ΚI	ENNETH	VERC	AMMEN
&	ASSOCI	ATES,	PC

2053 Woodbridge Ave. Edison, NJ 08817 732-572-0500

Attorney for Defendant	_			
THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY	Municipal Court of x1 County of Middlesex			
V.	SUMMONS NO.			
d1 Defendant.	Criminal Action NOTICE OF MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO PROVIDE DISCOVERY and COMPEL DISCOVERY			
TO: MUNICIPAL COURT ADMINISTRATOR x1				
TO: PROSECUTOR x1				
Please Take notice that the und	ersigned, attorney for above defendant, will apply			
to the above named court, at the	e x1 Municipal Court, x1, New Jersey, on			
, at 9AM or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard for an Order				
1. Dismissing the complaint against d1	for failure to provide discovery.			
Please take further notice that the undersigned shall rely upon the Certification of Kenneth A. Vercammen in support of this motion.				
PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that this motion is filed with the court pursuant to Rule 1:6-2 and that unless an objection by any of the parties hereto is received at least eight (8) days prior to the return date indicated herein, or unless otherwise directed by the court, the movant shall submit the within application for decision by the court upon the papers and without the necessity of oral argument.				
Dated: KENNETH A. VERCAMMEN, ESQ. Attorney for d1				

**CERTIFICATION OF MAILING** 

The undersigned hereby certifies that the original Notice of Motion, Certification and all supporting papers have been filed directly with the x1 Municipal Court

It is further certified that a copy of the Notice of Motion, Certification and all supporting papers have been served by mail to the Prosecutor c/o the Court address as required by State v Holup.

Dated:	BY:	
		KENNETH A. VERCAMMEN Esq.
		Attorney for d1

## KENNETH VERCAMMEN & ASSOCIATES, PC

2053 Woodbridge Ave. Edison, NJ 08817 732-572-0500 Attorney for Defendant

732-572-0500				
Attorney for Defendant THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY	Municipal Court of x1			
THE STATE OF MEW SERVER	County of Middlesex			
v.	SUMMONS NO.			
d1 Defendant.	Criminal Action CERTIFICATION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO PROVIDE DISCOVERY			
I, KENNETH A. VERCAMMEN, of full age, do hereby certify the following:				
1. I am an attorney at law of the State of New Jersey. I represent d1 and am fully aware of the facts and circumstances of this Motion.				
2. My client is charged with				
3. On an initial request for discovery and initial letter representation was served on the Prosecutor.				
4. Under the Court Rule, 7:7-7 (f) the Prosecutor is to respond to the discovery request within 10 days. A copy of the demand for discovery was also served on the Court Administrator as part of the letter of representation as a courtesy. We recognize under the Court Rules and separation of powers, the Court is not permitted to provide discovery or even assist the Prosecutor in prosecuting the case.				
5. This discovery request was not complied with. We have not received discovery.				
6. On a second request for discovery was served.				

- 7. This second letter requesting discovery was not complied with.
- 8. Under our Court Rules, law enforcement members may file criminal charges and prosecute them. However, the duty to provide discovery is with the Prosecutor only who then must comply with the Court rules which require discovery to be provided to individuals accused of a criminal offense or serious traffic offense.
- 9. Individuals facing charges in municipal courts have been guaranteed the right to discovery. Discovery is a matter of right in all Municipal Court cases. State v Young

242 NJ Super. 467 (App. Div. 1990); <u>State v Ford</u> 240 NJ Super. 44 (1990); <u>State v Polasky</u> 216 NJ Super. 549 (Law Div. 1986); <u>State v Tull</u> 234 NJ Super. 560 A. 2d 1331 (1989).

10. We request the complaint be dismissed because of the failure of the State to provide discovery. In the alternative we demand counsel fees paid to reimburse the accused based on having to file a motion to require the state to comply with discovery, under <a href="State v Young">State v Young</a> 242 NJ Super. 467 (App. Div. 1990); <a href="State v Ford">State v Ford</a> 240 NJ Super. 44 (1990); <a href="State v Polasky">State v Polasky</a> 216 NJ Super. 549 (Law Div. 1986); <a href="State v Tull">State v Tull</a> 234 NJ Super. 560 A. 2d 1331 (1989).

I certify that the foregoing statements made by me are true. I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements made by me are willfully false, I am subject to punishment.

Dated:

Kenneth A. Vercammen

## KENNETH VERCAMMEN & ASSOCIATES, PC

2053 Woodbridge Ave. Edison, NJ 08817 732-572-0500 Attorney for Defendant

THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY	Municipal Court of x1 County of Middlesex		
٧.	SUMMONS NO.		
d1 Defendant.	Criminal Action ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE and COMPEL DISCOVERY		
This matter having been opened to the Court upon motion of Kenneth A. Vercammen, Esq. , attorney for defendant for an Order Dismissing the complaint against d1 for failure to provide discovery.			
and the Court having considered the Certification submitted, documents attached in support of the Motion, and the argument of counsel, if any, and for good cause shown,			
it is on this day of			
ORDERED that the complaint pending against d1 is dismissed with prejudice, and it is further Ordered complete discovery must be provided by the Prosecutor and Police within 10 days,  and counsel fees must be paid by the state to the Law Office of Kenneth Vercammen in amount of within 10 days due to failure to comply with court rules			
and it is			
FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order be served upon all Parties within ten (10) days.			
	J.M.C.		