 | Fax +49 211 2806 7800  
Bockenheimer Landstraße 2, 60306 Frankfurt am Main, Germany | Tel +49 69 2648 5000 | Fax +49 69 2648 5800  
Ballindamm 17, 20095 Hamburg, Germany | Tel +49 40 82 221 2100 | Fax +49 40 82 221 2200  
Maximilianstraße 35, 80539 Munich, Germany | Tel +49 89 71043 3000 | Fax +49 89 71043 3800  
[allenoverly.com](http://allenoverly.com)

Allen & Overy is an international legal practice with approximately 5,600 people, including some 580 partners, working in more than 40 offices worldwide. A current list of Allen & Overy offices is available at [allenoverly.com/global/global\\_coverage](http://allenoverly.com/global/global_coverage).

Allen & Overy means Allen & Overy LLP and/or its affiliated undertakings. Allen & Overy LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC306763. Allen & Overy LLP is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority of England and Wales (SRA number 401323).

The term partner is used to refer to a member of Allen & Overy LLP or an employee or consultant with equivalent standing and qualifications or an individual with equivalent status in one of Allen & Overy LLP's affiliated undertakings. A list of the members of Allen & Overy LLP and of the non-members who are designated as partners is open to inspection at our registered office at One Bishops Square, London E1 6AD.

© Allen & Overy LLP 2022.