	Case 3:07-cv-06076-SI Document 39 Filed 08/14/2008 Page 1 of 3	
	Document hosted at JDSUPRA http://www.jdsupra.com/post/documentViewer.aspx?fid=1fe6e3a1-8e05-4099-936d-p6559b2c39a	\ ĭ
1		20
1 2	Daniel A. Horowitz Cal. State Bar No. 92400 Attorney at Law P.O. Box 1547	
3	Lafayette, California 94549	
4	(925) 283-1863	
5	Attorney for Plaintiff	
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
, 8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
o 9		
9 10	MICHAEL SAVAGE,	
	aka (Michael Weiner)	
11	No. C 07-06076SI Plaintiff,	
12	VS.	
13	Counsel on American-Islamic	
14	Relations, Inc., Council on American Islamic Relations	
15	Action Network Inc., Council on American Islamic Relations	
16	of Santa Clara Inc., and Does 3-100	
17	Defendants.	
18	/	
19		
20	NOTICE OF DI AINTHEE MICHAEL CANACERS	
21	NOTICE OF PLAINTIFF MICHAEL SAVAGE'S	
22	DECISION NOT TO FILE AN AMENDED	
23	COMPLAINT AS TO RICO CAUSE OF ACTION	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	NOTICE OF PLAINTIFF MICHAEL SAVAGE'S DECISION NOT TO FILE AN AMENDED COMPLAINT AS TO RICO CAUSE OF ACTION	

Case 3:07-cv-06076-SI Document 39 Filed 08/14/2008 Page 2 of 3

http://www.jdsupra.com/post/documentViewer.aspx?fid=1fe6e3a1-8e05-4099-936d-p6559b2c39a6

1	
2	
3	Comple
4	calenda
5	03:45 H
6	C-07-6
7	Pltf. to To Set
8	(if no c
9	
10	upon a
11	of that
12	opinior
13	provisi
14	
15	filed) v
16	and a la
17	
18	by this
19	
20	written
21	
22	having
23	
24	prepare
25	because
26	. <u></u>
27	
28	NOTICE OF NOT TO FIL

Notice is hereby given that Michael Savage does not intend to file an Amended aint as to the RICO cause of action herein. Plaintiff is aware that this matter is on ar on Friday, August 15, 2008 as follows: PM NO APPEARANCE MATTER: 076 MICHAEL SAVAGE v. COUNCIL ON AMERICAN-ISLAMIC RELATIONS Amend Complaint. Further CMC. complaint filed, need to enter judgment) Plaintiff further informs the court and opposing counsel that this decision was not based n analysis of the merits of the Amended Complaint. Plaintiff has prepared a final version Amended Complaint that is 116 pages with approximately 200 pages of exhibits. In the n of plaintiff's counsel, the complaint as written would state a claim under the RICO ons of 18 U.S.C. 1962 (c) & (d) and would not be barred by the First Amendment. Plaintiff further informs the Court that the factual content of the Amended Complaint (if vould reflect major factual improvements that clearly document an enterprise, a conspiracy arge number of very specific acts by defendant in furtherance of the conspiracy. The unfiled Amended Complaint states specific RICO predicate acts directed at plaintiff defendant causing monetary damage to plaintiff. The Amended Complaint addresses each and every concern set forth in this Court's order. The Amended Complaint took over 150 hours to prepare.¹ Despite the above, factors arising out of this litigation but taking place (or potentially an effect) outside of this litigation, have led plaintiff (reluctantly), not to proceed. If the Court has any questions about the basis of the decision not to proceed, plaintiff is ed to fully and immediately provide further explanation. Plaintiff has not done so here e the context of this document is not to continue the dispute but explain to the Court the ¹Attorney, paralegal and investigative time.

NOTICE OF PLAINTIFF MICHAEL SAVAGE'S DECISION NOT TO FILE AN AMENDED COMPLAINT AS TO RICO CAUSE OF ACTION

	Case 3:07-cv-06076-SI	Document 39	Filed 08/14/2008	Page 3 of 3		
		http://www.	idsupra.com/post/document\/iewer.a	Document hosted		
1	http://www.jdsupra.com/post/documentViewer.aspx?fid=1fe6e3a1-8e05-4099-936d-pf					
1	basis of plaintiff's decision not to go	o forward.				
2						
3						
4 5	Dated: August 14, 2008					
6			Daniel Horowit	7		
7			Daniel Horowit Attorney for Plaintiff -	Michael Savage		
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28	NOTICE OF PLAINTIFF MICHAEL SAVAGE'S DECISION NOT TO FILE AN AMENDED COMPLAINT AS TO RICO CAUS	e of action 2				