| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5 | LAW OFFICES OF RICHARD D. FARKAS<br>RICHARD D. FARKAS, ESQ. (State Bar No<br>15300 Ventura Boulevard<br>Suite 504<br>Sherman Oaks, California 91403<br>Telephone: (818) 789-6001<br>Facsimile: (818) 789-6002 |   |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 6<br>7<br>8           | Attorneys for Plaintiffs ALAN HOLLANDER and CARDIO-HEALTH CONCEPTS, LLC   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9                     | SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10                    | COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES (WEST DISTRICT)   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11                    |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12                    | ALAN HOLLANDER, an individual;  | Case No. SC 091469  |  |  |  |  |
| 13                    | CARDIO-HEALTH CONCEPTS, LLC, a California Limited Liability Company,  | SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND DECLARATORY        |  |  |  |  |
| 14                    | Plaintiffs,   | RELIEF  |  |  |  |  |
| 15                    | vs.   | )<br>) 1. BREACH OF ORAL CONTRACT                           |  |  |  |  |
| 16                    | PREVENTION CONCEPTS, INC., a  | 2. BREACH OF WRITTEN CONTRACTS 3. BREACH OF THE COVENANT OF |  |  |  |  |
| 17                    | California Corporation; JACQUES D.  | GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING                                 |  |  |  |  |
| 18                    | BARTH, an individual; MAUD M. ZONJEE, an individual; and DOES 1 through 100,  | 4. FRAUD 5. DECLARATION OF CONSTRUCTIVE                     |  |  |  |  |
| 19                    | inclusive,  | TRUST  6. CONSPIRACY TO DEFRAUD                             |  |  |  |  |
| 20                    | Defendants.   | 7. AN ACCOUNTING<br>8. DECLARATORY RELIEF                   |  |  |  |  |
| 21                    |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 22                    |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 23<br>24              |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 25                    |   | )<br>)  |  |  |  |  |
| 26                    |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 27                    | Plaintiffs ALAN HOLLANDER and CA  | ARDIO-HEALTH CONCEPTS, LLC bring this First                 |  |  |  |  |
| 28                    | Amended complaint for damages, injunctive relief and declaratory relief against Defendants  |   |  |  |  |  |
| rkac                  | RICHARD D. FARKAS\\C:\COMPLAINTS\HOLLANDER VS BARTH SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT.DOG  | 1   |  |  |  |  |

Richard Farkas 15300 Ventura Blvd. #504 Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 Phone (818) 789-6001 Fax (818) 789-6002 PREVENTION CONCEPTS, INC., a California Corporation; JACQUES D. BARTH, an individual; MAUD M. ZONJEE, an individual; and DOES 1 through 100, based upon the following allegations:

## **THE PARTIES**

- 1. Plaintiff ALAN HOLLANDER (hereafter occasionally referred to as "HOLLANDER" or "Plaintiff HOLLANDER") is an individual, residing in the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 2. Plaintiff CARDIO-HEALTH CONCEPTS, LLC (hereafter occasionally referred to as "CARDIO-HEALTH CONCEPTS" or "Plaintiff CARDIO-HEALTH") is a California Limited Liability Company, formed and active in the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 3. Plaintiff HOLLANDER is the founder and sole member of Plaintiff CARDIO-HEALTH.
- 4. Defendant PREVENTION CONCEPTS, INC. (hereafter occasionally referred to as "PREVENTION CONCEPTS") is a California Corporation, doing business in the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 5. Defendant JACQUES D. BARTH ("BARTH") is an individual, residing in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. BARTH, along with his wife, Defendant MAUD M. ZONJEE, were the founders of PREVENTION CONCEPTS, INC.
- 6. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that BARTH is the president of PREVENTION CONCEPTS.
- 7. Defendant MAUD M. ZONJEE ("ZONJEE") is an individual, residing in the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 8. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that ZONJEE is the Vice-President of Operations of PREVENTION CONCEPTS.

 $RICHARD\ D.\ FARKAS \backslash C: \backslash COMPLAINTS \backslash HOLLANDER\ VS\ BARTH -- SECOND\ AMENDED\ COMPLAINT. DOC$ 

20

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

Richard Farkas 5300 Ventura Blvd. #504 herman Oaks, CA 91403

Phone (818) 789-6001 (818) 789-6002

| 9.           | HOLLANDER is, and was at all times relevant was, a resident of Los Angeles County,       |
|--------------|--|
| California.  | Jurisdiction is proper in this court because the contracts sued upon were negotiated and |
| entered to i | n this judicial district.  |

- 10. DOE Defendants 1 through 100, inclusive, whether individuals, corporations, partnerships or otherwise, are fictitious names of Defendants whose true names are, at this time, unknown to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs are informed, believe, and thereon allege that each of said fictitiously-named Defendants contributed to the damages herein alleged and Plaintiffs will name such Defendants when their identities have been ascertained.
- 11. Furthermore, Plaintiffs allege that the DOE Defendants in this action committed the same or similar acts alleged as the named Defendants in this cause of action. Therefore, all acts alleged to have been committed by the named Defendants are also alleged to have been committed by the DOE Defendants.
- 12. Plaintiffs are informed, believe and thereon allege that each of the Defendants is the agent, joint venturer and/or employee of each of the remaining Defendants and in doing the things hereinafter alleged, each was acting within the course and scope of said agency, employment and/or joint venture with the advance knowledge, acquiescence or subsequent ratification of each and every remaining Defendant.

#### **ALTER EGO ALLEGATIONS**

13. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that some of the corporations, limited liability companies, and entities named as Defendants herein, including but not limited to PREVENTION CONCEPTS, INC., a California corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, (hereinafter occasionally collectively referred to as the "ALTER EGO CORPORATIONS"), and each of them, were at all times relevant the alter ego corporations of individual Defendants BARTH and ZONJEE by reason of the following:

(a) Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that said individual defendants, at all times herein mentioned, dominated, influenced and controlled each of the ALTER EGO CORPORATIONS and the officers thereof as well as the business, property, and affairs of each of said corporations.

- (b) Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that, at all times herein mentioned, there existed and now exists a unity of interest and ownership between said individual defendants and each of the ALTER EGO CORPORATIONS: the individuality and separateness of said individual defendants and each of the ALTER EGO CORPORATIONS have ceased.
- (c) Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that, at all times since the incorporation of each, each ALTER EGO CORPORATION has been and now is a mere shell and naked framework which said individual defendants used as a conduit for the conduct of their personal business, property and affairs.
- (d) Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that, at all times herein mentioned, each of the ALTER EGO CORPORATIONS was created and continued pursuant to a fraudulent plan, scheme and device conceived and operated by said individual Defendants BARTH and ZONJEE, whereby the income, revenue and profits of each of the ALTER EGO CORPORATIONS were diverted by said individual Defendants to themselves.
- (e) Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon alleges that, at all times herein mentioned, each of the ALTER EGO CORPORATIONS was organized by said individual defendants as a device to avoid individual liability and for the purpose of substituting financially irresponsible corporations in the place and stead of said individual defendants, and each of them, and accordingly, each ALTER EGO

CORPORATION was formed with capitalization totally inadequate for the business in which said corporation was engaged.

- (f) Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that each ALTER EGO CORPORATION is insolvent.
- (g) By virtue of the foregoing, adherence to the fiction of the separate corporate existence of each of the ALTER EGO CORPORATIONS would, under the circumstances, sanction a fraud and promote injustice in that Plaintiffs would be unable to realize upon any judgment in their favor.
- 14. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon alleges that, at all times relevant hereto, the individual defendants BARTH and ZONJEE and the ALTER EGO CORPORATIONS acted for each other in connection with the conduct hereinafter alleged and that each of them performed the acts complained of herein or breached the duties herein complained of as agents of each other and each is therefore fully liable for the acts of the other.

## PREVENTION CONCEPTS AND ITS FOUNDERS

- 15. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that, in or about February, 1997, Defendants BARTH and ZONJEE incorporated PREVENTION CONCEPTS, INC. in California, as a corporation seeking to develop and commercially exploit ultrasound technology to assess cardiovascular risk.
- 16. As detailed herein, Defendants BARTH, ZONJEE, and PREVENTION CONCEPTS secured the services of Plaintiff HOLLANDER to assist Defendants in their efforts to expand and finance their business efforts.
- 17. As a part of the business transactions with the Defendants, and pursuant to the terms of the oral agreements described herein, Plaintiff HOLLANDER was to receive, among other things, a monthly salary, a designated percentage interest in PREVENTION CONCEPTS CORP., a

 $RICHARD\ D.\ FARKAS \backslash C: \backslash COMPLAINTS \backslash HOLLANDER\ VS\ BARTH--SECOND\ AMENDED\ COMPLAINT. DOCUMENTS \backslash HOLLANDER\ VS\ BARTH---SECOND\ AMENDED\ COMPLAINT. DOCUMENTS \backslash HOLLANDER\ COMPLAINT. DOC$ 

Richard Farkas
15300 Ventura Blvd. #504
Sherman Oaks, CA 91403

Phone (818) 789-6001 Fax (818) 789-6002

9 10

8

11

12

13 14

15

17

16

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

Richard Farkas 15300 Ventura Blvd. #504 Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 Phone (818) 789-6001 Fax (818) 789-6002

percentage of the funds he was responsible for raising from third party investors, a percentage ownership in the proceeds from the commercial exploitation of the technology of PREVENTION CONCEPTS, and several other benefits and components of compensation.

18. As detailed herein, the Defendants, and each of them, have sought to terminate Plaintiff HOLLANDER from all aspects of their business activities, and have wrongfully and unlawfully withheld compensation from Plaintiff, have failed and refused to pay Plaintiffs sums to which Plaintiff HOLLANDER and CARDIO-HEALTH are entitled, and have wrongfully failed and refused to repay Plaintiff SCARDIO-HEALTH for money Plaintiffs advanced to the Defendants as loans induced by the false representations of the Defendants. As such, Defendants have breached their contractual obligations to Plaintiff, are anticipated to breach other agreements, and have committed a variety of tortuous acts, entitling Plaintiff to the relief sought herein.

## ORIGINAL AGREEMENTS WITH PLAINTIFF HOLLANDER

- 19. PREVENTION CONCEPTS is a company which has been seeking outside financing to develop and commercially exploit certain medical technology, including its IMTHealthScan<sup>TM</sup>, a method of measuring Intimal Medial Thickness (blood vessel walls), as a way to measure atherosclerosis, a disease of the vascular system. This system seeks to determine risk assessment for cardiovascular and cerebrovascular complications such as heart attacks and strokes.
- 20. Plaintiff HOLLANDER, individually and through his Limited Liability Company, CARDIO-HEALTH CONCEPTS, LLC, is actively engaged in the business of management consulting for the health care industry. As detailed herein, HOLLANDER was engaged by the Defendants, and each of them, to utilize his services and efforts to secure outside financing for the Defendants, who sought to take their business to a higher level, perhaps ultimately going public or merging with another entity.

| 21. In exchange for his services and efforts, as detailed herein, Plaintiff HOLLANDER was        |
|--|
| orally given numerous promises by Defendants, and each of them. Plaintiff was promised, among    |
| other things: (a) Plaintiff HOLLANDER was to become an employee of the company, holding the      |
| title of Executive Vice President; (b) HOLLANDER was to act as Chief Financial Officer (CFO) for |
| PREVENTION CONCEPTS, until additional financing was raised to pay for another person to          |
| assume this position; (c) HOLLANDER was to become a member of the Company's Board of             |
| Directors; (d) HOLLANDER was to receive a percentage interest of ten (10%) of PREVENTION         |
| CONCEPTS, INC., increasing to up to twenty-five percent (25%), and (e) additional benefits and   |
| rights common in a position such as his.   |

# PLAINTIFF HOLLANDER'S TERMINATION WITHOUT CAUSE AS EXECUTIVE PRODUCER AND OFFICER OF PREVENTION CONCEPTS

- 22. In or about February, 2006, Plaintiff HOLLANDER commenced working with Defendants PREVENTION CONCEPTS, BARTH, and ZONJEE to facilitate their agreed financial goals. Among other things, Plaintiff HOLLANDER began to line up investment capital for Defendants and developing a comprehensive business plan.
- 23. After Plaintiff HOLLANDER commenced his efforts for the Defendants, PREVENTION CONCEPTS became embroiled in a legal dispute with a company in Florida, dealing with issues which were theretofore unknown to Plaintiff HOLLANDER. This dispute, among other things, rendered PREVENTION CONCEPTS unable to generate any meaningful revenue, which further hindered Plaintiff HOLLANDER's and Defendants' efforts to complete an anticipated Private Placement Memorandum (PPM).
- 24. Because of the precarious financial condition PREVENTION CONCEPTS was in, and at the request of the Defendants, Plaintiff HOLLANDER, by and through his limited liability company CARDIO-HEALTH CONCEPTS, LLC, made two loans to Prevention Concepts, Inc. in

RICHARD D. FARKAS\\C:\COMPLAINTS\HOLLANDER VS BARTH -- SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT.DOC

26

27

28

Richard Farkas

5300 Ventura Blvd. #504 Sherman Oaks, CA 91403

Phone (818) 789-6001 (818) 789-6002 the amounts of \$25,000.00 and \$6,500.00, respectively (memorialized in two written promissory notes, attached hereto), and Plaintiff HOLLANDER further provided thousands of dollars (approximately \$7,500.00) to Defendants' attorneys for legal services provided on their behalf. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of a convertible promissory note, dated February 23, 2006, memorializing the \$25,000.00 loan from Plaintiff CARDIO-HEALTH CONCEPTS, LLC. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of a convertible promissory note, dated March 20, 2006, memorializing the \$6,500.00 loan from Plaintiff. The payment dates for both of these notes are overdue, yet no payments of them have been made, and the due dates have not been extended or waived.

- 25. The two loans described in the preceding paragraph were made by Plaintiff CARDIO-HEALTH CONCEPTS, LLC *solely* on the agreement between Plaintiff HOLLANDER and the Defendants, and each of them, that Plaintiff HOLLANDER would be coming into the company as an officer and director, and that he would continue to be involved in bringing in the funds to capitalize the business. These loans were made because Plaintiff HOLLANDER completely relied Defendants' word that Plaintiff HOLLANDER was going to own part of the company.
- 26. Moreover, Plaintiff HOLLANDER expended considerable efforts on behalf of the company, and Plaintiff's business associate extended an additional loan of \$4,800.00 to cover agreed payments to another consultant (Jim Bloom).

## DEFENDANTS' REFUSALS TO PAY HOLLANDER AND OTHER BREACHES

27. Shortly after their initial agreement, Plaintiff discovered that the Defendants had undisclosed and unresolved legal matters with former associates, incomplete patent and trademark issues, and that the company had almost no revenue. It was only after Plaintiff HOLLANDER, through Plaintiff CARDIO-HEALTH CONCEPTS, LLC, advanced money to the Defendants that Defendants, and each of them, decided to renege on their written and oral agreements, described

RICHARD D. FARKAS\\C:\COMPLAINTS\HOLLANDER VS BARTH -- SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT.DOC

herein. They declined to follow through on their promises, and advised Plaintiffs that they did not wish to have Plaintiffs involved in PREVENTION CONCEPTS.

- 28. The promissory notes payable to Plaintiff CARDIO-HEALTH CONCEPTS, LLC, attached hereto as Exhibits A and B, are overdue, and no payments have been made by the Defendants, or any of them. The due dates have not been extended or waived.
- 29. Because of their decision to keep Plaintiff HOLLANDER from becoming an integral part of PREVENTION CONCEPTS, it became clear to Plaintiffs that the loans to Defendants were obtained under false pretenses, entitling Plaintiffs to immediate repayment. Plaintiffs, individually and again through legal counsel, demanded repayment of the loans. Defendants have, to date, failed and refused to repay Plaintiffs, and have disavowed their other agreements with Plaintiffs.
- 30. Following the improper termination Plaintiff HOLLANDER, Defendants, and each of them committed a variety of actions constituting breaches of contract various wrongful torts, as alleged herein. Among other things, Defendants:
  - a. Repeatedly refused to abide by their own promises when dealing with Plaintiff
     HOLLANDER;
  - Repeatedly denied the existence of their contracts with Plaintiffs HOLLANDER and CARDIO-HEALTH;
  - Unfairly prevented Plaintiff HOLLANDER from obtaining the benefits of his relationship with the defendants;
  - d. Terminated Plaintiff HOLLANDER's affiliation with Defendants for invalid reasons and in a manner that was inconsistent with Defendants' legal obligations;
  - e. Refused to comply with the payment obligations to Plaintiffs and disavowed and interfered with HOLLANDER's designation as an officer and director of PREVENTION CONCEPTS, and;

 $RICHARD\ D.\ FARKAS \backslash C: \backslash COMPLAINTS \backslash HOLLANDER\ VS\ BARTH --\ SECOND\ AMENDED\ COMPLAINT. DOC$ 

- f. Failed and refused to repay money advanced to the Company for the benefit of the Defendants, which money was advanced by Plaintiff HOLLANDER, by and through Plaintiff CARDIO-HEALTH solely upon Plaintiff HOLLANDER's reasonable reliance on the false representations of the Defendants, and each of them.
- 31. Plaintiffs have demanded repayment of the amounts due to them. The Defendants, and each of them, have failed and refused to comply with their contractual obligations, and have refused to repay Plaintiff CARDIO-HEALTH for the loans made to Defendants under false pretenses.
- 32. Plaintiffs have demanded repayment, and Defendants have failed to comply with their obligations under their agreements, and have refused to repay HOLLANDER or Plaintiff CARDIO-HEALTH any of the amounts detailed in the following causes of action.

#### **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

## FOR BREACH OF ORAL CONTRACT

# (BY PLAINTIFF HOLLANDER AGAINST PREVENTION CONCEPTS, BARTH, ZONJEE, AND DOES 1-100)

- 33. Plaintiff HOLLANDER repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 32 of this First Amended Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 34. Plaintiff was affiliated with Defendants PREVENTION CONCEPTS CORP., PREVENTION CONCEPTS LLC, and RETIREMENT, which entities agreed to provide compensation, benefits and rights as described herein.
- 35. Defendants breached their contracts with Plaintiff HOLLANDER by, among other things:
  - i. Refusing to give Plaintiff the opportunity to succeed at his agreed efforts;
  - ii. Failing to treat Plaintiff in accordance with Defendants' stated policies;

RICHARD D. FARKAS\\C:\COMPLAINTS\HOLLANDER VS BARTH -- SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT.DOC

10

27

| 1  |
|----|
|    |
| 2  |
| 3  |
| 4  |
| 5  |
| 6  |
| 7  |
| 8  |
| 9  |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
| 25 |
| 26 |
| 27 |

| iii. Terminating Plaintiff in breach of the oral promises made to him | n; |
|---|----|
|---|----|

iv. Terminating Plaintiff without following the benefits of Defendants' policies and practices.

#### 36. In addition:

- Mr. HOLLANDER has not been fully compensated by the company for his agreed efforts;
- The company has failed and refused to repay Plaintiff HOLLANDER for money he advanced on behalf of the Defendants and for the benefit of the Defendants;
- Mr. HOLLANDER has not been fully compensated for his efforts and monetary advances on behalf of the Defendants;
- Mr. HOLLANDER has been denied his percentage interest in the Company;
- Neither Mr. HOLLANDER (nor whose limited liability company, CARDIO-HEALTH CONCEPTS, LLC) have been repaid for the loans made to the Company (documented in Exhibits A and B), which loans were made solely because of the false representations of the Defendants that Plaintiff HOLLANDER would be joining the Company as an officer and director, and that he would continue to be involved in bringing in the funds to capitalize the business;
- 37. As a direct, foreseeable and proximate result of Defendants' breaches, Plaintiff HOLLANDER has suffered and continues to suffer substantial losses in earnings, and benefits, the precise amount of which will be proven at trial, but within the jurisdiction of this Court.

#### **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

# FOR BREACH OF WRITTEN CONTRACTS (By Plaintiff CARDIO HEALTH CONCEPTS, LLC, Against All Defendants)

 $RICHARD\ D.\ FARKAS \backslash \backslash C: \backslash COMPLAINTS \backslash HOLLANDER\ VS\ BARTH --\ SECOND\ AMENDED\ COMPLAINT. DOCUMENTS \backslash HOLLANDER\ VS\ BARTH --\ SECOND\ AMENDED\ COMPLAINTS \backslash HOLLANDER\ VS\ BARTH --\ SECOND\ COMPLAINTS \backslash HOLLANDER\ COMPLAINTS \rangle HOLLANDER\ COMPLAINT$ 

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26 27

| 38.          | Plaintiff CA | RDIO-HEAI    | LTH CON    | NCEPTS,   | LLC repeat | s and rea  | lleges th | e alleg | ations |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|---------|--------|
| contained in | n paragraphs | 1 through 32 | of this Fi | irst Amen | ded Compl  | aint as is | fully set | forth l | herein |

- 39. On or about February 23, 2006, Defendant PREVENTION CONCEPTS, INC., by and through its President and alter-ego, Defendant JACQUES BARTH, signed a written agreement, titled "Convertible Note," which provided, among other things, that 'Prevention Concepts Inc ('Debtor'), a California corporation, hereby promises to pay in lawful money of the United States of America to the order of Cardio Health Concepts LLC, a California limited liability company ('Creditor'), the principal sum of \$25,000.00 (Twenty-five Thousand Dollars), or such amount as shall equal the balance outstanding of loans made by Creditor, together with accrued interest in like lawful money from the date hereof until paid at a rate of prime plus one." A true and correct copy of this "Convertible Note" is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and incorporated by this reference.
- 40. The February 23, 2007 Note attached hereto as Exhibit A further provided that, upon February, 2007, "the principal amount of the Note, together with all accrued but unpaid interest, shall be due and payable."
- 41. On or about March 20, 2006, Defendant PREVENTION CONCEPTS, INC., by and through its President and alter-ego, Defendant JACQUES BARTH, signed another written agreement, also titled "Convertible Note," which provided, among other things, that 'Prevention Concepts Inc ('Debtor'), a California corporation, hereby promises to pay in lawful money of the United States of America to the order of Cardio Health Concepts LLC, a California limited liability company ('Creditor'), the principal sum of Six Thousand Five Hundred Dollars and xx/100 (\$6,500.00), or such amount as shall equal the balance outstanding of loans made by Creditor, together with accrued interest in like lawful money from the date hereof until paid at a rate of prime plus one." A true and correct copy of this March 20, 2006 "Convertible Note" is attached hereto as Exhibit "B" and incorporated by this reference.

- 42. The March 20, 2007 Note attached hereto as Exhibit B further provided that, on March 20, 2007, "the principal amount of the Note, together with all accrued but unpaid interest, shall be due and payable."
- 43. Plaintiffs have performed all of the conditions, covenants, and promises required to be performed in accordance with the terms and conditions of the above contracts.
- 44. Plaintiffs are informed and believes and thereon alleges that the Defendants, in seeking to terminate the services of Plaintiff HOLLANDER, and in seeking to eliminate Plaintiff HOLLANDER's role in PREVENTION CONCEPTS, have breach their contracts with Plaintiffs (including their written contract to repay Plaintiff CARDIO-HEALTH CONCEPTS, LLC any portion of the loans described in paragraphs 37 through 40, as documented in Exhibits A and B). In failing and refusing to pay Plaintiff CARDIO HEALTH CONCEPTS, LLC any portion of the amounts loaned by Plaintiff CARDIO HEALTH CONCEPTS, LLC, Defendants PREVENTION CONCEPTS, INC. and its alter egos) have breached their written contracts set forth in this cause of action.

## THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

# BREACH OF THE COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING (By Plaintiffs, Against All Defendants)

- 45. Plaintiffs HOLLANDER and CARDIO HEALTH CONCEPTS, LLC repeat and reallege the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 44 of this First Amended Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 46. As a result of the contractual relationships (detailed in the first and second and causes of action) which existed between Plaintiffs HOLLANDER and CARDIO HEALTH CONCEPTS, LLC, respectively, on the one hand, and Defendants, on the other hand, the expressed and implied promises made in connection with those relationships, and the acts, conduct, and communications

RICHARD D. FARKAS\\C:\COMPLAINTS\HOLLANDER VS BARTH -- SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT.DOC

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

**Richard Farkas** 

15300 Ventura Blvd. #504 Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 Phone (818) 789-6001

(818) 789-6002

resulting in these implied promises, Defendants promised to act in good faith toward and deal fairly with Plaintiffs HOLLANDER and CARDIO HEALTH CONCEPTS, LLC which requires, among other things:

- Each party in the relationship must act with good faith toward the other concerning all matters related to the agreements described herein;
- b. Each party in the relationship must act with fairness toward the other concerning all matters related to their agreements;
- c. Neither party would take any action to unfairly prevent the other from obtaining the benefits of their agreements;
- d. Defendants PREVENTION CONCEPTS, INC., BARTH and ZONJEE would comply with their own representations in dealing with Plaintiff HOLLANDER under their oral agreements, as set forth in the first cause of action;
- Defendants PREVENTION CONCEPTS INC., BARTH and ZONJEE would not terminate Plaintiff HOLLANDER without a fair and honest cause, regulated by good faith on Defendants' part;
- f. Defendants PREVENTION CONCEPTS INC., BARTH and ZONJEE would not terminate Plaintiff HOLLANDER in an unfair manner; and
- Defendants PREVENTION CONCEPTS INC., BARTH and ZONJEE would give Plaintiff's interests as much consideration as they gave their own interests.
- 47. Defendants' breaches of each of their written and oral contracts with Plaintiffs were wrongful, in bad faith, and unfair, and therefore a violation of Defendants' legal duties. Plaintiffs further allege that Defendants breached the covenant of good faith and fair dealings when they:
  - a. Repeatedly refused to abide by their own promises when dealing with Plaintiff HOLLANDER:

- b. Repeatedly denied the existence of their contracts with Plaintiff HOLLANDER;
- c. Unfairly prevented Plaintiff HOLLANDER from obtaining the benefits of his relationship with the defendants;
- d. Terminated Plaintiff HOLLANDER's affiliation with Defendants for invalid reasons and in a manner that was inconsistent with Defendants' legal obligations;
- e. Refused to comply with the payment obligations to Plaintiff and disavowed and interfered with HOLLANDER's designation as an officer and director of PREVENTION CONCEPTS, and;
- f. Failed and refused to repay money advanced to the Company for the benefit of the Defendants, which money was advanced by Plaintiff, and due to Plaintiff CARDIO-HEALTH, solely upon Plaintiffs' reasonable reliance on the false representations of the Defendants, and each of them.
- 48. Defendants' breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing was a substantial factor in causing damage and injury to Plaintiffs. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct alleged in this First Amended Complaint, Plaintiff HOLLANDER has lost substantial benefits with Defendants, including lost income and compensation, and other benefits in an amount in excess of the jurisdiction of this Court's jurisdictional minimum, the precise amount of which will be proven at trial. Plaintiff CARDIO-HEALTH CONCEPTS, LLC has further been deprived of the repayment of the notes attached hereto as Exhibit A and B, and of other benefits associated with these written contracts, and has further been subjected to the delays, expenses, and opportunity costs associated with such breaches.

#### FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### **FOR FRAUD**

(Against All Defendants)

 $RICHARD\ D.\ FARKAS \backslash C: \backslash COMPLAINTS \backslash HOLLANDER\ VS\ BARTH -- SECOND\ AMENDED\ COMPLAINT. DOCUMENTS \backslash HOLLANDER\ VS\ BARTH -- SECOND\ AMENDED\ COMPLAINTS \backslash HOLLANDER\ VS\ BARTH -- SECOND\ AMENDE\ COMPLAINTS \backslash HOLLANDER\ VS\ BARTH -- SECOND\ AMENDE\ COMPLAINTS \backslash HOLLANDER\ VS\ BARTH -- SECOND\ AMENDE\ COMPLAINTS \backslash HOLLANDER\ COMPLAINTS \backslash$ 

15

Richard Farkas 15300 Ventura Blvd. #504 Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 Phone (818) 789-6001 Fax (818) 789-6002

27

19

20 21

22 23

25 26

24

27

28

Richard Farkas 5300 Ventura Blvd. #504 Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 Phone (818) 789-6001 (818) 789-6002

| 49. Plaintiffs HOLLANDER and CARDIO-HEALTH CONCEPTS hereby reallege and                         |
|---|
| incorporate by reference Paragraphs 1 through 48, inclusive, of this First Amended Complaint as |
| though set forth in full.   |

- 50. As alleged in paragraphs 1 through 46, above, continuing through his affiliation with the Defendants, Plaintiff HOLLANDER was solicited by the Defendants, through written communications and telephonic and face-to-face meetings with Defendants to continue his efforts, to act in the best interests of the Company, to arrange for loans of money to the Defendants (including the loans repayable to Plaintiff CARDIO-HEALTH, evidenced by Exhibits A and B), and otherwise act as alleged herein.
- 51. In reliance on the above representations, Plaintiff HOLLANDER agreed to assist the Defendants with their efforts to expand and obtain outside financing for PREVENTION CONCEPTS.
- 52. In furtherance of Plaintiff HOLLANDER's faith and trust in Defendants, Plaintiff agreed to seek to secure funding for the Defendants, to arrange for loans of money to PREVENTION CONCEPTS to benefit the individual Defendants, and to take an active role in the activities of PREVENTION CONCEPTS and to otherwise act in the best interests in the Defendants.
- 53. At the time of the misrepresentations and omissions referenced herein, Defendants were aware that such misrepresentations and omissions were taking place, and allowed them to take place because they were co-founders, directors, officers and alter egos of PREVENTION CONCEPTS, and benefited from the misrepresentations and omissions set forth herein.
- 54. By reason of said misrepresentations and fraudulent concealment as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have been damaged in an amount presently unascertained, but within the jurisdiction of this Court. Plaintiffs will seek leave of this Court to amend this Complaint when the sum has been ascertained

55. In doing the acts herein alleged, Defendants' conduct was willful and intentional, and done in reckless disregard of the possible results. Defendants' conduct evidenced a conscious disregard of the Plaintiffs' rights, and exhibited a particularly malicious intent in light of the Defendants' knowledge of Plaintiff HOLLANDER's financial status, activities, and efforts. By reason thereof, Plaintiffs are entitled to exemplary and punitive damages against Defendants, and each of them.

#### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### FOR DECLARATION OF CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST

#### (Against All Defendants)

- 56. Plaintiffs hereby reallege and incorporate by reference Paragraphs 1 through 55, inclusive, of this First Amended Complaint as though set forth in full.
- 57. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that of the sums misappropriated, converted, taken and withheld from Plaintiffs, as aforesaid, substantial portions thereof, in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional minimum of this Court, were paid to or on behalf of and received by the Defendants, and each of them, among others, presently unknown to Plaintiffs, who knew at the time of the receipt of said funds that the same were not the funds and property of the person or persons paying the same to said Defendants, but rather were the funds and property of the Plaintiffs.
- 58. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants, and each of them, and others, presently unknown to the Plaintiffs, own and hold the funds so received by them as constructive trustees for Plaintiffs.

#### EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### FOR CONSPIRACY TO DEFRAUD

(By Plaintiffs, Against All Defendants)

 $RICHARD\ D.\ FARKAS \backslash C: \backslash COMPLAINTS \backslash HOLLANDER\ VS\ BARTH -- SECOND\ AMENDED\ COMPLAINT. DOCUMENTS \backslash HOLLANDER\ VS\ BARTH -- SECOND\ AMENDED\ COMPLAINTS \backslash HOLLANDER\ VS\ BARTH -- SECOND\ AMENDE\ COMPLAINTS \backslash HOLLANDER\ VS\ BARTH -- SECOND\ AMENDE\ COMPLAINTS \backslash HOLLANDER\ VS\ BARTH -- SECOND\ AMENDE\ COMPLAINTS \backslash HOLLANDER\ COMPLAINTS \backslash$ 

20 21

23 24

22

25

26

27

28

reference Paragraphs 1 through 58, inclusive, of this First Amended Complaint as though set forth in full.

59. Plaintiffs HOLLANDER and CARDIO-HEALTH hereby reallege and incorporate by

- 60. For the purpose of inducing Plaintiffs to act as alleged herein, Defendants, and each of them, by and through the individual Defendants and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, among others, falsely and fraudulently represented to Plaintiff HOLLANDER, among other things, that if Plaintiff HOLLANDER became affiliated with them and contributed his time, expertise, experience, efforts and resources, then Plaintiff HOLLANDER would be fully compensated (and that Plaintiff CARDIO-HEALTH would be repaid) in accordance with the written and oral agreements set forth herein.
- 61. Defendants well knew, when they made said representations to Plaintiff HOLLANDER, that they were false. Plaintiff CARDIO-HEALTH is further informed and believes and thereon alleges that, at the time Defendant BARTH and PREVENTION CONCEPTS signed the "Convertible Notes" attached hereto as Exhibits A and B, that the Defendants had no intention of honoring the terms of the written agreements.
- 62. In truth and in fact, the Defendants, and each of them, never intended to act as they represented, and merely intended to utilize the Plaintiffs' efforts and resources to benefit themselves personally, as described herein.
- 63. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereon allege, that the Defendants, and each of them, willingly and willfully conspired and agreed among themselves to perform the wrongful acts and schemes set forth in this Complaint. Said conspiracy included, but is not limited to, the methods employed by the Defendants, and each of them, to misappropriate the Plaintiffs' money, efforts, and experience, and to conceal their wrongful actions.

- 64. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and based thereon allege that Defendants, and each of them, did the acts and things hereinabove alleged, pursuant to, and in furtherance of, said conspiracy and agreement, and as part of said continuing course of conduct.
- 65. By reason of the conspiracy as alleged herein, Plaintiff's have been damaged in an amount presently unascertained, but within the jurisdiction of this Court. Plaintiffs will seek leave of this Court to amend this Complaint when the sum has been ascertained.
- 66. In doing the acts herein alleged, Defendants' conduct was willful and intentional, and done in reckless disregard of the possible results. Defendants' conduct evidenced a conscious disregard of the Plaintiffs' rights, and exhibited a particularly malicious intent in light of the Defendants' knowledge of Plaintiffs' financial status, activities, and efforts. By reason thereof, Plaintiffs are entitled to exemplary and punitive damages against Defendants, and each of them.

## **NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### FOR AN ACCOUNTING

#### (By Plaintiff HOLLANDER Against Defendant PREVENTION CONCEPTS)

- 67. Plaintiff HOLLANDER incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 66, inclusive of this First Amended Complaint as through set forth in full herein.
- 68. California *Corporations Code* § 1601 (a) provides: "The accounting books and records and minutes of proceedings of the shareholders and the board and committees of the board of any domestic corporation, and of any foreign corporation keeping any such records in this state or having its principal executive office in this state, shall be open to inspection upon the written demand on the corporation of any shareholder or holder of a voting trust certificate at any reasonable time during usual business hours, for a purpose reasonably related to such holder's interests as a shareholder or as the holder of such voting trust certificate. The right of inspection created by this subdivision shall extend to the records of each subsidiary of a corporation subject to this subdivision."

RICHARD D. FARKAS\\C:\COMPLAINTS\HOLLANDER VS BARTH -- SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT.DOC

| 69. Plaintiff HOLLANDER, who would be an officer and director of PREVENTION                        |
|--|
| CONCEPTS, INC. if not for the wrongful actions of Defendants BARTH and ZONJEE, has never           |
| received a complete or detailed accounting from the Defendants as to where and how their funds and |
| corporate revenues have been spent, despite his requests for them. The sole means of ascertaining  |
| such information and documentation are within the control of the Defendants.                       |

- 70. Plaintiff cannot determine the amount of money due him without a full and complete accounting from Defendants, and each of them.
- 71. Plaintiff has demanded such an accounting on repeated occasions. However, Defendants have continued to refuse to render an accounting to Plaintiff.

## **TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF

(By Plaintiffs, Against All Defendants)

- 72. Plaintiffs incorporate Paragraphs 1 through 71, inclusive of this First Amended Complaint as through set forth in full herein.
- 73. An actual controversy has arisen and now exists between the Plaintiffs and the Defendants concerning their respective rights in and concerning the structure of PREVENTION CONCEPTS which is the subject of this lawsuit, as well elements of compensation and Plaintiffs' entitlement of immediate repayment of loans induced by the false representations of the Defendants, and each of them.
- 74. A judicial determination is necessary and appropriate at this time under the circumstances in order that Plaintiffs HOLLANDER and CARDIO-HEALTH and the Defendants may establish their rights and pursue their business interests. Because of the relative instability of the Defendants' business, and the fact that Plaintiffs may have no other adequate remedy at law, this relief is necessary and appropriate.

RICHARD D. FARKAS\\C:\COMPLAINTS\HOLLANDER VS BARTH -- SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT.DOC

# 3 4

## 5

## 6 7

# 8

# 9

# 10

# 11

# 12

## 13

# 14 15

# 16

## 17

## 18

# 19

# 20 21

## 22

## 23

# 24 25

## 26

## 27

28

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants as follows:

- 1. That the Defendants, and each of them, be ordered to pay, jointly and severally, to Plaintiffs the following sums:
- (a) The amounts owed to Plaintiffs, under their loans and financial advances, in the sum in excess of the jurisdictional minimum of this Court, according to proof, with interest thereon at the legal rate from the date of Plaintiff's termination (and, in the case of Plaintiff CARDIO-HEALTH, from the established due dates of the Notes):
- (b) All sums owed to plaintiffs pursuant to the agreements described herein, plus interest and all sums paid by Plaintiffs to protect their legal interests, according to proof, plus interest at the legal rate from the date of each such expenditure;
- (c) Any and all sums according to proof, which will make Plaintiffs whole, plus interest thereon at the legal rate from the date of each expenditure.
  - 2. For general and special damages in the sum according to proof at time of trial;
- 3. For exemplary and punitive damages in an amount subject to the discretion of this Court, but not less than an amount which will punish the Defendants for their actions and omissions to act;
- 4. For a judicial declaration that all amounts retained by the Defendants are held by the Defendants as constructive trustees for Plaintiffs:
- 5. That the Court declare the termination of Plaintiff HOLLANDER to have been wrongful and without cause;
- 6. That the Defendants, and each of them, be ordered to pay, jointly and severally, to Plaintiffs, the following sums:

| 1      | (a) The amounts owed to Plaintiffs, under their loan agreements and advances, in a                           |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2      | sum according to proof, with interest thereon at the legal rate from the date of plaintiff's termination     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3      | (and, in the case of Plaintiff CARDIO-HEALTH, from the established due dates of the Notes);                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4      | (b) All sums owed to plaintiffs pursuant to the agreements described herein, plus                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5      | interest and all sums paid by Plaintiffs to protect their legal interests, according to proof, plus interest |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6      | at the legal rate from the date of each such expenditure;  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7<br>8 | (c) Any and all sums according to proof, which will make Plaintiffs whole, plus                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10     | interest thereon at the legal rate from the date of each expenditure.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11     | 7. For a Declaration that Plaintiffs are entitled to immediate repayment of the loans made to                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12     | PREVENTION CONCEPTS, INC., and for repayment of other amounts advanced by Plaintiffs, and                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13     | for other judicial declarations of the parties' rights and duties;   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14     | 8. For costs of suit incurred herein;  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15     | 9. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.                                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17     | DATED: September 4, 2007 LAW OFFICES OF RICHARD D. FARKAS  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19     | By:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20     | RICHARD D. FARKAS, Attorneys for Plaintiffs  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21     | ALAN HOLLANDER and   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22     | CARDIO-HEALTH CONCEPTS, LLC  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28     | RICHARD D. FARKAS\\C:\COMPLAINTS\HOLLANDER VS BARTH SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT.DOC                             |  |  |  |  |  |

Richard Farkas 15300 Ventura Blvd. #504 Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 Phone (818) 789-6001 Fax (818) 789-6002 1 Alan Hollander, Cardio-Health Concepts, LLC vs. Prevention Concepts, Inc., Barth, Zonjee 2 Los Angeles Superior Court of California Case No. SC 091469 3 PROOF OF SERVICE 4 I am a resident of the State of California, I am over the age of 18 years, and I am not a party to 5 this lawsuit. My business address is Law Offices of Richard D. Farkas, 15300 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 504, Sherman Oaks, California 91403. On the date listed below, I served the following 6 document(s): 7 PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT. 8 by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set forth below on this date 9 before 5 p.m. Our facsimile machine reported the "send" as successful. 10 by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Los Angeles, California, addressed as set forth below. 11 I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. According to that practice, items are deposited with the United States mail on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid. I am aware 12 that, on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing stated in the affidavit. 13 14 by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, deposited with Federal Express Corporation on the same date set out below in the ordinary course of business; that on the date set 15 below, I caused to be served a true copy of the attached document(s). 16 by causing personal delivery of the document(s) listed above at the address set forth below. 17 18 by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person at the address set forth below. 19 Christine S. Upton, Esq. Kehr, Schiff & Crane, LLP 20 12400 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 1300 Los Angeles, CA 90025 21 Facsimile: 310-820-4414 22 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. 23 24 Dated: September , 2007 25 KERRI CONAWAY 26 27 28

RICHARD D. FARKAS\\C:\COMPLAINTS\HOLLANDER VS BARTH -- SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT.DOC

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT OF ALAN HOLLANDER AND CARDIO-HEALTH CONCEPTS, LLC