## PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE\*

1	Rule 4. Appeal as of Right—When Taken
2	* * * * *
3	(c) Appeal by an Inmate Confined in an Institution.
4	(1) If an institution has a system designed for legal
5	mail, an inmate confined there must use that
6	system to receive the benefit of this Rule $4(c)(1)$ .
7	If an inmate-confined in an institution files a
8	notice of appeal in either a civil or a criminal
9	case, the notice is timely if it is deposited in the
10	institution's internal mail system on or before the
11	last day for filing. If an institution has a system
12	designed for legal mail, the inmate must use that
13	system to receive the benefit of this rule. Timely
14	filing may be shown by a declaration in
	* Norman de la construction de la constitue de

<sup>\*</sup> New material is underlined; matter to be omitted is lined through.

	15	compliance with 28 U.S.C. § 1746 or by a
	16	notarized statement, either of which must set
	10	notarized statement, entire of which must set
	17	forth the date of deposit and state that first-class
	18	postage has been prepaid. and:
	19	(A) it is accompanied by:
	20	(i) a declaration in compliance with 28
	21	U.S.C. § 1746—or a notarized
	22	statement-setting out the date of
	23	deposit and stating that first-class
	24	postage is being prepaid; or
	25	(ii) evidence (such as a postmark or date
	26	stamp) showing that the notice was so
	27	deposited and that postage was
	28	prepaid; or
L.	29	(B) the court of appeals exercises its discretion
14	30	to permit the later filing of a declaration or
1		
7		

31	notarized	statement	that	satisfies
32	<u>Rule 4(c)(1</u>	)(A)(i).		
33	*	* * * *		

#### **Committee Note**

## <u>Rule 4(c)(1) is revised to streamline and clarify the operation of the inmate-filing rule.</u>

The Rule requires the inmate to show timely deposit and prepayment of postage. The Rule is amended to specify that a notice is timely if it is accompanied by a declaration or notarized statement stating the date the notice was deposited in the institution's mail system and attesting to the prepayment of first-class postage. The declaration must state that first-class postage "is being prepaid," not (as directed by the former Rule) that firstclass postage "has been prepaid." This change reflects the fact that inmates may need to rely upon the institution to affix postage after the inmate has deposited the document in the institution's mail system. New Form 7 in the Appendix of Forms sets out a suggested form of the declaration.

The amended rule also provides that a notice is timely without a declaration or notarized statement if other evidence accompanying the notice shows that the notice was deposited on or before the due date and that postage was prepaid. If the notice is not accompanied by evidence that establishes timely deposit and prepayment of postage, then the court of appeals has discretion to accept a declaration or notarized statement at a later date. The Rule

uses the phrase "exercises its discretion to permit"—rather than simply "permits"—to help ensure that pro se inmate litigants are aware that a court will not necessarily forgive a failure to provide the declaration initially.

			Roles of Alleline Rocebone 5
	1	Rule 25. Fili	ng and Service
	2	(a) Filing.	
	3		* * * * *
	4	(2) Fili	ng: Method and Timeliness.
	5		****
	6	(C)	Inmate <u>F</u> filing. <u>If an institution has a</u>
	7		system designed for legal mail, an inmate
	8		confined there must use that system to
	9		receive the benefit of this Rule 25(a)(2)(C).
	10	•	A paper filed by an inmate confined in an
	11	C	institution is timely if it is deposited in the
	12	S	institution's internal mailing system on or
	13		before the last day for filing. If an
	14		institution has a system designed for legal
	15		mail, the inmate must use that system to
14.	16		receive the benefit of this rule. Timely
71	17		filing may be shown by a declaration in
4			

	18	com	plian	ce with 28 U.S.C. § 1746 or by a
	19	notar	rized	statement, either of which must
	20	<del>set f</del>	orth	the date of deposit and state that
	21	first-	class	<del>s postage has been prepaid. <u>and:</u></del>
	22	<u>(i)</u>	it is	accompanied by:
	23		•	a declaration in compliance with
	24			28 U.S.C. § 1746-or a notarized
	25			statement-setting out the date of
	26			deposit and stating that first-class
	27	X		postage is being prepaid; or
	28		•	evidence (such as a postmark or
	29			date stamp) showing that the
	30			paper was so deposited and that
	31			postage was prepaid; or
4	32	<u>(ii)</u>	the	court of appeals exercises its
14.	33		<u>disc</u>	retion to permit the later filing of a
7				

7

34	declaration or notarized statem	nent that
35	satisfies Rule 25(a)(2)(C)(i).	
36	* * * * *	

#### **Committee Note**

### <u>Rule 25(a)(2)(C) is revised to streamline and clarify</u> the operation of the inmate-filing rule.

The Rule requires the inmate to show timely deposit and prepayment of postage. The Rule is amended to specify that a paper is timely if it is accompanied by a declaration or notarized statement stating the date the paper was deposited in the institution's mail system and attesting to the prepayment of first-class postage. The declaration must state that first-class postage "is being prepaid," not (as directed by the former Rule) that first-class postage "has been prepaid." This change reflects the fact that inmates may need to rely upon the institution to affix postage after the inmate has deposited the document in the institution's mail system. New Form 7 in the Appendix of Forms sets out a suggested form of the declaration.

The amended rule also provides that a paper is timely without a declaration or notarized statement if other evidence accompanying the paper shows that the paper was deposited on or before the due date and that postage was prepaid. If the paper is not accompanied by evidence that establishes timely deposit and prepayment of postage, then the court of appeals has discretion to accept a declaration or notarized statement at a later date. The Rule uses the phrase "exercises its discretion to permit"—rather than


simply "permits"—to help ensure that pro se inmate litigants are aware that a court will not necessarily forgive a failure to provide the declaration initially.

# Form 1. Notice of Appeal to a Court of Appeals From a Judgment or Order of a District Court

3 4	United States District Court for the District of		
5 File Number			
6	A.B., Plaintiff		
	v.	Notice of Appeal	
	C.D., Defendant		
7	Notice is hereby	given that(here name all	
8		, (plaintiffs) (defendants) in the	
9		eby appeal to the United States	
10	Court of Appeals for the Circuit (from the final		
11	judgment) (from an order (describing it)) entered in this		
12	action on the day of, 20		
13	(s)		
14	Attorney for		
15	Addres	s:	
	<b>N</b>		
16	[Note to inmate filers: If	<sup>c</sup> you are an inmate confined in an	
17	institution and you seek i	the timing benefit of Fed. R. App.	
18	P. 4(c)(1), complete Form	n 7 (Declaration of Inmate Filing)	

19 and file that declaration along with this Notice of Appeal.]

See Rule 3(c) for permissible ways of identifying appellants.



20	Dated _	
21	Signed _	
22		Attorney for Appellant
23	Address:	
24	_	
25	[Note to inmate filer	rs: If you are an inmate confined in an
26		seek the timing benefit of Fed. R. App.

<u>P. 4(c)(1), complete Form 7 (Declaration of Inmate Filing)</u> and file that declaration along with this Notice of Appeal.] 27

28

#### 1 Form 7. Declaration of Inmate Filing 2 3 [insert name of court; for example, *United States District Court for the District of Minnesota*] 4 5 A.B., Plaintiff Case No **V**. C.D., Defendant I am an inmate confined in an institution. Today, 6 [insert date], I am depositing the 7 8 [insert title of document; for example, 9 "notice of appeal"] in this case in the institution's internal mail system. First-class postage is being prepaid either by 10 11 me or by the institution on my behalf. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is 12 13 true and correct (see 28 U.S.C. § 1746; 18 U.S.C. § 1621). Sign your name here 14 Signed on 15 [insert date] 16 17 18 [Note to inmate filers: If your institution has a system designed for legal mail, you must use that system in order 19 20 to receive the timing benefit of Fed. R. App. P. 4(c)(1) or 21 Fed. R. App. P. 25(a)(2)(C).]

1	Rule 4. Appeal as of Right—When Taken
2	(a) Appeal in a Civil Case.
3	****
4	(4) Effect of a Motion on a Notice of Appeal.
5	(A) If a party timely-files in the district court
6	any of the following motions under the
7	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and
8	does so within the time allowed by those
9	<u>rules</u> the time to file an appeal runs for all
10	parties from the entry of the order disposing
11	of the last such remaining motion:
12	****

## **Committee Note**

<u>A clarifying amendment is made to subdivision (a)(4).</u> Former Rule 4(a)(4) provided that "[i]f a party timely files in the district court" certain post-judgment motions, "the time to file an appeal runs for all parties from the entry of the order disposing of the last such remaining motion." Responding to a circuit split concerning the meaning of "timely" in this provision, the amendment adopts the majority approach and rejects the approach taken in

National Ecological Foundation v. Alexander, 496 F.3d 466 (6th Cir. 2007). A motion made after the time allowed by the Civil Rules will not qualify as a motion that, under Rule 4(a)(4)(A), re-starts the appeal time—and that fact is not altered by, for example, a court order that sets a due date that is later than permitted by the Civil Rules, another party's consent or failure to object to the motion's lateness, or the court's disposition of the motion without explicit reliance on untimeliness.

	1 <b>Rul</b>	e 5. Appeal by Permission
	2	* * * *
	3 (c)	Form of Papers; Number of Copies <u>; Length</u>
	4	<b><u>Limits</u></b> . All papers must conform to Rule 32(c)(2).
	5	Except by the court's permission, a paper must not
	6	exceed 20 pages, exclusive of the disclosure
	7	statement, the proof of service, and the accompanying
	8	documents required by Rule 5(b)(1)(E). An original
	9	and 3 copies must be filed unless the court requires a
	10	different number by local rule or by order in a
	11	particular case. Except by the court's permission, and
	12	excluding the accompanying documents required by
	13	<u>Rule 5(b)(1)(E):</u>
	14	(1) a paper produced using a computer must not
4	15	exceed 5,200 words; and
14.	16	(2) a handwritten or typewritten paper must not
, T	17	exceed 20 pages.
5		

18

## **Committee Note**

\* \* \* \* \*

The page limits previously employed in Rules 5, 21, 27, 35, and 40 have been largely overtaken by changes in technology. For papers produced using a computer, those page limits are now replaced by word limits. The word limits were derived from the current page limits using the assumption that one page is equivalent to 260 words. Papers produced using a computer must include the certificate of compliance required by Rule 32(g); Form 6 in the Appendix of Forms suffices to meet that requirement. Page limits are retained for papers prepared without the aid of a computer (i.e., handwritten or typewritten papers). For both the word limit and the page limit, the calculation excludes the accompanying documents required by Rule 32(f).

# 1Rule 21. Writs of Mandamus and Prohibition, and2Other Extraordinary Writs

3		****
4	( <b>d</b> )	Form of Papers; Number of Copies <u>; Length</u>
5		<b><u>Limits</u></b> . All papers must conform to Rule $32(c)(2)$ .
6		Except by the court's permission, a paper must not
7		exceed 30 pages, exclusive of the disclosure
8		statement, the proof of service, and the accompanying
9		documents required by Rule 21(a)(2)(C). An original
10		and 3 copies must be filed unless the court requires
11		the filing of a different number by local rule or by
12		order in a particular case. Except by the court's
13		permission, and excluding the accompanying
14		documents required by Rule 21(a)(2)(C):
15	•	(1) a paper produced using a computer must not

exceed 7,800 words; and

16

17 (2) a handwritten or typewritten paper must not

18

exceed 30 pages.

#### **Committee Note**

The page limits previously employed in Rules 5, 21, 27, 35, and 40 have been largely overtaken by changes in technology. For papers produced using a computer, those page limits are now replaced by word limits. The word limits were derived from the current page limits using the assumption that one page is equivalent to 260 words. Papers produced using a computer must include the certificate of compliance required by Rule 32(g); Form 6 in the Appendix of Forms suffices to meet that requirement. Page limits are retained for papers prepared without the aid of a computer (i.e., handwritten or typewritten papers). For both the word limit and the page limit, the calculation excludes the accompanying documents required by Rule 32(f).

1	<b>Rule 27.</b>	Motions
2		* * * *
3	(d) For	m of Papers; <u>Length Limits;</u> <del>Page Limits; and</del>
4	Nur	nber of Copies.
5		****
6	(2)	Page-Length Limits. A motion or a response to
7		a motion must not exceed 20 pages, exclusive of
8		the corporate disclosure statement and
9		accompanying documents authorized by
10		Rule 27(a)(2)(B), unless the court permits or
11		directs otherwise. A reply to a response must not
12		exceed 10 pages. Except by the court's
13		permission, and excluding the accompanying
14		documents authorized by Rule 27(a)(2)(B):
15	*	(A) a motion or response to a motion produced
16		using a computer must not exceed 5,200
17		words;
7		

18	(B) a handwritten or typewritten motion or
19	response to a motion must not exceed 20
20	pages;
21	(C) a reply produced using a computer must not
22	exceed 2,600 words; and
23	(D) a handwritten or typewritten reply to a
24	response must not exceed 10 pages.
25	* * * * *

## **Committee Note**

The page limits previously employed in Rules 5, 21, 27, 35, and 40 have been largely overtaken by changes in technology. For papers produced using a computer, those page limits are now replaced by word limits. The word limits were derived from the current page limits using the assumption that one page is equivalent to 260 words. Papers produced using a computer must include the certificate of compliance required by Rule 32(g); Form 6 in the Appendix of Forms suffices to meet that requirement. Page limits are retained for papers prepared without the aid of a computer (i.e., handwritten or typewritten papers). For both the word limit and the page limit, the calculation excludes the accompanying documents required by Rule 32(f).

1	Rul	e 28. Briefs
2	(a)	Appellant's Brief. The appellant's brief must
3		contain, under appropriate headings and in the order
4		indicated:
5		****
6		(10) the certificate of compliance, if required by
7		Rule <del>32(a)(7)</del> 32(g)(1).
8		* * * *

## **Committee Note**

<u>Rule 28(a)(10) is revised to refer to Rule 32(g)(1)</u> instead of Rule 32(a)(7), to reflect the relocation of the certificate-of-compliance requirement.

1	Rule 28.1. Cross-Appeals
2	* * * *
3	(e) Length.
4	(1) Page Limitation. Unless it complies with
5	Rule $28.1(e)(2)$ - and $(3)$ , the appellant's principal
6	brief must not exceed 30 pages; the appellee's
7	principal and response brief, 35 pages; the
8	appellant's response and reply brief, 30 pages;
9	and the appellee's reply brief, 15 pages.
10	(2) <b>Type-Volume Limitation.</b>
11	(A) The appellant's principal brief or the
12	appellant's response and reply brief is
13	acceptable if <u>it</u> :
14	(i) it-contains no more than $14,00013,000$
15	words; or
, N	
N	

	16	(ii)	it—uses a monospaced face and
	17		contains no more than 1,300 lines of
	18		text.
	19	(B) The	e appellee's principal and response brief
	20	is a	cceptable if <u>it</u> :
	21	(i)	it-contains no more than 16,50015,300
	22		words; or
	23	(ii)	it—uses a monospaced face and
	24		contains no more than 1,500 lines of
	25	·X	text.
	26	(C) The	e appellee's reply brief is acceptable if it
	27	con	tains no more than half of the type
	28	volu	ume specified in Rule 28.1(e)(2)(A).
	29 (3)	-Certifica	ate of Compliance. A brief submitted
4	30	<del>under F</del>	Rule 28.1(e)(2) must comply with
14.	31	Rule 32(a	a <del>)(7)(C).</del>
7	32		* * * *
7			

#### **Committee Note**

When Rule 28.1 was adopted in 2005, it modeled its type-volume limits on those set forth in Rule 32(a)(7) for briefs in cases that did not involve a cross-appeal. At that time, Rule 32(a)(7)(B) set word limits based on an estimate of 280 words per page.

In the course of adopting word limits for the length limits in Rules 5, 21, 27, 35, and 40, and responding to concern about the length of briefs, the Committee has reevaluated the conversion ratio (from pages to words) and decided to apply a conversion ratio of 260 words per page. Rules 28.1 and 32(a)(7)(B) are amended to reduce the word limits accordingly.

In a complex case, a party may need to file a brief that exceeds the type-volume limitations specified in these rules, such as to include unusually voluminous information explaining relevant background or legal provisions or to respond to multiple briefs by opposing parties or amici. The Committee expects that courts will accommodate those situations by granting leave to exceed the type-volume limitations as appropriate.

A

		FEDE	ERAL RULES (	OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE	25	
	1 <b>R</b> u	ıle 32.	Form of Brid	efs, Appendices, and Other Pa	pers	0
	2 (a)	For	m of a Brief.			
	3			* * * * *	$\odot$	•
	4	(7)	Length.		) \	
	5		(A) Page L	<b>Limitation.</b> A principal brief	may	
	6		not exc	ceed 30 pages, or a reply brid	ef 15	
	7		pages,	unless it complies	with	
	8		Rule 32	2(a)(7)(B)- <del>and (C)</del> .		
	9		(B) Type-V	olume Limitation.		
	10		(i) A	principal brief is acceptable if <u>i</u>	<u>t</u> :	
	11		•	it—contains no more	than	
	12			14,00013,000 words; or		
	13		•	it-uses a monospaced face	e and	
	14			contains no more than 1,300	lines	
	15			of text.		
	16		(ii) A	reply brief is acceptable	if it	
14	17		со	ntains no more than half of the	e type	
7						

	18	volume specified in Rule
	19	32(a)(7)(B)(i).
	20 <del>(iii)</del>	Headings, footnotes, and quotations
	21	count toward the word and line
	22	limitations. The corporate disclosure
	23	statement, table of contents, table of
	24	citations, statement with respect to
	25	oral argument, any addendum
	26	containing statutes, rules or
	27	regulations, and any certificates of
	28	counsel do not count toward the
	29	limitation.
	30 <del>(C) Cer</del>	tificate of compliance.
	31 <del>(i)</del>	A brief submitted under
A	32	Rules 28.1(e)(2) or 32(a)(7)(B) must
14	33	include a certificate by the attorney, or
14	34	an unrepresented party, that the brief
7		

	35	complies with the type volume
	36	limitation. The person preparing the
	37	certificate may rely on the word or
	38	line count of the word processing
	39	system used to prepare the brief. The
	40	certificate must state either:
	41	• the number of words in the brief;
	42	OF
	43	• the number of lines of
	44	monospaced type in the brief.
	45 <del>(ii)</del>	Form 6 in the Appendix of Forms is a
	46	suggested form of a certificate of
	47	compliance. Use of Form 6 must be
	48	regarded as sufficient to meet the
4	49	requirements of Rules 28.1(e)(3) and
14	50	<del>32(a)(7)(C)(i).</del>
7	51	* * * *
7		

	52 (e)	Local Variation. Every court of appeals must accept
	53	documents that comply with the form requirements of
	54	this rule and the length limits set by these rules. By
	55	local rule or order in a particular case, a court of
	56	appeals may accept documents that do not meet all of
	57	the form requirements of this rule or the length limits
	58	set by these rules.
	59 <u>(f)</u>	Items Excluded from Length. In computing any
	60	length limit, headings, footnotes, and quotations count
	61	toward the limit but the following items do not:
	62	• the cover page;
	63	• a corporate disclosure statement;
	64	• a table of contents;
	65	• a table of citations;
A	66	• a statement regarding oral argument;
1	67	• an addendum containing statutes, rules, or
N	68	<u>regulations;</u>

	<u>69</u>	certificates of counsel;
	70 •	the signature block;
	71 •	the proof of service; and
	72 •	any item specifically excluded by these rules or
	73	by local rule.
	74 <u>(g) Cer</u>	tificate of Compliance.
	75 <u>(1)</u>	<b>Briefs and Papers That Require a Certificate.</b>
	76	A brief submitted under Rules 28.1(e)(2),
	77	29(b)(4), or 32(a)(7)(B)—and a paper submitted
	78	<u>under Rules 5(c)(1), 21(d)(1), 27(d)(2)(A)</u> ,
	79	27(d)(2)(C), 35(b)(2)(A), or 40(b)(1)-must
	80	include a certificate by the attorney, or an
	81	unrepresented party, that the document complies
	82	with the type-volume limitation. The person
A	83	preparing the certificate may rely on the word or
17.	84	line count of the word-processing system used to
	85	prepare the document. The certificate must state
7		

9

86		the number of words-or the number of lines of
87		monospaced type—in the document.
88	(2)	Acceptable Form. Form 6 in the Appendix of
89		Forms meets the requirements for a certificate of
90		compliance.

#### **Committee Note**

When Rule 32(a)(7)(B)'s type-volume limits for briefs were adopted in 1998, the word limits were based on an estimate of 280 words per page. In the course of adopting word limits for the length limits in Rules 5, 21, 27, 35, and 40, and responding to concern about the length of briefs, the Committee has reevaluated the conversion ratio (from pages to words) and decided to apply a conversion ratio of 260 words per page. Rules 28.1 and 32(a)(7)(B) are amended to reduce the word limits accordingly.

In a complex case, a party may need to file a brief that exceeds the type-volume limitations specified in these rules, such as to include unusually voluminous information explaining relevant background or legal provisions or to respond to multiple briefs by opposing parties or amici. The Committee expects that courts will accommodate those situations by granting leave to exceed the type-volume limitations as appropriate.

Subdivision (e) is amended to make clear a court's ability (by local rule or order in a case) to increase the

length limits for briefs and other documents. Subdivision (e) already established this authority as to the length limits in Rule 32(a)(7); the amendment makes clear that this authority extends to all length limits in the Appellate Rules.

<u>A new subdivision (f) is added to set out a global list</u> of items excluded from length computations, and the list of exclusions in former subdivision (a)(7)(B)(iii) is deleted. The certificate-of-compliance provision formerly in Rule 32(a)(7)(C) is relocated to a new Rule 32(g) and now applies to filings under all type-volume limits (other than Rule 28(j)'s word limit)—including the new word limits in Rules 5, 21, 27, 29, 35, and 40. Conforming amendments are made to Form 6.

1	Rule 35. En Banc Determination
2	* * * *
3	(b) Petition for Hearing or Rehearing En Banc. A
4	party may petition for a hearing or rehearing en banc.
5	****
6	(2) Except by the court's permission, a petition for
7	an en banc hearing or rehearing must not exceed
8	15 pages, excluding material not counted under
9	<del>Rule 32.<u>:</u></del>
10	(A) a petition for an en banc hearing or
11	rehearing produced using a computer must
12	not exceed 3,900 words; and
13	(B) a handwritten or typewritten petition for an
14	en banc hearing or rehearing must not
15	exceed 15 pages.
16	(3) For purposes of the <u>page-limits</u> in Rule $35(b)(2)$ ,
17	if a party files both a petition for panel rehearing
7	

18	and a petition for rehearing en banc, they are
19	considered a single document even if they are
20	filed separately, unless separate filing is required
21	by local rule.
22	****

## **Committee Note**

The page limits previously employed in Rules 5, 21, 27, 35, and 40 have been largely overtaken by changes in technology. For papers produced using a computer, those page limits are now replaced by word limits. The word limits were derived from the current page limits using the assumption that one page is equivalent to 260 words. Papers produced using a computer must include the certificate of compliance required by Rule 32(g); Form 6 in the Appendix of Forms suffices to meet that requirement. Page limits are retained for papers prepared without the aid of a computer (i.e., handwritten or typewritten papers). For both the word limit and the page limit, the calculation excludes any items listed in Rule 32(f).

1	Rule 40. Petition for Panel Rehearing
2	* * * * *
3	(b) Form of Petition; Length. The petition must comply
4	in form with Rule 32. Copies must be served and
5	filed as Rule 31 prescribes. Unless the court permits
6	or a local rule provides otherwise, a petition for panel
7	rehearing must not exceed 15 pages. Except by the
8	court's permission:
9	(1) a petition for panel rehearing produced using a
10	computer must not exceed 3,900 words; and
11	(2) a handwritten or typewritten petition for panel
12	rehearing must not exceed 15 pages.

### **Committee Note**

<u>The page limits previously employed in Rules 5, 21, 27, 35, and 40 have been largely overtaken by changes in technology. For papers produced using a computer, those page limits are now replaced by word limits. The word limits were derived from the current page limits using the assumption that one page is equivalent to 260 words.</u> Papers produced using a computer must include the

certificate of compliance required by Rule 32(g); Form 6 in the Appendix of Forms suffices to meet that requirement. Page limits are retained for papers prepared without the aid of a computer (i.e., handwritten or typewritten papers). For both the word limit and the page limit, the calculation excludes any items listed in Rule 32(f).

## Form 6. Certificate of Compliance With Rule 32(a) <u>Type-Volume Limit</u>

Certificate of Compliance With Type-Volume Limitation,
 Typeface Requirements, and Type\_Style Requirements

5	1. This briefdocument complies with [the type-
6	volume limitation of Fed. R. App. P. 32(a)(7)(B)[insert
7	<u>Rule citation; e.g., <math>32(a)(7)(B)</math>]</u> [the word limit of Fed. R.
8	App. P. [insert Rule citation; e.g., 5(c)(1)]] because,
9	excluding the parts of the document exempted by Fed. R.
10	App. P. 32(f) [and [insert applicable Rule citation, if any]]:
	N

this briefdocument contains [state the number of]
 words, excluding the parts of the brief exempted
 by Fed. R. App. P. 32(a)(7)(B)(iii), or

14 □ this brief uses a monospaced typeface and
15 contains [*state the number of*] lines of text,
16 excluding the parts of the brief exempted by Fed.
17 R. App. P. 32(a)(7)(B)(iii).

18 2. This <u>briefdocument</u> complies with the typeface 19 requirements of Fed. R. App. P. 32(a)(5) and the type\_style 20 requirements of Fed. R. App. P. 32(a)(6) because:

21

22

23 24 □ this <u>briefdocument</u> has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using [*state name and version of word\_processing program*] in [*state font size and name of type style*], **or** 

25 26 27 28 29	□ this briefdocument has been prepared in a monospaced typeface using [state name and version of word_processing program] with [state number of characters per inch and name of type style].
30	(s)
31	Attorney for
32	Dated:

RR

1			Appendix:									
2	Length Limits Stated in the											
3	Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure											
4 5			ength limits stated in the Feder precise requirements, and bear	*		• dure.						
6	• In computing these limits, you can exclude the items listed in Rule 32(f).											
7 8												
9	• For the	limits in Rule	es 5, 21, 27, 35, and 40:									
10	- You must use the word limit if you produce your document on a computer; and											
11 12	<ul> <li>You must use the page limit if you handwrite your document or type it on a typewriter.</li> </ul>											
13	• For the limits in Rules 28.1, 29(a)(5), and 32:											
14 15												
16 17 18	<ul> <li>You may use the line limit if you type or print your document with a monospaced typeface. A typeface is monospaced when each character occupies the same amount of horizontal space.</li> </ul>											
	14.	<u>Rule</u>	<b>Document type</b>	<u>Word limit</u>	<u>Page limit</u>	<u>Line limit</u>						
	ermission to ppeal	<u>5(c)</u> •	Petition for permission to appeal	<u>5,200</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Not</u> applicable						

Answer in opposition
Cross-petition

	<u>Rule</u>		<b>Document type</b>	<u>Word limit</u>	<u>Page limit</u>	<u>Line limit</u>
<u>Extraordinary</u> <u>writs</u>	<u>21(d)</u>	•	Petition for writ of mandamus or prohibition or other extraordinary writ Answer	<u>7,800</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Not</u> applicable
<b>Motions</b>	<u>27(d)(2)</u>	•	<u>Motion</u> Response to a motion	<u>5,200</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Not</u> applicable
	<u>27(d)(2)</u>	•	Reply to a response to a motion	<u>2,600</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Not</u> applicable
Parties' briefs (where no	<u>32(a)(7)</u>	•	Principal brief	<u>13,000</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>1,300</u>
cross-appeal)	<u>32(a)(7)</u>	•	Reply brief	<u>6,500</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>650</u>
<u>Parties' briefs</u> (where cross- appeal)	<u>28.1(e)</u>	•	<u>Appellant's principal</u> <u>brief</u> <u>Appellant's response and</u> <u>reply brief</u>	<u>13,000</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>1,300</u>
	<u>28.1(e)</u>	•	Appellee's principal and response brief	<u>15,300</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>1,500</u>
1	<u>28.1(e)</u>	•	Appellee's reply brief	<u>6,500</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>650</u>
<u>Party's</u> <u>supplemental</u> <u>letter</u>	<u>28(j)</u>	•	Letter citing supplemental authorities	<u>350</u>	<u>Not</u> applicable	<u>Not</u> applicable

	Rule	<b>Document type</b>	Word limit	<u>Page limit</u>	<u>Line limit</u>
<u>Amicus briefs</u>	<u>29(a)(5)</u> •	Amicus brief during initial consideration of case on merits	<u>One-half the</u> <u>length set</u> <u>by the</u> <u>Appellate</u> <u>Rules for a</u> <u>party's</u> <u>principal</u> <u>brief</u>	<u>One-half</u> <u>the length</u> <u>set by the</u> <u>Appellate</u> <u>Rules for</u> <u>a party's</u> <u>principal</u> <u>brief</u>	One-half the length set by the Appellate Rules for a party's principal brief
	<u>29(b)(4)</u> •	Amicus brief during consideration of whether to grant rehearing	<u>2,600</u>	<u>Not</u> applicable	<u>Not</u> applicable
<u>Rehearing and</u> <u>en banc filings</u>	<u>35(b)(2)</u> <u>&amp; 40(b)</u>	<u>Petition for hearing en</u> <u>banc</u> <u>Petition for panel</u> <u>rehearing; petition for</u> <u>rehearing en banc</u>	<u>3,900</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Not</u> applicable

MAN . MO

	TLDL	
1	Rule 29	. Brief of an Amicus Curiae
2	(a) <u>Du</u>	ring Initial Consideration of a Case on the
3	Me	erits.
4	<u>(1)</u>	Applicability. This Rule 29(a) governs amicus
5		filings during a court's initial consideration of a
6		case on the merits.
7	<u>(2)</u>	_When Permitted. The United States or its
8		officer or agency or a state may file an amicus-
9		curiae brief without the consent of the parties or
10		leave of court. Any other amicus curiae may file
11		a brief only by leave of court or if the brief states
12	X	that all parties have consented to its filing.
13	<del>(b)</del> <u>(3)</u>	Motion for Leave to File. The motion must be
14		accompanied by the proposed brief and state:
15	(1)	$(\underline{A})$ the movant's interest; and
14.		
N.		
7		

16	(2)	<u>(B)</u>	the reason why an amicus brief is desirable
17			and why the matters asserted are relevant to
18			the disposition of the case.

. Co.

19	<del>(c)</del> <u>(4)</u>	Contents and Form. An amicus brief must
20		comply with Rule 32. In addition to the
21		requirements of Rule 32, the cover must identify
22		the party or parties supported and indicate
23		whether the brief supports affirmance or reversal.
24		An amicus brief need not comply with Rule 28,
25		but must include the following:
26	(1)	(A) if the amicus curiae is a corporation, a
27	X	disclosure statement like that required of
28		parties by Rule 26.1;
29	(2)	$(\underline{B})$ a table of contents, with page references;
30	<del>(3)</del>	$(\underline{C})$ a table of authorities—cases (alphabetically

arranged), statutes, and other authorities-

31

49	to fund preparing or submitting the
50	brief and, if so, identifies each such
51	person;
52 <del>(6)</del>	$(\underline{F})$ an argument, which may be preceded by a
53	summary and which need not include a
54	statement of the applicable standard of
55	review; and
56 <del>(7)</del>	(G) a certificate of compliance <u>under</u>
57	Rule 32(g)(1), if required by Rule 32(a)(7)
58	length is computed using a word or line
59	<u>limit</u> .
60 <del>(d) <u>(5)</u></del>	Length. Except by the court's permission, an
61	amicus brief may be no more than one-half the
62	maximum length authorized by these rules for a
63	party's principal brief. If the court grants a party
64	permission to file a longer brief, that extension
65	does not affect the length of an amicus brief.
	50 51 52 (6) 53 54 55 56 (7) 57 58 59 60 (d) (5) 61 62 63 64

	66	<del>(e)</del>	(6)	Time for Filing. An amicus curiae must file its
	67	(-)	<u>\~/</u>	brief, accompanied by a motion for filing when
	68			necessary, no later than 7 days after the principal
	00			
	69			brief of the party being supported is filed. An
	70			amicus curiae that does not support either party
	71			must file its brief no later than 7 days after the
	72			appellant's or petitioner's principal brief is filed.
	73			A court may grant leave for later filing,
	74			specifying the time within which an opposing
	75			party may answer.
	76	<del>(f)</del>	<u>(7)</u>	Reply Brief. Except by the court's permission,
	77			an amicus curiae may not file a reply brief.
	78	<del>(g)</del>	<u>(8)</u>	Oral Argument. An amicus curiae may
	79			participate in oral argument only with the court's
4	80			permission.
14	81	<u>(b)</u>	Dur	ing Consideration of Whether to Grant
7,	82		<u>Reh</u>	earing.
4				

# **Rehearing.**

	83 <u>(</u>	(1)	Applicability. This Rule 29(b) governs amicus
	84		filings during a court's consideration of whether
	85		to grant panel rehearing or rehearing en banc,
	86		unless a local rule or order in a case provides
	87		otherwise.
	88 <u>(</u>	(2)	When Permitted. The United States or its
	89		officer or agency or a state may file an amicus-
	90		curiae brief without the consent of the parties or
	91		leave of court. Any other amicus curiae may file
	92		a brief only by leave of court.
	93 <u>(</u>	(3)	Motion for Leave to File. Rule 29(a)(3) applies
	94		to a motion for leave.
	95 (	(4)	Contents, Form, and Length. Rule 29(a)(4)
	96		applies to the amicus brief. The brief must not
4	97		exceed 2,600 words.
14	98 <u>(</u>	(5)	Time for Filing. An amicus curiae supporting
7	99		the petition for rehearing or supporting neither
7			

100	<u>party</u>	must	file	its	brief,	accom	panied	by	a
101	motio	n for f	iling	whe	n nece	ssarv. n	o later	than	7

100	1	C.	. 1	. • . •	•	C*1 1			
102	davs	atter	the	petition	<b>1</b> S	tiled	An	amicus	curiae
102	uuyb	unter	une	petition	10	mea.	1 111	unneus	currac

103	opposing	the	petition	must	file	its	brief

	104 <u>a</u>	accompanie	ed by	y a	motion	for	filing	when
--	--------------	------------	-------	-----	--------	-----	--------	------

105	necessary,	no	later	than	the	date	set	by	the	cour
	-									

### 106 <u>for the response.</u>

#### **Committee Note**

<u>Rule 29 is amended to address amicus filings in</u> <u>connection with requests for panel rehearing and rehearing</u> <u>en banc.</u>

Existing Rule 29 is renumbered Rule 29(a), and language is added to that subdivision (a) to state that its provisions apply to amicus filings during the court's initial consideration of a case on the merits. Rule 29(c)(7)becomes Rule 29(a)(4)(G) and is revised to accord with the relocation and revision of the certificate-of-compliance requirement. New Rule 32(g)(1) states that "[a] brief submitted under Rules 28.1(e)(2), 29(b)(4), or 32(a)(7)(B). . . must include" a certificate of compliance. An amicus brief submitted during initial consideration of a case on the merits counts as a "brief submitted under Rule[] . . . 32(a)(7)(B)" if the amicus computes Rule 29(a)(5)'s length limit by taking half of a type-volume limit in

Rule 32(a)(7)(B). Rule 29(a)(4)(G) restates Rule 32(g)(1)'s requirement functionally, by providing that a certificate of compliance is required if an amicus brief's length is computed using a word or line limit.

<u>New subdivision (b) is added to address amicus filings</u> in connection with a petition for panel rehearing or rehearing en banc. Subdivision (b) sets default rules that apply when a court does not provide otherwise by local rule or by order in a case. A court remains free to adopt different rules governing whether amicus filings are permitted in connection with petitions for rehearing, and governing the procedures when such filings are permitted.

·

1	Rule 26. Computing and Extending Time
2	* * * * *
3	(c) Additional Time after <u>Certain Kinds of Service</u> .
4	When a party may or must act within a specified time
5	after servicebeing served, 3 days are added after the
6	period would otherwise expire under Rule 26(a),
7	unless the paper is delivered on the date of service
8	stated in the proof of service. For purposes of this
9	Rule 26(c), a paper that is served electronically is not
10	treated as delivered on the date of service stated in the
11	proof of service.

#### **Committee Note**

Rule 26(c) is amended to remove service by electronic means under Rule 25(c)(1)(D) from the modes of service that allow 3 added days to act after being served.

<u>Rule 25(c) was amended in 2002 to provide for</u> service by electronic means. Although electronic transmission seemed virtually instantaneous even then, electronic service was included in the modes of service that allow 3 added days to act after being served. There were

concerns that the transmission might be delayed for some time, and particular concerns that incompatible systems might make it difficult or impossible to open attachments. Those concerns have been substantially alleviated by advances in technology and widespread skill in using electronic transmission.

<u>A parallel reason for allowing the 3 added days was</u> that electronic service was authorized only with the consent of the person to be served. Concerns about the reliability of electronic transmission might have led to refusals of consent; the 3 added days were calculated to alleviate these concerns.

Diminution of the concerns that prompted the decision to allow the 3 added days for electronic transmission is not the only reason for discarding this indulgence. Many rules have been changed to ease the task of computing time by adopting 7-, 14-, 21-, and 28- day periods that allow "dayof-the-week" counting. Adding 3 days at the end complicated the counting, and increased the occasions for further complication by invoking the provisions that apply when the last day is a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday.

<u>Electronic service after business hours, or just before</u> or during a weekend or holiday, may result in a practical reduction in the time available to respond. Extensions of time may be warranted to prevent prejudice.

Rule 26(c) has also been amended to refer to instances when a party "may or must act . . . after being served" rather than to instances when a party "may or must act . . . after service." If, in future, an Appellate Rule sets a

deadline for a party to act after *that party itself effects service* on another person, this change in language will clarify that Rule 26(c)'s three added days are not accorded to the party who effected service.

	1 Rule	e 26. Computing and Extending Time	
2	2 (a)	Computing Time. The following rules apply in	
	3	computing any time period specified in these rules, in	
2	4	any local rule or court order, or in any statute that	
:	5	does not specify a method of computing time.	
	б	* * * * *	
,	7	(4) <b>"Last Day" Defined.</b> Unless a different time is	
:	8	set by a statute, local rule, or court order, the last	
9	9	day ends:	
10	0	(A) for electronic filing in the district court, at	
1	1	midnight in the court's time zone;	
12	2	(B) for electronic filing in the court of appeals,	
1:	3	at midnight in the time zone of the circuit	
1	4	clerk's principal office;	
E	5	(C) for filing under Rules $4(c)(1)$ , $25(a)(2)(B)$ ,	
10	б	and 25(a)(2)(C)—and filing by mail under	
	7	Rule $\frac{13(b)13(a)(2)}{a}$ the latest time for	

18	the method chosen for delivery to the post
19	office, third-party commercial carrier, or
20	prison mailing system; and
21 (E	D) for filing by other means, when the clerk's
22	office is scheduled to close.
23	* * * *

# **Committee Note**

Subdivision (a)(4)(C). The reference to Rule 13(b) is revised to refer to Rule 13(a)(2) in light of a 2013 amendment to Rule 13. The amendment to subdivision (a)(4)(C) is technical and no substantive change is intended.