	Case M:06-cv-01791-VRW	Document 614	Filed 05/07/2009	Page 1 of 4		
		http://www.ide.ur	nra.com/nost/document\/iewer.asnv2	Document hosted at JDSUPRA fid=16e97502-a4eb-4068-a13a-b303fb657a65		
1 2	BRUCE I. AFRAN CARL J. MAYER STEVEN E. SCHWARZ	mp.//www.jusu	pra.com/posudocumentviewer.aspx:	IIU = 10637302-4465-4000-4134-5300550071803		
3						
4	Attorneys for the Plaintiffs					
5	T	INITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT			
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION					
7	IN RE NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS LITIGATION		MDL Docket No. 06-1791 (VRW)			
8			McMURRAY PLAINT ADMINISTRATIVE	MOTION FOR		
10	This Document Relates To:		EXTENSION OF TIN MOTIONS TO DISM	ME TO RESPOND TO USS		
11	McMurray v. Verizon Comm 09-cv-0131-VRW	e., Inc., No.	[CIVIL L.R. 7-11]			
12	09-CV-0131- V K VV		Chief Judge Vaughn R.	Walker		
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28	McMurray Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to Motions to Dismiss, McMurray, et al. v. Verizon, et al., 09-cv-0131-VRW (MDL 06-cv-1791-VRW).					

http://www.idsupra.com/post/documentViewer.aspx?fid=16e97502-a4eb-4068-a13a-b303fb657a65

The McMurray Plaintiffs, through their undersigned attorneys, respectfully request a short extension of two court days from May 7, 2009 until May 11, 2009 to submit their briefs in response to the Government Defendants' (Dkt. No. 583) and Carrier Defendants' (Dkt. No. 588) Motions to Dismiss. In support thereof, the undersigned attorneys certify that additional time is needed to respond; that this is their first non-stipulated request for an extension of time; and that this motion is not brought for purposes of undue delay or any other improper purpose.

The McMurray Plaintiffs have no objection to an extension of equal duration from May 21, 2009 until May 26, 2009 for the Government and Carrier Defendants to submit their reply briefs.

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Dated: May 7, 2009 Respectfully submitted, Princeton, New Jersey

12

11

By: \_\_/s/ Bruce I. Afran\_ 13 BRUCE I. AFRAN, Esq. 10 Braeburn Drive 14 Princeton, NJ 08540

15

Telephone: (609) 924-2075 Attorney for the Plaintiffs

17

16

MAYER LAW GROUP, LLC CARL J. MAYER

18

66 Witherspoon Street, Suite 414 Princeton, NJ 08542

19 20

Telephone: (609) 921-8025

21

Facsimile: (609) 921-6964

THE LAW OFFICES OF STEVEN E. SCHWARZ, ESQ., LLC

22

Steven E. Schwarz, Esq. 2461 W. Foster Ave., #1W

23

Chicago, IL 60625

24

Telephone: (773) 837-6134 Facsimile: (773) 837-6134

25

26

Attorneys for the Plaintiffs

27 28

McMurray Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to Motions to Dismiss, McMurray, et al. v. Verizon, et al., 09-cv-0131-VRW (MDL 06-cv-1791-VRW).

Document hosted at		

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER					
2	It is hereby <b>ORDERED</b> that the <i>McMurray</i> Plaintiffs' responses to the Government and					
3	Carrier Defendants' Motions to Dismiss (Dkt. No. 583, 588) shall be submitted on or before May 11, 2009. It is also <b>ORDERED</b> that the Government and Carrier Defendants' briefs in reply					
4						
5						
6	shall be submitted on or before May 26, 2009.					
7						
8	IT IS SO ORDERED,					
9	Dated: , 2009					
10						
11						
12	Hon. Vaughn R. Walker					
13	United States District Chief Judge					
14						
15						

McMurray Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to Motions to Dismiss, McMurray, et al. v. Verizon, et al., 09-cv-0131-VRW (MDL 06-cv-1791-VRW).

Document hosted at JDSUPRA™

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Steven E. Schwarz, an attorney, hereby certify that, on this 7<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2009, I electronically filed and served the foregoing Administrative Motion for Extension of time to respond to Motions to Dismiss in the above-captioned case using the CM/ECF system which will send via electronic mail copies to all attorneys who are registered users of that system.

By: /s/ Steven E. Schwarz
Steven E. Schwarz

McMurray Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to Motions to Dismiss, McMurray, et al. v. Verizon, et al., 09-cv-0131-VRW (MDL 06-cv-1791-VRW).