

## The Fallout from the Latest NLRB Salvo on Social Media

By Daniel Schwartz on February 8th, 2012

Last year, I mentioned that I was growing a bit tired about writing about social media in the workplace.

It's not that the topic isn't interesting; it's just that there isn't that much new to discuss. For those of us who have been writing about it for years, we've seen much of this for a while.



(Though to be fair, my earliest post on Facebook talked more about its use for employment screening; its adoption in the workplace shows just how far Facebook has come in four years.)

And yet, every pronouncement from the NLRB is treated as if it is written in stone with lots of suggestions on how to rewrite all of your policies.

The latest was an updated report last month from the NLRB on the topic again. Rather than jump on board with a quick summary, I've decided to take a step back and really look at how significant this report really is.

According to Jon Hyman at the Ohio Employer's Law Blog, it's a "mess. In a mere 35 pages, the NLRB appears to have ripped the guts out of the ability of employers to regulate any kind of online communications between employees."

Brian Hall at the Employer Law Report isn't as critical noting that the Board's interpretation of a lawful policy is "very nuanced". His suggestion?

Where possible, examples or context should be provided for any specific policies that arguably can be interpreted as infringing on employees Section 7 rights. In addition, employers should consider a disclaimer in the policy disavowing any intent to infringe on those rights. Finally, the Board's consideration of the lawfulness of social media policies appears to be heavily influenced by how those policies are enforced. Employers should seek legal counsel in drafting or reviewing their social media policies and before taking disciplinary action against employees for their social media activity.

Jason Shinn at the Michigan Employment Law Advisor quotes Shakespeare in observing that "the devil can cite Scripture for his purpose." In doing so, Shinn observes that employers may want to rethink, rather than double down on drafting broad social media policies and expect such policies to pass muster because of a "savings clause."

From my perspective, I think the NLRB's analysis of the issues remain fluid and not well defined. Courts have yet to chime in on this and its unclear whether the NLRB's approach will pass muster.

That does not mean that employers shouldn't review their policies and consider using narrower language regarding what employees can and cannot do. But employers who jump at every word that the NLRB says may be missing the larger messages behind those words. Consider your overall approach and, where appropriate, get legal counsel involved to answer questions you may have.

But above all else, take a measured approach. Social media moves fast; the law does not, despite the NLRB's suggestions to the contrary.

Posted in [Highlight](#), [Labor Law & NRLB](#), [Social Media](#)

This blog/web site is made available by the host/publisher for educational purposes only as well as to give you general information and a general understanding of the law. It is not intended to provide specific legal advice to your individual circumstances or legal questions. You acknowledge that neither your reading of, nor posting on, this blog site establishes an attorney-client relationship between you and the blog/web site host or the law firm, or any of the attorneys with whom, the host is affiliated. This blog/web site should not be used as a substitute for seeking competent legal advice from a licensed professional attorney in your state. Readers of this information should not act upon any information contained on this website without seeking professional counsel. The transmission of confidential information via Internet email is highly discouraged. Per a June 11, 2007 opinion of Connecticut's Statewide Grievance Committee, legal blogs/websites, such as this one, may be deemed an "advertisement" under applicable rules and regulations of Connecticut, and/or the rules and regulations of other jurisdictions.