1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SCHIFF HARDIN LLP William J. Carroll (CSB #118106) wcarroll@schiffhardin.com Larry B. Garrett (CSB #225192) lgarrett@schiffhardin.com One Market, Spear Street Tower Thirty-Second Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 901-8700 Facsimile: (415) 901-8701 Attorneys for Defendants VICTORIA HARRISON, KAREN ALBER WILLIAM KASISKE, WADE MACADAM, TIMOTHY J. ZUNIGA	RTS,	
9	LINITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	LONG HAUL, INC., and EAST BAY	Case No. 3:09-cv-0168 JSW	
13	PRISONER SUPPORT,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
14	Plaintiff,	ORDER RE: DISMISSAL OF FIRST AMENDMENT CLAIMS AGAINST	
15	٧.	UNIVERSITY DEFENDANTS	
16	VICTORIA HARRISON; KAREN ALBERTS; WILLIAM KASISKE; WADE		
17	MACADAM; TIMOTHY J. ZUNIGA; et al.,		
18	Defendants.		
19			
20			
21	RECITALS		
22	A. Plaintiffs Long Haul, Inc. ("Long Haul") and East Bay Prisoner Support		
23	have brought the above-captioned action alleging various claims arising out of, inter alia		
24	the execution of a search warrant at the Long Haul premises on August 27, 2008.		
25	B. In Count I of Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint, Plaintiffs alleged a First		
26	Amendment violation against the University Defendants and the Federal Defendants.		
27	C. The Federal Defendants moved to dismiss this First Amendment claim		
 28	against them.		

- D. In its Order dated November 30, 2009, the Court granted the Federal Defendants' motion to dismiss the First Amendment claims, with leave to amend. The Court stated that Plaintiffs had failed to alleged that the purpose of Defendants' conduct was retaliatory or aimed to chill Plaintiffs' First Amendment activities. The Court provided Plaintiffs leave to amend to plead the requisite facts to show that Defendants acted with the impermissible motive of retaliating against Plaintiffs or curbing their First Amendment activities. (Order of November 30, 2009, Dkt. 69, at 11).
- E. Counsel for Plaintiffs and for the University Defendants have met and conferred regarding the Court's November 30, 2009 Order and the First Amendment claims asserted in the First Amended Complaint against the University Defendants. Plaintiffs' counsel has indicated that Plaintiffs do not intend to file a Second Amended Complaint.
- F. In the interests of judicial economy and to avoid further motion practice on this issue, counsel for Plaintiffs and the University Defendants have agreed to a stipulation whereby Plaintiffs will not object to the Court entering an order dismissing the First Amendment claims against the University Defendants, on the same grounds that it has previously ordered dismissal of that claim against the Federal Defendants.
- G. In agreeing to this stipulation, Plaintiffs expressly reserve any and all rights to appeal the Court's dismissal of their First Amendment claims against the University Defendants. Plaintiffs agree to this stipulation in order to move the litigation forward and in the interests of judicial economy, but do not intend for this stipulation to act as a waiver of any right to appeal this issue. The University Defendants agree and acknowledge that they shall not assert any such waiver arising out of this stipulation and order dismissing said First Amendment claims.

STIPULATION

The parties, through their attorneys of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

Plaintiffs and the University Defendants acknowledge and agree that the
 Court's rationale in dismissing the First Amendment claims alleged by the Federal

1	Defendants in the First Amended Complaint applies with equal force to the First	
2	Amendment claims alleged by the University Defendants.	
3	2. Plaintiffs do not object to an order dismissing the First Amendment claims	
4	asserted against the University Defendants in the First Amended Complaint on the same	
5	basis as the Court's November 30, 2009 Order dismissing the First Amendment claims	
6	against the Federal Defendants. Each party shall bear their own respective fees and	
7	costs.	
8	3. Plaintiffs expressly reserve their right to appeal the Court's dismissal of	
9	their First Amendment claims against the University Defendants. The University	
10	Defendants agree and acknowledge that they shall not assert any such waiver arising	
11	out of this stipulation and order dismissing said First Amendment claims.	
12		
13	Dated: December 17, 2009	
14	/s/ William J. Carroll /s/ Jennifer S. Granick	
15	SCHIFF HARDIN LLP ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION WILLIAM J. CARROLL (CSB #118106) JENNIFER S. GRANICK (CSB #168423)	
16	wcarroll@schiffhardin.com 454 Shotwell Street LARRY B. GARRETT (CSB #225192) San Francisco, CA 94110	
17	lgarrett@schiffhardin.com Telephone: (415) 436-9333 x134 One Market, Spear Street Tower Facsimile: (415) 436-9993	
18	Thirty-Second Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS	
19	Telephone: (415) 901-8700 Facsimile: (415) 901-8701	
20	COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS	
21	VICTORIA HARRISON, KAREN ALBERTS, WILLIAM KASISKE, WADE	
22	MACADAM, TIMOTHY J. ZUNIGA	
23		
24		
25		
26	GENERAL ORDER NO. 45(X) CERTIFICATION	
27	I attest that I have obtained the concurrence of Jennifer S. Granick in the filing of	
28		

Case3:09-cv-00168-JSW Document70 Filed12/22/09 Page4 of 4