

2019 SAN FRANCISCO INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE

Financial Professional Standards of Conduct

Sasha Burstein, Partner, San Francisco Ruth Delaney, Partner, Los Angeles Eden L. Rohrer, Partner, New York

AGENDA

- Background
- Regulation Best Interest
- Judicial Challenges to Regulation Best Interest
- Form CRS

1.051

16.273.100

16.04

- Interpretation of Investment Adviser's Fiduciary Duty
- Interpretation of the "Solely Incidental" Prong of the Broker-Dealer Exclusion

2,389

3 300



Background



BACKGROUND

- On June 5, 2019, the SEC formally adopted four measures for the protection of retail investors:
 - 1. Form CRS

1 0 5 1

16.273.100

16.04

- 2. Regulation Best Interest ("Regulation BI")
- 3. Interpretation of investment advisers' ("IAs") fiduciary duties
- 4. Interpretation of the "solely incidental" prong of the broker-dealer exclusion from definition of "investment adviser" under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940

2.389 3.300

BACKGROUND

2.913.100

16.273.100

1.051

16.04

CONTINUED

- Compliance Dates:
 - Regulation BI and Form CRS: June 30, 2020
 - Interpretations reflect restatements of existing SEC views, and were effective July 12, 2019 upon publication in the Federal Register



Regulation BI



REGULATION BI

General obligations

2.913.100

16,273,100

1.051

16.04

- Broker-dealers and their natural person associated persons (e.g., registered representatives), when recommending securities and investment strategies to a retail customer, must act in the "best interest" of the customer, and not place their own interest ahead
 - "Retail customer" = a natural person (or legal representative) who receives and uses a recommendation primarily for personal, family, or household purposes (note that this includes family office/family trust, etc.)
 - No exceptions based on wealth, experience, etc.
 - "Recommendation" = account recommendations, such as recommendations to roll over / transfer assets from one account to another, IRAs, retirement annuities, education accounts, etc.

REGULATION BI



General Obligation is met by satisfying four key obligations:

2,389 3 300

- 1. Disclosure
- 2. Care

2,913,100

16,273,100

- 3. Conflicts
- 4. Compliance

1.94

16.04

1.051



Type of Broker-Dealers – Does Regulation BI Apply?



TYPES OF BROKER-DEALERS – DOES REGULATION BI APPLY?

- Whether Regulation BI applies depends on:
 - Whether the Broker-Dealer makes a recommendation; and

1.051

16.273.100

16.04

- Whether the Recommendation is made to a Retail Customer?
- Let's talk about the roles that different types of broker-dealers play?

3 300

2,389



TYPES OF BROKER-DEALERS

Does Regulation BI Apply?

- 1. Retail Brokerage Business
 - Regulation BI applies to registered sales professionals who solicit orders, recommend securities/investment strategies with respect to retail customers.

2. Execution-Only Business

2.913.100

16.273.100

1 0 5 1

16.04

- Regulation BI doesn't apply to firms that:
 - Engage solely in an discount brokerage/execution-only business
 - Execute customer's request contrary to a previous recommendation

2,389 3.300

Form CRS obligations may apply



- 3. Alternative Trading Systems (ATS)
 - If institutional customers only, then Regulation BI will not apply.
 - If retail investors are permitted, whether Regulation BI applies will depend on how the ATS interacts with them.
- 4. Clearing Firm

2,913,100

16,273,100

1 0 5 1

16.04

- Regulation BI may apply to self-clearing firms if they make recommendations to retail customers.
- Regulation BI will not apply to clearing firms that perform purely back-office functions on a fully disclosed/omnibus basis.

2,389 3.300



5. Institutional Business

- Regulation BI does not apply to firms that engage in an institutional-only business.
- Important to confirm that entities typically considered "institutions" do not constitute "retail customers" under Regulation BI.

6. Wholesale Business

1 0 5 1

16.273.100

16.04

- Regulation BI does not apply firms that conduct wholesale business unless the firms make recommendations of securities/investment strategies to retail customers.
- 7. Fund Principal Underwriter
 - Regulation BI does not apply to broker-dealers who passively act as a fund's principal underwriters or distributors.



- 8. Placement Agent Business
 - Whether Regulation BI applies to a placement agent depends on the types of investors eligible to invest in an unregistered offering; and
 - The conduct of the BD in communicating with potential investors.
- 9. Dual Registrant

2.913.100

16,273,100

1.051

16.04

- Employees need to be aware of the capacity they are acting in.
- Regulation BI applies to dual registrants that make recommendations to retail customers through brokerage accounts.
- Regulation BI doesn't apply to dual registrants acting in advisory capacity.

2,389 3.300



10. Wrap Business

- Wrap account is presumably an investment strategy.
- Regulation BI may apply to broker-dealers who recommend a "wrap account" to retail customers.

11. Financial Planning Business

- Regulation BI applies to firms that provide financial planning services to retail investors.
- 12. Funding Portal

1 0 5 1

16.273.100

16.04

 Regulation BI does not apply to funding portals because they are not permitted to make recommendations.

2,389 3.300



13. Research Provider

2,913,100

16,273,100

1.051

16.04

- The SEC has taken the position that the delivery of research is a "solicitation."
- Institutional research to non-natural persons would not trigger Regulation BI.
- Research to natural persons for the purpose of executing securities transaction could be deemed a "recommendation."



Regulation BI Litigation



REGULATION BI LITIGATION

2.913.100

16,273,100

1 0 5 1

16.04

State of New York, State of California, State of Connecticut, State of Delaware, District of Columbia, State of Maine, State of New Mexico, State of Oregon v. United States Securities and Exchange Commission and Walter "Jay" Clayton III, in his official capacity as Chairman of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission,1:19-cv-08365, Sept. 9, 2019

- Eight Attorneys General of states and the District of Columbia ("DC") sued the SEC to block the implementation of Regulation BI.
- The States and DC claimed that Regulation BI does not comply with Section 913(g) of the Dodd-Frank Act.
- The States and DC asserted that the retirement savings of their constituents would decrease because of purportedly conflicted investment advice, which would, in turn, lower tax revenues.



REGULATION BI LITIGATION CONTINUED

XY Planning Network, LLC and Ford Financial Solutions, LLC v. United States Securities and Exchange Commission and Walter "Jay" Clayton III, in his official capacity as Chairman of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, 1:19-cv-08415, Sept. 10, 2019

- An investment adviser and an association of investment advisers also filed suit against the SEC claiming that Regulation BI gives brokers a competitive advantage over investment advisers and exceeds the agency's rulemaking authority.
- Plaintiffs in the state case and the investment adviser case sought appellate review supported by the SEC. The SDNY consolidated both cases and dismissed them pending review by the Second Circuit.

2,389 3,300

2,913,100

16.273.100

1 0 5 1

16.04



Form CRS



FORM CRS

1 0 5 1

16.273.100

16.04

- IAs and BDs will be required to provide relationship summaries on Form CRS to retail investors, and to post Form CRS on websites
 - Firms will have to file Form CRS with the SEC through Web CRD or IARD (both if dually registered)
 - Forms must be updated within 30 days of information becoming outdated or inaccurate. Must communicate changes to investors within 60 days
 - Forms will be in a uniform, machine-readable format in order to assist with comparisons

2.389 3.300

FORM CRS

1 0 5 1

16.273.100

16.04

CONTINUED

Forms must include descriptions of services, standards of conduct, summaries of conflicts, and discussions of fees

- Must list examples of the most common fees and costs applicable to retail investors (e.g., custody, account maintenance, fees related to mutual funds and variable annuities, and other transactional / product-level fees)
- Required for all BDs and IAs who provide services to retail investors, regardless of whether they provide recommendations

2.389 3.300



Interpretation of Investment Adviser's Fiduciary Duty



SEC FINAL INTERPRETATION

1 0 5 1

16.273.100

16.04

- On June 5, 2019, the SEC adopted a final interpretation (the "Interpretation") of the standard of conduct applicable to IAs
- Does not purport to modify existing IA standard of conduct

2,389

3 300



INVESTMENT ADVISER'S FIDUCIARY DUTY

- Principles-based
- Enforceable under the anti-fraud provisions of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940

3 300

- IA's fiduciary duty includes:
 - Duty of care

2.913.100

16.273.100

1 0 5 1

16.04

Duty of loyalty

NO WAIVER OF FIDUCIARY DUTY

- The Interpretation reaffirms the SEC's belief that an IA's fiduciary duty may not be waived
 - But may be shaped and altered by the underlying advisory agreement

3 300

2,389

- The Interpretation withdraws the Heitman noaction letter
- The Interpretation also discusses "hedge clauses"
 - Retail v. institutional clients

1 0 5 1

16.273.100

16.04

FIDUCIARY DUTY – DUTY OF CARE

- The Interpretation states that duty of care includes:
 - 1. The duty to provide advice that is in the client's best interest;
 - 2. The duty to seek best execution; and

1 0 5 1

16.273.100

16.04

3. The duty to provide advice and monitoring over the course of the relationship

2.389 3.300

PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS

- Thinking about the fiduciary duty based on the type of client
 - Duty of care
 - Retail
 - Institutional
 - Duty of loyalty
 - Retail

1.94

16.04

1.051

2.913.100

16,273,100

- Institutional
- Thinking about the fiduciary duty for dual registrants (both an IA and a BD)



PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS CONTINUED

- Other general considerations for IAs
 - Reviewing and updating existing policies and procedures
 - Adopting new policies and procedures

2.913.100

16.273.100

1 0 5 1

16.04

- Reviewing and updating Form ADV disclosures
- Reviewing and updating template investment advisory agreements



Interpretation of the "Solely Incidental" Prong of the Broker-Dealer Exclusion



SOLELY INCIDENTAL INTERPRETATION

1 0 5 1

16.273.100

16.04

- A BD whose advisory services are "solely incidental" to its BD business and that does not receive special compensation is not deemed to be an IA
 - Account discretion that is limited in time, scope, or other manner (time / price discretion, infrequent or isolated discretion, or discretion to purchase any bond with a specified credit rating and maturity) and not comprehensive and continuous would be "solely incidental"

SOLELY INCIDENTAL INTERPRETATION CONTINUED

1,051

16.273,100

16.04

 Account monitoring would not automatically fail the "solely incidental" prong, but agreements to monitor would result in a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold each time monitoring occurs and would be covered by Regulation BI.
Consider account monitoring policies and procedures that are tailored to registration status of personnel

3 300



Questions?

