



EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

ALERT

DEADLINE APPROACHING FOR CORRECTING CERTAIN SECTION 409A PROBLEMS

Robert M. Fields

The deadline for amending certain non-conforming employment contracts and severance agreements that are eligible for relief from the adverse consequences of Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code is December 31, 2012.

For reasons discussed below, many severance arrangements under employment contracts and standalone severance agreements risk being held in violation of Section 409A. This has caused quite a bit of consternation among practitioners in the executive compensation area. In response to this pushback from the legal community, a few years ago the Internal Revenue Service issued Notice 2010-80, which provides correction methods that would allow certain nonconforming arrangements to be revised so that the penalties for failure to comply with Section 409A will not be imposed.

The uproar had its genesis in the Section 409A requirement providing that amounts of non-qualified deferred compensation may be paid only upon one or more specified events, such as termination of service, a change in control of the employer, death or disability of the service provider, an unforeseeable emergency or a specific time or fixed schedule. Treasury regulations state that non-qualified deferred compensation programs may provide that payments triggered by one

or more of the events described above (other than payments made at a specific time or pursuant to a fixed schedule) can be made during a specified period rather than on a specific date but only if the payment period (1) both begins and ends during one taxable year of the employee/service provider or (2) lasts no more than 90 days and, if the 90-day period straddles two taxable years, the employee/service provider does not have the right to designate the calendar year of payment.

A non-qualified deferred compensation program will be in violation of Section 409A if the payment date or period is not specified in a sufficiently precise manner. Unfortunately, many employment contracts and severance agreements have not been drafted with the required specificity. Quite often, the arrangements are drafted to merely provide that severance payments will be made once the employee executes a release of claims against the employer, with no time limitation being imposed on when the release must be executed.

Notice 2010-80 provides significant relief for nonconforming agreements that were drafted on or before December 31, 2010. Complete relief is granted for payment triggering events occurring on or before March 31, 2011 and relief is also provided for documentary failures if the payments are triggered on or before December 31, 2012. In either situation, the non-

California Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida Nevada New Jersey New York Pennsylvania

conforming employment contracts and severance agreements eligible for the relief must be amended to bring them into compliance with the Notice on or before December 31, 2012. To be eligible for relief under Notice 2010-80, the amended arrangements must reflect rules contained in the Notice that set forth when severance payments must be made.

As the corrective measures described under the

Notice are quite detailed, we strongly advise you to consult your legal advisor well before the deadline so that any nonconforming arrangements can be rectified in a timely manner.

For questions on this alert, contact Robert M. Fields at rifelds@foxrothschild.com or 215.905.2315 or any member of Fox Rothschild's Executive Compensation Practice.



Attorney Advertisement

© 2012 Fox Rothschild LLP. All rights reserved. All content of this publication is the property and copyright of Fox Rothschild LLP and may not be reproduced in any format without prior express permission. Contact marketing@foxorthschild.com for more information or to seek permission to reproduce content. This publication is intended for general information purposes only. It does not constitute legal advice. The reader should consult with knowledgeable legal counsel to determine how applicable leavs apply to specific facts and situations. This publication is based on the most current information at the time it was written. Since it is possible that the laws or other circumstances may have changed since publication, please call us to discuss any action you may be considering as a result of reading this publication.

California Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida Nevada New Jersey New York Pennsylvania
www.foxrothschild.com