1	JAMES J. JONES (SBN)		
2	1600 Alton Parkway, Irvine, California 92618		
3	Telephone: (XXX) XXX-XXXX Facsimile: (XXX) XXX-XXXX		
4	james.jones@joneslaw.net		
5	Attorney for Defendant ABC Company, Inc.		
6			
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	ROBERT SMITH AND DONNA SMITH,	Case No. CV	
11	Plaintiffs,		
12	V.	DEFENDANT ABC COMPANY, INC.'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE	
13		DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFF'S	
14	ABC COMPANY, INC. A Delaware Corporation,) COMPLAINT	
15			
16	Defendant.		
17		_)	
18	For its answer and affirmative defenses, defendant The ABC Company ("ABC Company") states as follows:		
19 20			
21	CENTED AT ATT DO ATTONO		
22	GENERAL ALLEGATIONS		
23	1. ABC Company admits that it designs, manufactures, and sells products in the		
24	United States. ABC Company states that it is an Delaware corporation with its principal place of		
25 26	business in Ohio. ABC Company denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 1.		
27	23. ABC Company is without knowledge or information sufficient to support a belief as		
28	to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraphs 2 and 3 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.		
29	ABC COMPANY/ANSWER TO COMPLAINT		
		1	

4. ABC Company does not contest jurisdiction in the Southern District of California.

ABC Company is without knowledge or information sufficient to support a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 4 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

- 5.-9. ABC Company is without knowledge or information sufficient to support a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraphs 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 10.-11. ABC Company denies the allegations directed to it contained in paragraphs 10 and 11 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 12.-13. ABC Company is without knowledge or information sufficient to support a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraphs 12 and 13 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 14.-15. ABC Company denies the allegations directed to it contained in paragraphs 14 and 15 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Failure to State a Claim

Plaintiffs' complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Incurred and Assumed Risk

Plaintiffs' claims are barred because Plaintiffs incurred or assumed the risks of which they complain in this action.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Setoff

To the extent Plaintiffs have been compensated for the alleged damages by receiving payment from other persons or entities the amount of any such compensation should be set off against any recovery plaintiffs may receive in this action.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Nondefective Product

The product which allegedly caused injuries or damage to Plaintiffs was reasonably fit for the uses for which it was intended.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Non-Party

The injuries or damages of which Plaintiffs complain were caused in whole or in part by nonparties whom plaintiffs have failed to join in this action.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Waiver and Estoppel

Plaintiffs have waived any and all claims which they seek to assert in this action and are estopped both to assert and to recover upon such claims.

ABC COMPANY/ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Failure to Mitigate Damages

Plaintiffs have failed, in whole or in part, to mitigate their alleged damages.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Statute of Limitations and Repose

Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the applicable statutes of limitations and/or repose.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

State of the Art

The product at issue was in compliance with all federal, state and local codes, standards, regulations, specifications and statutes regarding the manufacture, sale and use of the product at all times pertinent to this action.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Product Misuse

Plaintiffs are not entitled to recover to the extent any alleged damages or injuries were caused by the misuse, abuse, or failure to properly maintain or care for the product.

ABC COMPANY/ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Contributory or Comparative Negligence

ABC Company denies that it was negligent in any way in connection with the design, manufacture or sale of its products but as to any and all acts of negligence alleged in the Complaint, ABC Company affirmatively alleges that plaintiffs' contributory negligence, incurred risk, comparative fault and other fault caused the damages sought in this action, and they are more than 50% at fault in causing such damages and therefore plaintiffs cannot recover in this action or the damages should be diminished in proportion to the amount of fault attributable to them.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Constitutionality of Punitive Damages

Plaintiffs' request for punitive damages cannot be sustained because an award of punitive damages under state law by a jury that (l) is not provided constitutionally adequate standards of sufficient clarity for determining the appropriate imposition of, and the appropriate size of, a punitive damages awarded, (2) is not adequately instructed on the limits of punitive damages imposed by the applicable principles of deterrence and punishment, (3) is not expressly prohibited from awarding punitive damages, or determining the amount of an award of punitive damages, in whole or in part on the basis of invidiously discriminatory characteristics, including without limitation the residence, wealth, and corporate status of ABC Company, (4) is permitted to award punitive damages under a standard for determining liability for punitive damages that is

27

28

29

vague and arbitrary and does not define with sufficient clarity the conduct or mental state that makes punitive damages permissible, (5) is not properly instructed regarding Plaintiffs' burden of proof with respect to each and every element of a claim for punitive damages, or (6) is not subject to trial court and appellate judicial review for reasonableness and furtherance of legitimate purposes on the basis of constitutionally adequate and objective standards violates ABC Company's due process and equal protection rights guaranteed by the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and by the applicable state constitutions, and would be improper under the common law and public policies of the states. Plaintiffs' request for punitive damages also cannot be sustained because the applicable state laws regarding the standards for determining liability for and the amount of punitive damages fail to give ABC Company prior notice of the conduct for which punitive damages may be imposed and the severity of the penalty that may be imposed and are void for vagueness in violation of ABC Company's due process rights guaranteed by the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and applicable state constitutions. Further, plaintiffs' request for punitive damages against ABC Company cannot be sustained, because an award of punitive damages exceeding the limits authorized by the criminal laws or other comparable laws of the applicable state would violate ABC Company's due process and equal protection rights guaranteed by the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the applicable state constitutions, and would be improper under the common law and public policies of applicable state law. Plaintiffs' request for punitive damages against ABC Company also cannot be sustained because any award of punitive damages under applicable state law, which would be penal in nature, without affording ABC Company the same protections that are afforded to all ABC COMPANY/ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

Act.

criminal defendants, including the protection against unreasonable searches and seizures, self-incrimination, and the right to confront adverse witnesses, a speedy trial, and the effective assistance of counsel, would violate ABC Company's rights guaranteed under the Fourth, Fifth, and Sixth Amendments as incorporated into the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, and applicable state constitutions, and would be improper under the common law and public policies of applicable state law. ABC Company further states that plaintiff's Complaint fails to state sufficient facts to support the prayer for punitive damages against ABC Company.

THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Barred Claims

Plaintiffs' claims under a negligence theory are barred by _____ Product Liability

FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Misuse by Others

Plaintiffs' claims may be barred because the physical harm alleged by plaintiffs in this action resulted from the misuse of the tire at issue by some person not reasonably expected by ABC Company at the time the tire at issue in this action was sold or otherwise conveyed to another party

FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Intervening Acts

The damages complained of may have been the result of the intervening actions of others and were not proximately caused by the actions or omissions of ABC Company.

SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Incurred Risk by Others

Plaintiffs' claims may be barred because third parties knew of the defects alleged in the Complaint and were aware of the danger and nevertheless proceeded unreasonably to make use of such product.

SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Modification or Alteration

Plaintiffs' claims may be barred because the physical harm complained of was caused by

modification or alteration of the product at issue made by a person after the delivery to the initial user or consumer which modification or alteration was the proximate cause of the physical harm complained of by plaintiffs and such modification or alteration was not reasonably expectable by ABC Company.

EIGHTEETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Joint Liability Abolished

The doctrine of joint and several liability has been statutorily abolished in California in a case such as this, and, should plaintiffs prevail against ABC Company, ABC Company's liability is several and is limited to its own actionable segment of fault, if any.

NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Contribution

Any physical harm alleged can be attributed to several causes and the damages for this harm, if any, should be apportioned among the various causes according to the contribution of each cause to the harm sustained.

TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Notice of Additional Affirmative Defenses

ABC Company hereby gives notice that it intends to rely upon such other affirmative defenses as may become available or apparent during the course of discovery and thus reserves the right to amend its Answer to assert such defenses.

WHEREFORE, ABC Company demands judgment dismissing the Complaint with costs, attorney's fees to the extent recoverable, disbursements and such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

1		
2	DATED: , 20XX Respectfully submitted,	
3		
4		
5	JAMES J. JONES (SBN) 1600 Alton Parkway,	
6 7	Irvine, California 92618 (XXX) XXX-XXXX	
8	Counsel for Defendant ABC Company, Inc.	
9		
10		
11	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>	
12	The undersigned counsel hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing has been served	
13	upon the following counsel of record by depositing a copy of the same in the United States mail, first class postage prepaid thisth day of, 20XX and addressed as follows: William W. Williams	
14		
15	Steven S. Stevens	
16	Williams & Stevens P.O. Box 1234 5678 North South Street	
17		
18	Los Angeles, CA 90003	
19		
20		
21	James J. Jones	
22		
23		
24 25		
26		
27		
28		
29	ABC COMPANY/ANSWER TO COMPLAINT	
-	ABC COMPANY/ANSWER TO COMPLAINT	