

# United HealthSystem Consortium Joint Council Meeting

Retaliation Against Whistle Blowers: A Chronic Problem or Isolated Occurrence?

Thursday, April 14, 2011

Michael R. Callahan Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP Chicago, Illinois 312.902.5634 michael.callahan@kattenlaw.com



# **Environmental Scan (External)**

- Transparency and accountability
- Mandatory reporting of sentinel/serious adverse events
- Pay for performance, value-based purchasing
- Hospital-acquired conditions
- Medical necessity enforcement



## **Environmental Scan (Internal)**

- Reduced budgets (staffing, resources) and operating margins
- Greater production pressure
- Greater complexity, clinical and operational
- Quickly changing rules
- Erosion of trust



#### **Professional Dilemmas**

- "Up-coding" quality performance
- Clinical/documentation workarounds
- Suppressed internal investigations into adverse events

- Public accountability (reportable events)
- Medical necessity concerns unrecognized
- Peer review functionality
- Steep gradient of authority
- Harassment or insidious intimidation



#### **Desired Healthcare Culture/Environment**

#### For patients/communities

- Patient-centered care aimed at leading practices
- No compromises to data integrity
- Honesty and transparency in quality of care and patient safety

#### For healthcare professionals

- Respect and trust, common goals for quality and patient safety
- Safe work environment
- Internal and external chain of command
- Effective mechanisms and resources for improvement
- Protection from intimidation, retribution, or harassment



## The Texas Nurses' Case

- (April 2008) County hospital employs Dr. A; known history of licensure restrictions for patient care problems; quality managers (RNs) report practice issues to hospital leadership
- (April 2009) Quality staff reports to state medical board after lack of response by hospital
- (June) Both staff are fired by hospital and later indicted
- (September 2009) DOH fines hospital for firing staff and for failure to properly supervise Dr. A



## The Texas Nurses' Case

- (Jan 2010) Charges against one nurse dropped
- (Feb) Second nurse acquitted
- (Aug) Nurses settle suit against hospital and county
- (Dec) Dr. A indicted for misuse of information
- (Jan 2011) Hospital administrator, county prosecutor and sheriff indicted



- Hospital (Redding, CA, 2003)
  - Unnecessary cardiac procedures performed, patient records falsified
  - Physicians and administrators repeatedly raise concerns, ignored by parent company (Tenet) and state regulators
  - Complaining physicians intimidated, threatened
  - Patient complaint triggers federal investigation
  - Tenet settles with OIG for \$54 million



- Neurosurgeon (Oakland, CA, 2006)
  - Repeated physical threats to nurse, history of prior unaddressed behavioral issues
  - Concerned staff call 911
  - Physician arrested, reported to medical board, receives temporary suspension
  - Hearing deems physician "competent," license restored



- Cardiologist (San Diego, 2007)
  - Strikes agitated patient repeatedly during cardiac catheterization
  - Immediately resigns, employed by neighboring hospital
  - Staff report directly to DOH, because prior reports on cardiologist's behavior unheeded
  - CMS issues immediate jeopardy letter, hospital fined
  - Medical board issued public discipline in 2010



- Pediatrician (Delaware, 2010)
  - History of sexual abuse complaints since 1994
  - Licensed in DE despite complaints
  - Nurse reports inappropriate touching of patients, hospital investigation determines complaints unfounded
  - Sister of a patient reports erratic behavior to state medical society, deems a "family matter"
  - Physician colleague routinely refers to physician as "pedophile"
  - State takes action only after newspaper publishes stories
  - Total of 115 children abused



#### **Recurrent Themes**

- Hospitals fail to take action until forced
- Insufficient/delayed response from regulatory bodies
- Intimidation, fear/reluctance
- Staff report to regulators when hospitals fail to act
- Financial motives often but not always present
- Inaction leads to patient harm





- External pressures to monitor/maintain quality
  - Joint Commission
    /CMS/accreditation
    standards
  - Doctrine of Corporate
    Negligence/Respondent
    Superior





- Potential for
  - denial of reimbursement, violation of False
    Claims Act
  - affecting qualifications as a certified
    Accountable Care Organization
  - denial of NCQA certification
  - private whistle blower actions



- Existing Legal Tools
  - Code of Conduct Policy, Disruptive Behavior
    Policy, Conflict of Interest, Medical staff bylaws
  - State peer review confidentiality and immunity protections
  - Patient Safety Organization, Concept of "Just Culture"



The goal is to encourage full disclosure and acknowledgment in a protected environment without fear of reprisal or ability to use disclosure for disciplinary purposes





- Health Care Quality Improvement Act
  - Immunity protections for professional review action
  - Data bank reporting
- State common law protections for "good faith" reporting, voluntary or mandatory
- State and federal whistleblower protections



- Do we have appropriate and comprehensive legal structures and processes in place, internally and externally, to adequately improve quality and protect against retaliatory actions?
- If so, then why do problems or fears of reprisal persist?



 Do we have the necessary legal tools but not the necessary "culture" or leadership to enforce these processes and protections?

or...



 Do we need new structures and processes to protect patients and healthcare professionals?

#### and

 How do we build and foster the will and commitment to act vigorously to protect patients and healthcare professionals?