



Hogan
Lovells

Unearthing the unseen:

Underground sit-ins epidemic
in South African mining

2024

Contents

Overview	4
Why should we care about the surge in underground sit-ins in the South African mining industry?	6
Guidance for employers	8
Looking ahead	10





Overview

Mining has long been the backbone of South Africa's economy. Alongside agriculture, it is the biggest sector of the economy, employing almost half a million people. But beneath its surface lies a growing crisis – the surge in underground sit-ins. This illegal and unprotected form of protest reached exceptional levels in 2023, posing a significant threat to both employees and the industry. As we investigated this issue, we discovered compelling reasons why this should matter to all stakeholders and we propose actionable strategies for employers to navigate this unsettling trend.





Why should we care about the surge in underground sit-ins in the South African mining industry?

The answer lies in what it says about the industry in the 30th year of our democracy and the profound impact this has on the stability of an essential sector of the nation's economy. Beyond the legal and economic ramifications, these sit-ins jeopardise health and safety and the livelihoods of countless individuals.

In the last months of 2023, there were no less than five impromptu underground sit-ins. They were all unprotected actions, meaning that the procedures for legitimate strike action were not followed and they were all categorised by violence – a recurring theme that conjures up images of past conflict that we would wish to never repeat. Some of these sit-ins included hostage-taking and assaults with a troubling racial undertone. We suggest these sit-ins were motivated by the concatenation of three incendiary causes.

Inter-union rivalry as a catalyst

For almost three decades from its formation in 1982, the National Union of Mineworkers (NUM) was the dominant union representing the interests of employees in the industry. This all changed by 2012 when the Association of Mineworkers and Construction Union (AMCU) reached significant levels of representation to rival the NUM, culminating in the Marikana Massacre. The mining industry navigated this inter-union rivalry with some success over the past decade, but the closed-shop (single union) regime is intricately tied to this intensifying inter-union rivalry and was the cause of the sit-ins at Gold One's Modder East Operations. The decade of peace is over, and the mines have again become battlegrounds for dominance. Opportunism abounds when any unhappiness, regardless of its merit, can simply be laid at the door of the recognised union, so creating the perception of exclusionism and a fertile ground for militance.



Downsizing fears amplifying dissent

While downsizing is a familiar term in the mining sector and one that often rears its head in a commodity down-cycle as we currently experience, inter-union rivalry lays the foundation for more demonstrative dissent when unions hear rumours of retrenchments. Employees, faced with the spectre of unemployment, resort to unprotected sit-ins to voice their concerns and demand job security. At the heart of it is a union's fear that cooperation on retrenchments will be perceived as weakness, which in turn will erode membership. It sheds light on why employees, fuelled by their unions, would be willing to risk their employment in an unprotected sit-in to protest against possible retrenchments, as occurred at Wesizwe Platinum's Bakubung shaft complex.

Political undercurrents in the mines

It would be naive in the extreme to pretend that the political landscape of South Africa does not extend into the mining industry, introducing a third dimension to the surge in underground sit-ins. 2024 is an election year and perhaps one of the most important since the start of our democracy. The historically loyal organised labour and political alliances have been under strain for several years and over the past decade have undergone a continental drift to reveal a new political landscape. This third dimension to these sit-ins can no longer be ignored.

The **decade of peace is over**, and the mines have again become battlegrounds for dominance.

What guidance can employers take to navigate this?

As 2024 rolls on, industry decisions will impact on employees, and union-fuelled industrial relations conflict is most likely to flare up, as it did in recent months.

- Don't take your collective bargaining structures for granted. A "we have always done it this way" approach may leave you unprepared and exposed.
- A real-time awareness of the underground tremors in your specific industrial relations arena will give you the open-minded critical thinking that you need to avoid disruption to your mining operations.

| Plan for the worst,
hope for the best.

- Don't assume your proposed retrenchments will simply follow due process. If downsizing is unavoidable, and for some it may be, then it is best to anticipate that such news will be met by a far more vocal dissenting union than what you may have experienced previously.

Anticipating this will ensure that you choose your timing and form of communication carefully, and if dissent cannot be quelled, then you are at least ready to prevent it escalating to sporadic sit-ins and violence.

- Plan for the worst, hope for the best. This is an obvious maxim, but one which appears most apt in the circumstances. Plan the decisions further in advance; leave room for manoeuvring and don't delay your actions until the last minute.



Looking ahead

The surge in underground sit-ins within the South African mining industry is a critical issue demanding attention and action by all stakeholders. This cannot be left to employers alone to control. Unions, the Departments of Labour and Mineral Resources, as well as the Chief Inspector of Mines, all need to condemn these sit-ins publicly and unambiguously.

They represent an unacceptable breakdown of the collective bargaining systems and the health and safety obligations that rest on employers and employees jointly. Beyond the legal implications, it also threatens the well-being of the workforce and the stability of a vital economic sector. It is a call to action for all stakeholders to unite in safeguarding the interests of the industry that powers the nation's economic engine.



Wessel Badenhorst

Partner
Litigation, Arbitration, and Employment
Johannesburg
+27 11 052 6123
wessel.badenhorst@hoganlovells.com



Sive Makhulathi

Candidate Attorney
Litigation, Arbitration, and Employment
Johannesburg
+27 11 052 6114
sive.makhulathi@intl.hoganlovells.com



Alicante
Amsterdam
Baltimore
Beijing
Berlin
Birmingham
Boston
Brussels
Budapest*
Colorado Springs
Denver
Dubai
Dublin
Dusseldorf
Frankfurt
Hamburg
Hanoi
Ho Chi Minh City
Hong Kong
Houston
Jakarta*
Johannesburg
London
Los Angeles
Louisville
Luxembourg
Madrid
Mexico City
Miami
Milan
Minneapolis
Monterrey
Munich
New York
Northern Virginia
Paris
Philadelphia
Riyadh
Rome
San Francisco
São Paulo
Shanghai
Shanghai FTZ*
Silicon Valley
Singapore
Sydney
Tokyo
Warsaw
Washington, D.C.

*Our associated offices

www.hoganlovells.com

“Hogan Lovells” or the “firm” is an international legal practice that includes Hogan Lovells International LLP, Hogan Lovells US LLP and their affiliated businesses.

The word “partner” is used to describe a partner or member of Hogan Lovells International LLP, Hogan Lovells US LLP or any of their affiliated entities or any employee or consultant with equivalent standing. Certain individuals, who are designated as partners, but who are not members of Hogan Lovells International LLP, do not hold qualifications equivalent to members.

For more information about Hogan Lovells, the partners and their qualifications, see www.hoganlovells.com.

Where case studies are included, results achieved do not guarantee similar outcomes for other clients. Attorney advertising. Images of people may feature current or former lawyers and employees at Hogan Lovells or models not connected with the firm.

© Hogan Lovells 2024. All rights reserved. KX-REQ-195