October 29, 2010

California Leads the Nation to Control Global Warming with Its New Proposed Cap-and-Trade Regulations

By Peter Hsiao, William Sloan, and Michael Steel

In a highly anticipated move, the California Air Resources Board (CARB) has issued its draft regulations to implement the centerpiece of the state's Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32), a landmark cap-and-trade program for greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. While there are other, smaller cap-and-trade programs in the United States, California's proposal will cover approximately 85% of the state's total GHG emissions and has the ambition to lead towards a national and international cap-and-trade program. The timing of CARB's draft regulations comes at the heart of a contentious political debate regarding the potential benefits and economic costs of controlling GHG emissions through such a program, including a state ballot proposition to delay the implementation of the regulations. (CARB's draft proposal can be found here.)

BACKGROUND AND SCOPE OF CARB'S CAP-AND-TRADE PROGRAM

A cap-and-trade program sets a fixed limit on emissions from major sources (the "cap") and reduces those emissions by gradually lowering the aggregate cap each year. Regulated parties are issued allowances during the first year of the program, and are allowed to purchase and sell those allowances, and offset credits, at auction or from others (the "trade"). Cap-and-trade is intended as a flexible market-based mechanism to reduce GHG emissions. It is a key component of AB 32, which requires the state to reduce overall emissions to 1990 levels by 2020 (approximately a 29% reduction). (Click here to read our initial client alert about AB 32.)

California proposes to impose a declining cap on aggregate emissions by covered industries starting in 2012. The cap will be initially be set at the estimated 2012 level of emissions with a specified number of "allowances," which each represent one metric ton of carbon dioxide or its equivalent in other GHGs (commonly referred to as "MTCO₂e"). At the end of each compliance period, emitters must surrender allowances and/or "offset credits" in an amount equal to their GHG emissions during that period. The state would then permanently retire the surrendered allowances, and issue a new, reduced set of allowances for the following compliance period.

WHO IS COVERED?

The regulation covers four categories of parties: covered entities, opt-in covered entities, voluntarily associated entities, and other registered participants. Starting in 2012, the first phase of "covered entities" includes the electricity generation sector and large industrial sources with GHG emissions at or above 25,000 MTCO₂e. In 2015, the second phase of the program would expand to include providers of transportation fuels and residential and commercial fuels, with additional phases to follow in later years. Parties, including those that do not exceed the 25,000 MTCO₂e threshold, can also voluntarily opt-in to the program.

To create a trading market, the program is also open to voluntarily associated entities including the general public, investment banks, land use easements, and private citizen groups that would be allowed to hold allowances and offsets, and would be subject to registration and reporting requirements. The final category of covered parties is "registered" participants which include private or government organizations that will verify the legitimacy of the allowances or credits, the offsets, and the banking mechanisms.

HOW A REGULATED ENTITY OBTAINS ITS ALLOWANCE

CARB proposes to distribute the allowances through a combination of free allocation, sale at auction, and an Allowance Price Containment Reserve. Allowances will initially be allocated for free to the industrial sector for two reasons: (1) to avoid sudden or undue short-term economic impacts and promote a transition to a low-carbon economy and (2) to prevent "leakage," where California facilities are disadvantaged and production shifts outside of California, resulting in unchanged or increased GHG emissions. Initially, the allowances will be set at about 90 percent of the average emissions, based on an efficiency benchmark for each industry that will be updated annually.

Additionally, allowances will be allocated for free to electrical distribution utilities. The utilities must use the benefit from the allowances so that electricity ratepayers do not experience sudden increases in their electricity bills as a result of the regulation. Utilities could do this through rebates, customer bill relief, or paying for GHG-reducing measures. The remainder of allowances will be sold at quarterly actions, which CARB believes is the most fair and transparent means of distribution.

The regulation proposes to create an Allowance Price Containment Reserve, to expand flexibility and reduce compliance costs. Covered entities will be able to purchase allowances from the Reserve at fixed prices three weeks after each quarterly auction, which will limit increases in the market price as it approaches the fixed Reserve price. The Reserve will consist of (1) a portion of allowances from each budget year, (2) CARB allowances that are not sold at auction, and (3) allowances surrendered to comply with excess emissions provisions. The Reserve will vary from one to five percent of total allowances in the program from 2012 through 2020.

Entities subject to the GHG cap would be required to meet compliance obligations through surrender of allowances and/or offset credits. If the allowances initially allocated to a particular source are not sufficient to cover that source's GHG emissions, then it will be required to obtain offset credits, or purchase more allowances from other entities with allowance surpluses, or both.

USE OF OFFSETS

Up to eight percent of a regulated party's allowances may be comprised of offset credits. Offsets are obtained from certified parties not subject to the regulated cap, such as reforestation projects, urban forest projects, livestock manure (methane management) projects, and removal of ozone depleting substances. Providers of offset credits would be required to register with CARB or an approved offset protocol registry, and to publicly list these projects. In total, the current proposal would allow for up to 232 MTCO2e worth of offsets to be used through the year 2020. The amount of offsets that the agency allows for compliance purposes plays an important role in controlling the cost of compliance—the more that are available, the lower the cost.

BANKING OF ALLOWANCES

CARB's proposed regulations would also allow covered entities to "bank" allowances—without restriction—for use in later compliance periods. CARB believes that this approach will encourage industries to adopt early emission-reduction

strategies in order to avoid increased compliance costs as the emissions cap is reduced over time.

COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT

The proposed regulation includes three-year compliance periods with the first period commencing on January 1, 2012. Covered entities are subject to an annual compliance obligation and will surrender allowances/offsets equal to thirty percent of the previous year's emissions. At the end of each compliance period, covered entities will surrender the remaining allowances, which will be permanently retired by CARB.

The proposed regulations require covered entities to register and create an account with CARB or a designated account administrator. The California Cap-and-Trade Market Tracking System would track allowances and offsets as well as submittals and transactions. Under the proposed regulations, entities that do not surrender the appropriate number of allowances or offsets will be subject to CARB enforcement and penalties. The regulations define each day that each allowance or offset has not been surrendered as a separate violation.

Non-covered businesses and private individuals will be indirectly affected by increases in the cost of fossil-fuel energy as companies pass the cost of compliance to consumers. CARB expects the proposed regulations to create jobs in renewable energy sectors in California.

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

CARB has set a 45-day public comment period for the Proposed Regulations starting November 1, 2010 and ending December 15, 2010. CARB will hold a public meeting to consider the comments on December 16, 2010. Following the meeting, CARB will review and incorporate any changes and will make proposed changes available for public comment in the summer of 2011.

This is a critical time to comment on the framework for an effective and equitable cap-and-trade program. Morrison & Foerster has closely followed CARB's rulemaking process and will be preparing detailed comments on the Proposed Regulations. If you need assistance in preparing comments or further understanding how the Proposed Regulations will affect you, please contact any of the members of the firm's environmental or Cleantech practice.

Morrison & Foerster LLP is widely recognized as a leader among law firms on climate change and greenhouse gas emissions, and maintains a full-service environmental law practice.

Contact:

Peter Hsiao Partner (213) 892-5731 phsiao@mofo.com

Megan Jennings Associate (415) 268-7336 mjennings@mofo.com

William Sloan Partner (415) 268-7209 wsloan@mofo.com

Megan Low Associate (213) 892-5920 mlow@mofo.com Michael Steel Partner (415) 268-7350 msteel@mofo.com

Andrea Tozer Associate (213) 892-5690 atozer@mofo.com

About Morrison & Foerster:

We are Morrison & Foerster—a global firm of exceptional credentials in many areas. Our clients include some of the largest financial institutions, investment banks, Fortune 100, technology and life science companies. We've been included on The American Lawyer's A-List for seven straight years, and Fortune named us one of the "100 Best Companies to Work For." Our lawyers are committed to achieving innovative and business-minded results for our clients, while preserving the differences that make us stronger. This is MoFo. Visit us at www.mofo.com.

Because of the generality of this update, the information provided herein may not be applicable in all situations and should not be acted upon without specific legal advice based on particular situations.