manatt

January 3, 2008

Banking Law

NEWSLETTER OF THE BANKING AND SPECIALTY FINANCE PRACTICE GROUP OF MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP

Subprime Loan Modifications and Tax Implications

The federal government took a major step in implementing its program for alleviating the subprime mortgage crisis when the Internal Revenue Service, on December 26, 2007, issued Revenue Procedure 2007-72. In its pronouncement, the IRS has said that it will not seek to disqualify a REMIC (that is, a real estate mortgage investment conduit, under Internal Revenue Code Sec. 860A et. seq.) if a mortgage loan that is included in the REMIC is modified, under the conditions stated in this Revenue Procedure. Also, the IRS will not seek to categorize the loan modification as a "prohibited transaction" under the REMIC rules.

Most securitization transactions involving residential mortgage loans have been structured to take advantage of the REMIC tax rules, which have the effect of avoiding what would otherwise be an entity-level tax on the securitization trust, pool or similar securitization vehicle. The REMIC rules are guite restrictive as to the circumstances in which loans may be modified or removed from the REMIC, and impose heavy taxes upon transactions that are characterized as "prohibited transactions." Transaction documents for securitizations impose contractual obligations on the loan servicer to preserve the tax status of the REMIC, and to avoid "prohibited transactions." By defining certain loan modifications as being consistent with REMIC status, and as not constituting "prohibited transactions," the IRS has removed a major obstacle to implementation of the streamlined program of loan modification that the Bush administration proposed earlier in December (referred to as the "Framework").

To be protected under the Revenue Procedure, a modified mortgage loan must satisfy the following criteria:

- First lien
- Subprime (this term is not defined in the Revenue Procedure)
- Adjustable rate

NEWSLETTER EDITORS

KaterinaHertzogBohannonPartnerkbohannon@manatt.com650.812.1364

Harold P. Reichwald Partner hreichwald@manatt.com 310.312.4148

OUR PRACTICE

Manatt was founded with a special emphasis on advising banking and financial services clients. Today we are one of the leading banking law firms in the United States, representing numerous banks, holding companies. foreign banks. savings institutions, mortgage lenders, finance lenders, credit card issuers, acquirers and processors and industrial loan companies ... more

. <u>Practice Group Overview</u> . <u>Practice Group Members</u>

INFO & RESOURCES

- . <u>Subscribe</u>
- . <u>Unsubscribe</u>
- . Sarbanes-Oxley Act
- . Newsletter Disclaimer
- . Technical Support
- . <u>Manatt.com</u>

http://www.jdsupra.com/post/documentViewer.aspx?fid=4a97af53-f0dd-4a17-8b6b-6d4be6d30e0b

- Initial fixed period of 36 months or less
- Originated between 1/1/05 and 7/31/07
- Included in a securitized pool
- Initial interest rate reset between 1/1/08 and 7/31/10
- The modification is consistent with the Framework

For a modification to be consistent with the Framework, the following characteristics of a mortgage loan must exist as of the date of modification:

- Loan-to-value ratio of 97% or more
- Not more than 30 days delinquent
- Was not delinquent over 60 days more than one time in the last 12 months
- Not eligible for refinance under the FHA Secure program
- Owner occupied as primary residence
- FICO score below 660 and less than 10% higher than it was at time of origination
- Upcoming payment reset would increase payment by more than 10%
- Modification extends the initial interest rate for 5 years
- Borrower is able to pay based on this modification, but would be unable to pay in the absence of the modification (as determined by the servicer)

The tax relief afforded by Revenue Procedure 2007-72 is crucial to the implementation of the Framework. It is, however, just the first bit of tax relief, relating to the taxation of the securitization vehicle, and thereby indirectly of investors. This first step does not deal with the tax burden on homeowners that occurs when their debt burden is decreased, under the concept of "forgiveness of indebtedness income." That tax relief cannot be provided by the IRS, as it will require a statutory amendment by Congress. The administration has proposed such an amendment, so stay tuned for further developments.

Attorney Contacts Ellen R. Marshall, 714.371.2508

* * * *

<u>back to top</u> For additional information on this issue, contact:

Ellen R. Marshall Ms. Marshall is Co-Chair of the Firm's Banking and Financial Industry practice group and the Administrative Partner of the Orange County office. She specializes in business transactions, including capital markets, finance, mergers and acquisitions and securitization. She has practiced banking, corporate and finance law in Los Angeles and Orange County since 1975.

Banking and Specialty Finance Group Chairs

Ellen Marshall	Hal Reichwald
714.371.2508	310.312.4148

Banking and Specialty Finance Group Members

Keith Allen-Niesen	<u>Gordon Bava</u>	<u>Renee Becker</u>
310.312.4105	310.312.4205	310.312.4119
<u>T. Hale Boggs</u> 650.812.1358	<u>Katerina Hertzog</u> <u>Bohannon</u> 650.812.1364	<u>Claudia Callaway</u> 202.585.6504
Patrick Del Duca 310.312.4160	<u>Gene Elerding</u> 310.312.4158	<u>Robert Eller</u> 310.312.4338 Donald Fitzgerald
Neil Faden 212.830.7181	<u>Alan Feld</u> 310.312.4153	415.291.7420
<u>Helen Goff Foster</u>	<u>T.J. Grasmick</u>	<u>John Grosvenor</u>
202.585.6505	310.312.4369	310.312.4360
<u>Carl Grumer</u>	<u>Jordan Hamburger</u>	David Herbst
310.312.4149	310.312.4331	650.812.1320
<u>Paul H. Irving</u>	<u>George Kieffer</u>	<u>Sandra King</u>
310.312.4196	310.312.4146	310.312.4278
Michael Lehmann	Monte Lemann	<u>John Libby</u>
212.830.7258	310.312.4231	310.312.4342
Scott Lochner	Richard Maire, Jr.	<u>Charles T. Manatt</u>
310.312.4374	310.312.4168	202.585.6501
Laurence Marks	Jeffrey Mannisto	Thomas McMorrow
310.312.4154	310.312.4212	916.552.2310
Donald Meaders	<u>Craig Miller</u>	Matthew S. O'Loughlin
310.312.4345	415.291.7415	714.338.2710
Thomas Phelps	Adam Pines	Barbara Polsky
714.371.2520	310.312.4322	310.312.4139
William Quicksilver	<u>Jay Rand</u>	<u>John Ray</u>
310.312.4210	212.790.4508	202.585.6565
Brad Seiling	Robert Sherman	Peter Sherwood
310.312.4234	310.312.4177	212.830.7288
Sabrina Rose-Smith	Jennifer Sostrin	Barrie B. VanBrackle
202.585.6538	310.312.4218	202.585.6530

Document hosted at JDSUPRA®

	Christopher Wanger	<u>Chuck Washburn</u>	http://www.jdsupra.com/post/documentViewer.aspx?fid=4a97af53-f0dd-4a17-8b6 Jack Yeh	b-6d4be6d30e0b	
	650.812.1366	310.312.4372	310.312.4367		
	ATTORNEY ADVERTISING pursuant to New York DR 2-101(f) Albany Los Angeles New York Orange County Palo Alto Sacramento San Francisco Washington, D.C. © 2008 Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP. All rights reserved.				
_					