HHD-CV-08-6002967-S

SUPERIOR COURT

RENAY EMMANUELE, ET AL

J.D. OF HARTFORD

VS.

AT HARTFORD

LAWFORD HOWELL, ET AL

MARCH 25, 2011

## Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law in Support of the Admission of the OmniTRACS Messages and Positioning Data into Evidence

The OmniTRACS messages and positioning data are direct evidence of Lawford Howell's activities in the hours leading up to this crash. The evidence is relevant and material to the issues concerning the allegations that Howell's carelessness and/or recklessness were causes of the crash. In addition, the OmniTRACS evidence is relevant and material to Howell's credibility as to matters directly at issue in the case. The evidence demonstrates that Howell lied about non-collateral matters including the claims made in his driver's logs, which are required to be truthful and accurate under penalties of prosecution, his statements to police in the course of their accident investigation (and in sworn written statements to the police), and under oath at his depositions.

#### I. Factual and Procedural Background

OmniTRACS Mobile Information Management System is a two-way satellite communications mobile messaging and position location service. Sold by Qualcomm, Inc., OmniTRACS consists of computer hardware installed on a tractor truck that communicates with two satellites orbiting the earth. The combined use of the onboard computer equipment and the satellites allows a driver and a dispatcher to communicate with one another electronically while a driver is on the road.

Phone 860.945.6600 • Juris # 401986

OmniTRACS also automatically records and provides information to the trucking company's dispatchers regarding the location of trucks and the loads. OmniTRACS automatically determines the location of the trucks through a proprietary pre-GPS system that it is highly accurate and reliable to within ½ a mile.

Here, the defendant trucking company, ATS, Inc., subscribed to the OmniTRACS messaging and positioning service as of January 2008 (the crash occurred on January 8, 2008), and the defendant Lawford Howell's tractor truck was equipped with an OmniTRACS unit at the time of, and leading up to the crash.

During the course of this litigation the ATS, Inc. has produced print-outs of OmniTRACS messages ("OmniTRACS Messages") and OmniTRACS Positioning Reports ("OmniTRACS Positioning Reports") concerning the tractor truck that Lawford Howell was driving on the day of this crash. Specifically, in February 2009 the Defendants' served their Objections and Responses to Plaintiffs' Requests for Production (Ex. A hereto) in which they identified the Qualcomm Messages as records "generated through the use of the QUALCOMM OMNITRAX system, if applicable, for the 1999 Freightliner Tractor Truck bearing VIN # 1FUYSSEB6XL964932 for the seven days prior to and including the date of the accident, January 8, 2008." No objection was interposed, and the trucking company produced voluminous OmniTRACS Messages for the requested timeframe. It subsequently produced the OmniTRACS Positioning Reports by way of supplemental compliance with the same discovery request.

From that set larger set of 80+ pages of messages and reports produced, Plaintiffs have selected for use at trial—(1) several messages sent between Lawford Howell and ATS, Inc. dispatchers on January 7<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup> (many of which also include OmniTRACS

positioning data—Howell's truck's latitude and longitude at the date and time indicated, and the mileage from the nearest city or landmark), and (2) the Positioning Reports for those two days. *See* OmniTRACS Messages, **Ex B**, and OmniTRACS Positioning Reports, **Ex. C**).

The Defendants had sought to preclude this OmniTRACS evidence by way of motion in limine a few weeks ago (dckt. #291). This Court denied the motion (see dckt. sheet ruling at #291), adding that the Court would "not be uncomfortable" allowing the Defendants' assertions about the reliability of the information to go to the <u>weight</u> to be given the evidence, not its <u>admissibility</u>.

In seeking to preclude this evidence, the Defendants erroneously claimed that the OmniTRACS messages and positioning reports / data "are hearsay, there is no foundation and any potentially relevant information has not been shown to be accurate and reliable." *Id.*, p. 1. (Accordingly, the testimony of ATS, Inc. by corporate representative Steven Psyck is material to an issue in the case—the reliability of the OmniTRACS evidence. Plaintiffs respectfully move for reconsideration of the Court's ruling on the excerpts from Psyck's/ATS' testimony concerning the many different things ATS relies on OmniTRACS for).

Initially (and in response to the suggestion that the OmniTRACS evidence is merely "potentially relevant"), the Court should note that there is an *abundance* of information in the OmniTRACS messages and positioning data that is highly relevant to at least two critical issues—how far / long Howell had driven prior to the crash, and his credibility.

As has been discussed at length during the course of the trial, Howell's activites and whereabouts in the hours leading up to the crash are material to the negligence and recklessness allegations.

The OmniTRACS evidence also demonstrates that Howell has repeatedly lied under oath (and to the police) about his whereabouts and activities in the hours leading up to the crash. Whether Howell can be believed is centrally at issue here since he is the only person who states that he was turning left on the green arrow when the crash occurred, and because he continues to deny being on the cell phone at the time of the crash even in the face of evidence that clearly shows he was.

Accordingly, the Defendants' purported foundational concerns are absurd. The OmniTRACS records are probative of and material to the two critical liability issues that the jury must determine—Howell's whereabouts and activities in the hours leading up to the crash, and his credibility.

The authenticity and reliability of the OmniTRACS Messages and Positioning Reports is also unassailable. As noted, in response to Plaintiffs' discovery request for Howell's truck's OmniTRACS records, the Defendants identified and produced, without objection, the OmniTRACS evidence at issue here. Thus, by the Defendants' own admission (corroborated by the sworn testimony of its corporate representative) the OmiTRACS Messages and OmniTRACS Positioning Reports are true and accurate copies of printouts of messages and data compiled by the OmniTRACS system concerning Lawford Howell's activities and whereabouts.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See also Defendants' Response to Plaintiffs' Request for Admissions (see dckt. #327) in which they admitted "that the 'print-outs' of the OmniTRACS messages ... were exact copies as they were true and accurate 'print-outs' of what appeared on the computer screens and that they were printed out for preservation purposes"

Defendants' vague and unsubstantiated criticisms of the reliability and accuracy of the OmniTRACS evidence have been answered by the uncontroverted testimony of both ATS's and Qualcomm's corporate representatives.

ATS, Inc.'s Most Knowledgeable Corporate Representative's Testimony Regarding the Accuracy and Reliability of the OmniTRACS Evidence

Steven Psyck was the corporate representative designated as "the person at ATS, Inc. most knowledgeable about the OmniTRACS messaging records marked as Exhibit 4 at Lawford Howell's deposition, and the OmniTRACS system that was in existence and being used as a means of tracking/monitoring/messaging for the truck/tractor/trailer driven by Lawford Howell on January 7, 2008 and January 8, 2008." See Transcript of Deposition of Steven Psyck, Ex. D at 4:14 – 5:3. Mr. Psyck, a maintenance manager, testified that in fact he *is* the most knowledgeable person at ATS about those subjects. Thus, speaking on behalf of ATS, Inc., he testified that:

- OmniTRACS is a two-way communication system;
- ATS, Inc. has been using the OmniTRACS System since the early 1990s
- Mr. Howells's tractor was equipped with an OmniTRACS mobile communication device at the time of this crash;
- the OmniTRACS Messages produced by ATS, Inc. in this litigation are created in the ordinary course of ATS, Inc.'s business (referring to Ex. 2 to Psyck's deposition which is **Ex.B** hereto)
- in the normal course of ATS, Inc.'s business, ATS, Inc. uses the OmniTRACS system to determine approximate vehicle locations;
- in its normal course of its business ATS, Inc. uses the OmniTRACS system to communicate with its drivers;
- ATS, Inc. uses the OmniTRACS System in the course of investigating accidents;

 ATS, Inc. makes business decisions that rely on the data compiled by the OmniTRACS System<sup>2</sup>

See Psyck Depo., Ex. D at 10:2-5, 10:15-25; 6:11-14; 6:19-25 and 10:15-25; 17:13-16; 11:13-16; 11:17-20; 13:17-19.

# ATS Inc.'s Dispatcher's Testimony Regarding the Accuracy and Reliability of the OmniTRACS Evidence

One of ATS, Inc.'s dispatchers, Nora Novotny, a "user" in some of the OmniTRACS Messages at issue was also deposed by the Plaintiffs. She was the dispatcher sending and receiving some of the messages. Ms. Novotny testified that the job of dispatcher for ATS requires that she sit at a desk in front of a computer that runs the OmniTRACS software and communicate with the drivers answering any questions they have and assigning load pick-ups. Through the use of OmniTRACS the ATS dispatchers had all of the information contained in these documents available to them in real-time. They would utilize the information in the regular course of their dispatching duties. Ms. Novotny for instance said it was the only program they were using for the location of trucks in dispatching over 500 trucks on any given shift, the majority of which were equipped with the OmniTRACS system. Ms. Novotny also said that if and when she had any concerns about the accuracy of the OmniTRACS positioning data for a truck she would notify a supervisor by e-mail and it would usually be rectified within hours.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Psyck, an ATS, Inc. \maintenance manager, also testified that the only thing he did to prepare for his deposition as ATS, Inc.'s corporate designee regarding the tractor's OmniTRACS data was review the OmniTRACS Messages and Positioning Reports sent to him by Plaintiffs' counsel (Psyck Depo., Ex. D at 5:5-8), that he was "uncertain" whether ATS was aware of any functional problems with the OmniTRACS unit in Mr. Howell's tractor prior to the time of this crash and that he did not undertake that inquiry in response to being designated as the company representative (*id.* at 8:1-13), Ex. D.

Ms Novotny also explained that much of the information in the messages is automatically generated by the computer, and that ATS, Inc.'s drivers were required to send certain messages upon certain events (i.e. "arrival," "depart from final destination").

OmniTRACs is a vital work tool for ATS dispatch without which the job of keeping track of and efficiently managing approximately 1500 trucks would have been messy.

Qualcomm, Inc.'s Most Knowledgeable Corporate Representative's Testimony Regarding the Accuracy and Reliability of the OmniTRACS Evidence

Plaintiffs also took the deposition of Michael Hein, the "the person at Qualcomm, Inc. most knowledgeable about the OmniTRACS positioning and messaging system / service provided to ATS, Inc. ... to track / monitor its fleet and communicate with its drivers in January 2008 including ... the authenticity, accuracy, and reliability (particularly the date and times, and global / location positioning data) of these records."

Mr. Hein, testified that he is in fact the most knowledgeable person at Qualcomm about those subjects, and that:

- the OmniTRACS service Qualcomm provides to ATS, Inc. is both a mobile messaging and position location service (and that that was true in January 2008);
- as messages are sent via OmniTRACS, as well as on an incremental basis every hour if no messages are sent, the system sends a position report back from the truck showing where it is located;
- the position or location of the truck is calculated using a Qualcomm method that uses a main satellite, a ranger satellite, and fixed units around the country enabling the Qualcomm system to calculate / triangulate the location of the truck;
- the OmniTRACS software purchased by ATS, Inc. has the capability of printing out positioning reports—showing date/time, latitude/longitude, and nearest landmark, etc.—for January 2008 for a particular tractor;

- the hardware and software that comprises the OmniTRACS service being used by ATS, Inc. / in Lawford Howell's tractor trailer as of January 2008 are to both message its drivers as well as obtain a position history regarding the location of the tractor trucks;
- the OmniTRACS positioning service accurately locates the truck within 300 meters (984 feet or .18 miles) 90% of the time, and within 360 meters (1,181 feet or .22 miles) 95% of the time (and that this was true in January 2008 as well);
- Qualcomm was not aware of any system-wide problems or malfunctions with the OmniTRACS positioning system in January 2008; and
- Qualcomm has no reason to believe that the positioning service provided to ATS, Inc. in January 2008 was not as reliably accurate as the statistics stated above (90% - 360 meters / 95% - 300 meters);

See Hein Depo, **Ex E**. at 13:10 – 14:3, 15:5-16, 19:5-11; 32:10-16; 46:25 – 47:8; 48:16-18; 48:21 – 49:2; 49:4-10; 67:6-24; 72:14 – 73:2.<sup>3</sup> Plaintiffs' trucking safety expert, David Stopper, is also expected to testify that, based on his familiarity with the OmniTRACS system and these particular documents, that the OmniTRACS evidence here is reliable and accurate.

ATS, Inc. Touts Its Use of State of the Art Technology Such As OmniTRACS
As Enabling the Company's Dependability

ATS, Inc., in its own words,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In American Oil Co. v. Valenti, 179 Conn. 349, 350-55 (1979), the defendant, the principal debtor on a promissory note and contract of guarantee, contested the admissibility of computer printouts summarizing the state of his accounts. In admitting the printouts, the trial court relied on the testimony of the plaintiff's sales manager, who supervised the defendant's account and whose knowledge of computer processing was derived from his monthly receipt of computer printouts and from working with other employees directly responsible for credit and computer procedures. Id. 357. The sales manager did not participate personally in preparing the statements or use a computer himself. Id. The Supreme Court concluded that the plaintiff had provided an adequate foundation for admitting the print-outs, reasoning that a person without detailed knowledge of computers who uses computer records and has only an indirect role in their production is competent to testify that the records were made in the ordinary course of business, and that the witness' personal knowledge regarding production of the documents is a question that goes to the weight of the evidence only. Id., 357-58. The witness must be a person who is familiar with computerized records not only as a user but also as someone with some working acquaintance with the methods by which such records are made. Id., 360-61.

is one of the largest transportation companies in the US ... we focus on customers, reward drivers and provide unparalleled customer care with state of the art technology and modern trucks and trailers

<a href="http://www.atsinc.com/about/index.jsp">http://www.atsinc.com/about/index.jsp</a> (Ex. F) (also stating that ATS "assures safe ontime delivery (otherwise known as experience and dependability)") (all emphasis added).
To assure such dependability, ATS subscribes to OmniTRACS. This is how ATS describes its use of the positioning technology contained in the proffered evidence here:

For over 12 years, QUALCOMM has been on-board supporting its customers with innovative tools to improve operational efficiency and increase profitability. In fact, we've literally been "on-board", traveling the millions and millions of miles driven by over 335,000 OmniTRACS-equipped vehicles worldwide.

From the introduction of the OmniTRACS satellite-based communication and positioning system in the late 1980s to today's sophisticated fleet management solutions, QUALCOMM has been a reliable partner every mile of the way.

The [McLeod] LoadMasterTM Dispatch System allows our dispatchers to effectively do their primary task, moving tractors and freight, not paperwork. This gives each dispatcher more time to sell freight and plan ahead. The LoadMasterTM Dispatch System provides the most current loads and equipment location at a glance, which allows efficient planning and routing. The system is designed for speed; usual dispatch operations require a minimum of keystrokes, important to a dispatcher on the phone.

McLeod's LoadMaster supports our efforts to provide prompt, convenient,

and friendly service to you, as well as efficient and effective dispatch for us.

http://www.atsinc.com/about/technology.jsp (Ex. G) (emphasis added).

Thus, ATS's contrary litigation posture—that the OmniTRACS evidence in this case is unreliable—is specious at best.

## The Accuracy and Reliability of the OmniTRACS Data Is Also Corroborated by Other Evidence

The OmniTRACS positioning data is also corroborated by other evidence. For example, the OmniTRACS Positioning Reports show that, at 12:29 p.m. EST on January 7<sup>th</sup>, Howell's truck was "4.4 [miles] N of Cheshire, CT; 7.2 [miles] E of Waterbury, CT."

A T-chek fuel receipt shows that Howell was at the Southington Travel Center truck stop in Milldale, Connecticut (I-84 exit 28) at 12:46 p.m. on the 7<sup>th</sup>. That truck stop is between 4 and 6 miles north of Cheshire and between 7 and 8 miles east of Waterbury.

Likewise, the OmniTRACS positioning data shows that Howell was 31.3 miles southwest of that truck stop, in Monroe, Connecticut (41 20 28 N 73 14 41 W as indicated in OmniTRACS msg #870778 (an "Arrival Message"), at 2:02 p.m. that day. We know the date / time and location are accurate through the bill of lading from Really Good Stuff, a company located at 448 Pepper Street in Monroe (Howell was picking up a load there), the testimony of Ed Cassiano (that company's shipping and receiving supervisor), and the testimony of Lawford Howell—which collectively indicate that Howell went to Really Good Stuff at approximately 3:00 p.m. and left there at 4:45 p.m. Demonstrating the accuracy of the OmniTRACS positioning system, the latitude and longitude indicated on OmniTRACS msg #870778 is 3/10ths of a mile (less than a minute) from the known address of Really Good Stuff.

By the same token, we *know* that Howell's tractor-trailer was involved in this crash in Bloomfield at 8:20 p.m. on January 8, 2008 and that it remained in that vicinity for a significant amount of time thereafter. The OmniTRACS positioning data show precisely that. (There are numerous other examples of extrinsic evidence corroborating the OmniTRACS data, which will be the subject of Plaintiffs' experts' testimony).

# II. The OmniTRACS Positioning and Date / Time Data and the OmniTRACS Messages Are Admissible.

In analyzing the admissibility of the OmniTRACS evidence with respect to the hearsay objection, it is necessary to separate the positioning data and the dates/times—non-hearsay automatically generated by computers and satellites without any human

input—from the text messages, which result from human inputs, all of which are business records and parts of which are statements by a party opponent (ATS in some instances, Howell in others).

A. The OmniTRACS Positioning and Date / Time Information Is Non-Hearsay Computer-Generated Data. There Is No Statement In This Data, Nor is there a Declarant; the Data is the Result of a Satellite-Aided Computer Process.

The OmniTRACS positioning and date / time information is non-hearsay, computer-generated data. This data does not constitute statements (there is no declarant as that term is defined in 8-1 of the Code). They are the result of a *process*.<sup>4</sup>

Courts across the country have repeatedly held that computer-generated data (as opposed to computer-*stored* data) is not hearsay because it does not involve "statements" or "declarants." *See United States v. Washington*, 498 F.3d 225, 230-31 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2007) (printed result of computer-based test was not the statement of a person and thus would not be excluded as hearsay); *United States v. Khorozian*, 333 F.3d 498, 506 (3d. Cir. 2003) ("nothing 'said' by a machine . . . is hearsay") (quoting 4 Mueller & Kirkpatrick, Federal Evidence § 380, at 65 (2d ed. 1994)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Furthermore, discovery has revealed that the process here did not deviate from its design—the particular OmniTRACS module (IMCT) was not reported as having any issues during the relevant time period. And, if ATS dispatchers had noticed a system-wide problem with the OmniTRACs software they would typically put those concerns in an e-mail and send it to the IT dept there is no evidence of any such report of a problem that has been provided by the defendant despite requests by the Plaintiffs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> In *United States v. Washington* lab technicians ran a blood sample taken from the defendant through a gas chromotograph connected to a computer. The test results, signed by the lab director, indicated that the defendant had been driving under the influence of both alcohol and PCP. The Fourth Circuit rejected a hearsay objection to this evidence, noting that the computergenerated test result was "data generated by" a machine and observed that hearsay must be a "statement" made by a "declarant." *Id.* at 231. Further, "[o]nly a *person* may be a declarant and make a statement." *Id.* 

In *United States v. Hamilton*, 413 F.3d 1138, 1142-43 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2005), for instance, the Tenth Circuit held and concluded that computer-generated "header" information (including the screen name, subject of the posting, the date the images were posted, and the individuals' IP address) was not hearsay (agreeing with the trial court):

Of primary importance to this ruling is the uncontroverted fact that the header information was automatically generated by the computer hosting the newsgroup each time [the defendant] uploaded a pornographic image to the newsgroup. In other words, the header information was generated instantaneously by the computer without the assistance or input of a person. As concluded by the district court, this uncontroverted fact places the header information outside of Rule 801(c)'s definition of 'hearsay.' In particular, there was neither a 'statement' nor a 'declarant' involved here within the meaning of Rule 801.

This Court should likewise recognize that the OmniTRACS positioning data and date/time information on the messages and positioning reports are automatically generated by computer process without the assistance of a human. They are the automated determination and expression of data points created by a process that does not involve a human assertion (similar to telephone toll records, cell tower information, e-mail header information, electronic banking records, Global Positioning System (GPS) data).

Hearsay rules apply to statements made by people. The computer-generated OmniTRACS positioning and date / time information do not contain statements of people and therefore do not implicate the hearsay rules. Accordingly, the Defendants' hearsay objections to the OmniTRACS positioning and date/time data are misplaced and should be overruled.

III. The OmniTRACS Messages Are Admissible As Both Business Records Within the Exception to the Hearsay Rule and Statements by a Party Opponent.

A. The OmniTRACS Messages Are Admissible As Business Records Pursuant to Conn. Gen. Stat. § 52-180 and Connecticut Code of Evidence § 8-4.

Business records are routinely admitted under the business records exception for the truth of the business information reported therein because of the documents trustworthiness. The use of the records is not limited to establish the fact of the transaction for which the documents were created. Rather, the records may be used to establish the truth of their contents. *Margolin v. Kleban & Samor, P.C.*, 275 Conn. 765, 781-82 (2005).

ATS's designee "most knowledgeable" about the OmniTRACS evidence as well as its dispatcher, Ms. Novotny and the Qualcomm Corporate representative made clear that the OmniTRACS messages satisfy the business records statute—the OmniTRACS messages were created in the regular course of ATS's business, it was the regular course of the business to make the record at the time of the act, transaction, occurrence or event or within a reasonable time thereafter.<sup>6</sup>

Section 8-4 of the Connecticut Code of Evidence contains virtually identical language.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The business records statute, General Statutes § 52-180 provides in relevant part:

<sup>(</sup>a) Any writing or record, whether in the form of an entry in a book or otherwise, made as a memorandum or record of any act, transaction, occurrence or event, shall be admissible as evidence of the act, transaction, occurrence or event, if the trial judge finds that it was made in the regular course of any business, and that it was the regular course of the business to make the writing or record at the time of the act, transaction, occurrence or event or within a reasonable time thereafter.

<sup>(</sup>b) The writing or record shall not be rendered inadmissible by (1) a party's failure to produce as witnesses the person or persons who made the writing or record, or who have personal knowledge of the act, transaction, occurrence or event recorded or (2) the party's failure to show that such persons are unavailable as witnesses. Either of such facts and all other circumstances of the making of the writing or record, including lack of personal knowledge by the entrant or maker, may be shown to affect the weight of the evidence, but not to affect its admissibility. . . .

Computer-stored records are admissible business records if they "are kept in the course of regularly conducted business activity, and [it] was the regular practice of the business activity to make records, as shown by the testimony of the custodian or other qualified witness." *United States v. Briscoe*, 896 F.2d 1476, 1494 (7th Cir. 1990). "Routinely prepared records . . . are well recognized exceptions to the hearsay rule, because their regular use in the business of the company insures a high degree of accuracy. Proof of day-to-day business reliance upon computerized records should therefore make less onerous the burden of laying a proper foundation for their admission." *State v. Swinton*, 268 Conn. 781, 807 (2004). In applying the business records exception, the statute should be liberally interpreted because it recognizes the inherent trustworthiness of documents created for business rather than litigation purposes. *Hartford Div., Emhart Indus., Inc. v. Amalgamated Local union* 376, U.A.W., 190 Conn. 371, 388-89 (1983).

The OmniTRACS Messages were made in the usual course of the trucking company's business; it was the regular course of this trucking business to make these records; and they were made at the time of the acts described in these records or within a reasonable time thereafter. *See* deposition testimony of ATS and Qualcomm representatives cited above and Conn. Gen. Stat. § 51-180. They should therefore be admitted.

# B. The OmniTRACS Messages Are Admissible As Statements By a Party Opponent Pursuant to Connecticut Code of Evidence § 8-3(1).

It is an "elementary rule of evidence that an admission of a party opponent may be entered into evidence as an exception to the hearsay rule." *Fico v. Liquor Control Comm'n*, 168 Conn. 74, 77 (1975). Code § 8-3(1) sets outs the circumstances under

which a statement offered against a party opponent is admissible including the party's own statement in either an individual or a representative capacity. According to the rule, any relevant out-of-court statement by a party declarant may be admitted against him (or it, in the case of a corporation) by the opponent. The statement need not be a specific admission of fault or wrongdoing, nor must it have been against the interest of the party when made. The statement must be inconsistent with the position taken at trial by the party against whom the statement is offered. *Johnson v. Rockaway Bus Corp.*, 145 Conn. 204, 209 (1958).

Here, Howell and ATS, Inc. both deny that Howell caused the crash by failing to keep a proper lookout and act reasonably and prudently under the circumstances. *See*Defendants Answer to Plaintiffs' Fourth Amended Trial Complaint dated February 11,

2001 (dckt. #323). Throughout discovery they have also continued to deny that Howell's carelessness resulted from having driven more than 19 hours (and been on duty for over 34 hours) in the 48-hour period preceding the crash, as well that Howell's driver's logs for January 7<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup> are inaccurate. *See* Responses to Requests for Admissions dated March 2, 2011 (dckt. ## 327 and 328). The OmniTRACS messages show all of these denials to be baseless, and should therefore be admitted as statements by a party opponent.

## IV. The OmniTRACS Evidence Is Also Admissible As Expert Reliance Material.

The OmniTRACS evidence is admissible on the separate and independent basis that it was relied upon in the formation of expert opinion here. *See i.e.* Plaintiffs' Disclosures of Experts David Stopper, Steven Batterman, Ph.D., and Thomas Dingus,

Ph.D and Connecticut Code of Evidence § 7-4 (b) ("bases of opinion testimony by experts").

Experts may base their opinions on otherwise inadmissible hearsay provided (1) the sources are fairly reliable; (2) they are of the type relied on by experts in that field; and (3) the witness has sufficient experience to evaluate the information. *George v. Ericson*, 250 Conn. 312, 325 (1999).

The OmniTRACS evidence is highly reliable, the type of evidence relied on by experts in the fields of trucking safety and accident investigation / reconstruction, and Mr. Stopper has extensive knowledge and experience with which to evaluate this information.

#### V. Conclusion

All of the Defendants' desperate claims about the OmniTRACS Messages and Positioning Reports being unreliable or inaccurate in some respect goes to their evidentiary weight, not their admissibility. The records should be admitted.

THE PLAINTIFFS,

Michael A D'Amico Brendan Faulkner Their Attorneys

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The general rule is that a witness who has sufficient expertise to co-ordinate and evaluate information derived from trustworthy sources may be permitted to state his conclusions even though the sources of his knowledge would in and of themselves be inadmissible as hearsay. *Dressel v, Gregory*, 114 Conn. 718, 720 (1931); *Vigliotti v. Campano*, 104 Conn. 464, 466 (1926).

## **ORDER**

The foregoing having been heard by this Court it is hereby ordered:

GRANTED/DENIED

BY THE COURT

Judge Aurigemma

## **CERTIFICATION**

This will certify that a copy of the foregoing was hand delivered this \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ day of March, 2011 to:

Gary Stewart, Esq. Rawle & Henderson, LLP Payne Shoemaker Building – 9<sup>th</sup> Floor 240 North Third Street Harrisburg, PA 17101

Karen Gallagher, Esq. Ouellette, Deganis & Gallagher 143 Main Street Cheshire, Connecticut 06410

> Michael A. D'Amico Brendan Faulkner

EXHIBIT A

DOCKET NO.: HHD-CV-08-6002967-S

: SUPERIOR COURT

RENAY EMMANUELE, ET AL

: J.D. OF HARTFORD

VS.

: AT HARTFORD

LAWFORD ANTHONY HOWELL, ET AL: JUNE 10,2008

# DEFENDANTS' OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S REOUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

1. Copies of all records generated by on-board recording devices, tracking systems, collision avoidance system or other on-board computer/satellite system with which the truck, 1999 Freightliner Tractor Truck bearing VIN #1FUYSSEB6XL964932, was equipped for the seven days prior to and including the date of the accident, January 8, 2008.

RESPONSE: Please see documents attached as Exhibit "A."

2. Copies of all records generated through the use of the QUALCOMM OMNITRAX system, if applicable, for the 1999 Freightliner Tractor Truck bearing VIN #1FUYSSEB6XL964932, for the seven days prior to and including the date of the accident, January 8, 2008.

**RESPONSE:** Please see documents attached as Exhibit "A."

3. Copies of all records generated by the EATON VORAD collision avoidance system, if applicable, for the 1999 Freightliner Tractor Truck bearing VIN #1FUYSSEB6XL964932, for the seven days prior to and including the date of accident, January 8, 2008.

**RESPONSE:** Not applicable.

4. Copies of all writings or printouts generated by a black box on the truck, 1999 Freightliner Tractor Truck bearing VIN #1FUYSSEB6XL964932, involved in the accident for the seven days prior to and including the date of the accident, January 8, 2008.

RESPONSE: Please see documents attached as Exhibit "B."

5. Copies of all dispatch records for the seven days prior to and including the date of the accident, January 8, 2008, for driver, Lawford Anthony Howell and the truck, 1999 Freightliner Tractor Truck bearing VIN #1FUYSSEB6XL964932.

RESPONSE: Please see documents attached as Exhibit "A."

6. Copies of all cell phone billing statements for Lawford Anthony Howell for the date of the accident, January 8, 2008, to include the timing and duration of all phone calls made and/or received.

**RESPONSE:** ATS, Inc. did not provide defendant Howell with a cellular telephone. Moreover, Mr. Howell did have a cellular telephone at the time of the accident through T-Mobile, with number 540-819-0658.

7. Copies of all mobile radio records/recordings for the two hours before the accident through and including the date of the accident, January 8, 2008.

### **RESPONSE:** Not applicable.

8. Copies of all pick up and delivery records, trip summaries, delivery manifests, trip reports, bills of lading, manifests and weigh bills for the 48 hours prior to and including the time of the accident, January 8, 2008 at 8:22 p.m.

**RESPONSE:** All documents relative to this request and within the possession, custody, or control of Answering Defendants are contained collectively in documents attached as Exhibit "C."

9. Copies of all toll tickets, fuel receipts, weight tickets, state entry and departure records for the 48 hours prior to and including the time of the accident, January 8, 2008 at 8:22 p.m.

<u>RESPONSE</u>: All documents relative to this request and within the possession, custody, or control of Answering Defendants are contained collectively in documents attached as Exhibit "C."

10. Copy of any lease agreement(s) for the tractor and trailer, 1999 Freightliner Tractor Truck bearing VIN #1FUYSSEB6XL964932.

**RESPONSE:** Please see copy of lease agreement attached as Exhibit "D."

11. A complete copy of the driver qualification file for Lawford Anthony Howell, as per 40 C.F.R. 391.51, including, but not limited to: 1) a copy of any written response from each state agency and prior employer contacted with reference to the truck driver's driving record as per 49 CFR 391.23; 2) copies of all written records with respect to each past employer who was contacted regarding the truck driver's qualifications; 3) a copy of the truck driver's violations of motor vehicle traffic laws; 4) a copy of the truck driver's employee's application; 5) the list of truck driver's previous employers for the 10 years preceding the date of the application and the reasons for leaving said employments; 6) medical examiner's certificate; 7) a note showing when and who reviewed the driver's record with him for each year of employment as per 49 CFR 391.25; 8) a list of certificates showing all violations of motor vehicle laws and ordinances as per 49 CFR 391.27; 9) certificate of road test as per 49 CFR 391.31(e); 10)

records of drug and alcohol tests; and 11) an accident register listing all DOT recordable preventable accidents.

**RESPONSE:** Please see attached driver qualification file attached as Exhibit "E."

12. A complete copy of the personnel file for Lawford Anthony Howell.

**RESPONSE:** Not applicable, as defendant Howell was an owner/operator and not an employee operator.

13. Copies of all insurance policies covering the tractor and trailer involved in the accident, 1999 Freightliner Tractor Truck bearing VIN #1FUYSSEB6XL964932.

OBJECTION: Answering Defendants object to this request as the information requested is beyond the scope of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

RESPONSE: Without waiving same, the initial policy contains a 3,000,000 C.S.L.

14. A copy of all national transportation safety investigative reports involving this crash and any other crash involving this driver, if any.

**RESPONSE:** Not applicable.

15. Copy of any and all photographs of the accident scene or the truck and/or trailer involved in this accident, 1999 Freightliner Tractor Truck bearing VIN #1FUYSSEB6XL964932.

**RESPONSE:** Please see photographs attached as Exhibit "F."

16. Copies of all service orders, repairs and maintenance records for the truck and/or trailer involved in this accident, 1999 Freightliner Tractor Truck bearing VIN #1FUYSSEB6XL964932, including but not limited to those records maintained as per 49 C.F.R. 396.3.

OBJECTION: Answering Defendants object to the extent that this request is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to relevant admissible evidence. By way of further objection, this request is overly broad and unduly burdensome and is not reasonably limited in time or scope.

**RESPONSE:** Without waiving the same, please see attached tractor maintenance records for the six (6) months prior to this accident, attached hereto as Exhibit "G."

17. Copies of the driver's logs (i.e. record of duty status) for the past 6 months as per 49 CFR 395.

OBJECTION: Answering Defendants object to the extent that this request is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to relevant admissible evidence. By way of further objection, this request is overly broad and unduly burdensome.

<u>RESPONSE:</u> Without waiving the above objections, please see attached copies of defendant Howell's logs for the seven (7) days prior to the date of the accident attached hereto as Exhibit "H."

18. Copy of the accident register for the commercial motor vehicle involved in the accident, 1999 Freightliner Tractor Truck bearing VIN #1FUYSSEB6XL964932.

### **RESPONSE:** Not applicable.

19. Copy of any employee/employer accident report for the accident which occurred on January 8, 2008 involving the 1999 Freightliner Tractor Truck bearing VIN #1FUYSSEB6XL964932 and any subsequent investigation reports.

### **RESPONSE:** Not applicable.

20. Copy of Commercial Driver's License of the Truck Driver, Lawford Anthony Howell.

**RESPONSE:** See documents contained within the driver qualifications file attached in Exhibit "E."

21. All writings giving notification to you of the truck driver's convictions or suspensions for violating a state or local law relating to motor vehicle traffic control 49 CFR 383.31.

**RESPONSE:** See documents contained within the driver qualifications file attached in Exhibit "E."

22. All writings containing the results of any drug or alcohol test that was administered to the truck driver after the accident.

**RESPONSE:** Please see documents attached as Exhibit "I."

23. All records of driver alcohol tests for Lawford Anthony Howell with a confirmed reading of 0.02 percent or greater, the type(s) of alcohol test(s) and testing procedures used, driver evaluation by a substance abuse professional including preemployment testing and calendar year summaries for said substance abuse evaluations for the last 5 years.

**RESPONSE:** See documents contained within Exhibit "E;" however, Answering Defendants would note that no such alcohol test given to defendant Howell ever contained a confirmed reading of 0.02 percent or greater.

24. All records related to alcohol and drug testing collection processes and records of training for the last 2 years.

**RESPONSE:** See documents contained within Exhibit "E;" however, Answering Defendants would note that no such drug or alcohol tests given to defendant Howell ever contained a confirmed reading of 0.02 percent or greater.

25. All records of alcohol tests with less than 0.02 blood alcohol reading and negative drug tests.

**RESPONSE:** See documents contained within Exhibit "E."

26. Copies of all alcohol test forms, controlled substance chain of custody forms, documents related to the refusal of any driver to submit to testing, documents supplied by the driver to dispute test results and signed acknowledgements of required training documents.

OBJECTION: Answering Defendants object to the extent that the request is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to relevant admissible evidence. By way of further objection, this request is overly broad and unduly burdensome and seeks documents which are proprietary in nature.

27. Copies of educational materials explaining drug and alcohol testing regulations submitted to drivers.

OBJECTION: Answering Defendants object to the extent that the request is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to relevant admissible evidence. By way of further objection, this request is overly broad and unduly burdensome and seeks documents which are proprietary in nature.

28. Copies of employer's own policies and procedures relating to alcohol and drug testing.

OBJECTION: Answering Defendants object to the extent that the request is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to relevant admissible evidence. By way of further objection, this request is overly broad and unduly burdensome and seeks documents which are proprietary in nature.

29. Copies of driver's signed receipt for educational materials explaining alcohol and drug testing regulations and employer's policies and procedures relating to alcohol and drug testing.

**OBJECTION:** Answering Defendants object to the extent that the request is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to relevant admissible evidence. By way of further objection, this request is overly broad and unduly burdensome and seeks documents which are proprietary in nature.

Copies of all company manuals covering truck safety, maintenance, fleet 30. safety programs and driver's standards.

**OBJECTION:** Answering Defendants object to the extent that the request is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to relevant admissible evidence. By way of further objection, this request is overly broad and unduly burdensome and seeks documents which are proprietary in nature.

31. Copy of all employee/driver orientation training materials.

**OBJECTION:** Answering Defendants object to the extent that the request is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to relevant admissible evidence. By way of further objection, this request is overly broad and unduly burdensome and seeks documents which are proprietary in nature.

32. Copy of ATS, Inc. hiring policies and procedures.

**OBJECTION:** Answering Defendants object to the extent that the request is not relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to relevant admissible evidence. By way of further objection, this request is overly broad and unduly burdensome and seeks documents which are proprietary in nature.

Copy of all writings relating to disciplinary actions taken against the truck driver, Lawford Anthony Howell, for any reason.

RESPONSE: Please see documents contained in Exhibit "E."

RAWLE & HENDERSON, LLP

By:

Gary N. Stewart, Esquire David R. Chludzinski, Esquire Attorneys for Defendants, Lawford Anthony Howell

and ATS, Inc.

Date: 2/2/09

## **CERTIFICATION**

This is to certify that I, Warner Peterson, have read the above responses to plaintiffs' request for production of documents and state that they are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge on this 2 day of Face 2008.
January 1
STATE OF Minnesofu )  COUNTY OF Stears )  SS:
On this 2/3 day of January 2008, before me, personally appeared who affirmed under oath the truth of the foregoing interrogatories and also acknowledges his execution of the above document.
Notary Public Ton McCon

GEORGIA ANN MCCANN NOTARY PUBLIC MINNESOTA My Comm. Exp. Jan. 31, 2012

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on today's date, a true and correct copy of the document was served by first-class mail, postage prepaid, upon all attorneys of record, addressed as follows:

Michael A. D'Amico, Esquire D'Amico, Griffin & Pettinicchi, LLC 465 Straits Turnpike P.O. Box 670 Watertown, CT 06795

John Hanks, Jr., Esquire
Aldrich & Lyons
655 Winding Brook Drive 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor
Glastonbury, CT 06033

Karen Gallagher, Esquire
Ouellette, Deganis & Gallagher, LLC
143 Main Street
Cheshire, CT 06410

By:

Gary N. Stewart, Esquire

David R. Chludzinski, Esquire

Attorney for Defendants,

Lawford Anthony Howell and Anderson Trucking Service, Inc.

Date:

2/2/29

EXHIBIT B

به ها من من من ها ها ها من من من من من شاهد من من من من من من من شاهد خود من من ساس من من من من من من من من م من ها من شاهد من من من من من من من من شاهد من من ساس من ساس من من من من من من من	HESSAGE HISTORY ***	). E+
<<< Porward Hessage >>>	11000100000000010100000000	
	11	•
Mag#.: 837104 User.: atscron	11	
Type.: F 65 Return Rct:	11	
Unit.: 35541 Priority 2	1)	
Macro:	11	
MCT.: ###01406B3 Raply.:	ii	
Group:	– ii	
Fleet:	ii	
GFMN.: 77871829	11	
Band.: 01/07/08 0014	ii	•
Revd.: 01/07/08 0717	11	
Seen.: 01/07/08 0717	11	
Driver HOMELL, LAWFORD	!!	
Location on.:	<del> !!</del>	•

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT CV-08-4002947

NO. 10

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists

V3.0 \*\*\* Omnitracs Message History \*\*\* | \*\*\* LOAD ABSIGNMENT \*\*\* 011 <<< forward Hessage >>> |Macro: 1 Load 681654 to Driver H || ADRI: 448 PEPPER STREET II ADR2: |MCT..: ###01406B3 Reply.: Group: II CITY/BT: MONROE Pleet: VANO3 | | CONTACT: |GPMN: 77901151 203-261-1920 PICKUP # 11 |Band.: 01/07/08 0826 POF BOL FLIGHT 4833 |Rovd.: 01/07/08 0825 || MILES MT/LD 53 167 APPT MADE? |Seen.: 01/07/08 0825 41'48 48 N | COD \_\_\_ PRELOAD? Driver HOWELL, LANFORD 72'42 45 W | | CONSIGNEE INFORMATION: |Location on.: 01/07/08 0825 | | NAME: DHL 1 3.7 NNN of Bartford CT | ADR1: 910 NESTLE WAY | ADR2: | Hoad 681654 to Driver HOWLA II CITI/ST: BREINIGSVILLE

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Msg Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists

v3.0

<pre>  &lt;&lt;&lt; Forward Message &gt;&gt;&gt;</pre>	I WE ARE NOT ALLONED TO FUEL ENROUTE ON
Magi: 847888 User.: robertjo	ABY RUNS. YOU MU T FUEL BEFORE DISPATO
Type: P 65 Return Rct:	]}HED.
Unit.: 35541 Priority 2	
Macro: BHPR Special Instructions	1)
MCT.: ###0140683 Reply.:	$\mathbf{n}$
Group:	11
Fleet: VANO3	1
GENNA: 77901155	H
Sand.: 01/07/08 0826	
Royd.: 01/07/08 0827	
Seen_: 01/07/08 0827 41'48 43 2	T []
Driver BOWELL, LAWFORD 72'42 48 9	r M
Location on.: 01/07/08 0827	11
3.6 NAW of Hartford CT	11
SHPR Special Instructions	
- -	11

CND: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Brit View Porward or Return Lists

THE OMNITRACS M	ESSAGE HISTORY ***	₩3.0
	STAGE AT 1600 DEPART AT 1700	gay pag saga pag and 164 volve dads (107 vol

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists \*\*\* Omnitracs Message History \*\*\* A COLUMN <<< Forward Message >>> | TOTAL CHARGES FOR LOAD #: 681654 ||LINEHAUL: 425.00\_\_\_\_ |Mag#.: 848583 Usar.: robertjo || FUEL\_SUR 42.50 Type.: F 65 Return Rct...: |Unit.: 35541 Priority 3 11 |Macro: 53 681654 11 |MCT..: ###0140683 Reply.: 11 |Group: Pleet: VANO3 11 |GENON .: 77903461 | Bend.: 01/07/08 0835 11 |Revd.: 01/07/08 0835 |Seen.: 01/07/08 0835 

11

11 11

1 3.8 NNW of Hartford CT

681654

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Porward or Return Lists

Cmnixacs	MESEAGE HISTORY ***	¥3.D
		20 BTOP

***	_11.	
Location on.: 01/07/08 0934		
		-

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Meg Try Exit View Porward or Return Lists

*** OmniTRACE )	WESBAGE HISTORY ***	¥3.0
Committacs     Comm		<b>V3.</b> 0
GFMN.: 77925607  Sand.: 01/07/08 1001  Rcvd.: 01/07/08 1003  Been.: 01/07/08 1003 41:43 24 M  Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD 72:45 43 M  Location on.: 01/07/08 1003   2.8 85W of West Hartford CT   Need Log Hours	•	

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists

*** OmniTRACS	MESSAGE HISTORY ***	<b>V3.</b> 0
<pre></pre>	*** ARRIVAL ***   ARRIVAL POINT: 8    ('O'RIGIN, '8'TOP, 'D'ES   STOP # (IF STOP)   LOAD # (IF LTL)   LOG HOURS TODAY   COMMENTS:      352185.1	03 TINATION)

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists v3.0 \*\*\* Omnitrace Message History \*\*\* HPREPLAN SENT <<< Forward Message >>> ||-ROBERT 11 |Mag#.: 875519 User.: robertjo Type : F 65 Raturn Rot ...: N 11 | Unit.: 35541 Priority 2 11 11 Macro' MCT... ###0140683 Reply .: FLEET 11 11 |Group: |Pleat: VAN03 11 11 |GFMR:: 77983218 11 11 11 DELVOE HOWELL, LAWFORD |Location on.: \_\_ 11 

CHILLIAGO	MESSAGE HISTORY WAT 15.0
<<< Porward Nessage >>>	} *** LOAD ASSIGNMENT ***   MANIFEST # 585644 # OF STOPS:
Mag#.: 875509 User.: robertjo	
Type.: F 65 Return Rct:	SHIPPER INFORMATION:
Onit.: 35541 Priority 3	NAME: KELLOGG'S - DSC LOGISTIC
Macro: 1 Load 685644 to Driver H	ADRI: 400 NESTLE WAY
MCT.: ###0140683 Reply.:	ADR2:
Group:	CITY/ST: BREINIGSVILLE PA
Pleat: VANO3	CONTACT: JOLYMME LANE
37MN : 77983208	610-530-4700 PICKUP # 000442671!
Send.: 01/07/08 1523	PO# JFG 8788B   BOL 00020092624N
Revd.: 01/07/08 1529	
Seen.: 01/07/08 1529 41'20 35	N HCOD PREIOAD?
Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD 73'14 46	
location on.: 01/07/08 1529	
5.7 SBE of Newtown CT	ADR1: 1401 EDISON HWY
Load 685644 to Driver HOWLA	ADR2:
AVER VERY WE WENTER MUNICIPAL	CITY/ST: BALTIMORE NO

```
|| SHPR INSTRUCTIONS
        <<< Porward Message >>>
                                   | | | E ERWORK GIVEN YOU BY KELLOGGS: BOL #, |
[ MUST BE SWEPT OUT AND ODOR FREE PRIOR ]
|Unit.: 35541 Priority 2
                                    IITO DROPPING AT KELLOGGS. IT IS POOD GR
|Macro: SHPR Instructions
                                  | | | ADE PRODUCT, THIS IS A MUST, OR THE TR
IMCT..: ###0140683 Reply.: ___
                                    ||AILER WILL BE REJECTED. CLAIMS PHONE #|
Group:
|Pleat: VANO3
                                    ||269-961-3260. 8-5 EST M-F. ALSO Q/COM|
|GFMN.: 77983210
                                    [[YOUR FLEET MANAGER WITH ANY AND ALL IN]
|Send.: 01/07/08 1523
                                    [[FO. WILL NEED TO CONTACT ATS CLAIMS DE]
                                    | | PT ALSO, MUST NOT APPROVE LUMPER OVER |
]Rcvd.: 01/07/08 1530
|Seen.: 01/07/08 1530 41'20 45 N ||250.00 "THEY DO NOT SHIP PAST 1900 CN |
|Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD 73'14 50 W ||SATURDAYS" ON ANY OVERAGES/DAMAGES FOR
|Location on.: 01/07/08 1530___
                                    || KELLOGS PLEASE LET DISPATCE KNOW SO C/|
1 5.5 SEE of Newtown CT
                                    I S CAN TAKE CARE OF IT
| SHPR Instructions
                                    11
                                    11
```

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Porward or Return Lists \*\*\* Omnitracs Message History \*\*\* ¥3.0 <<< Porward Message >>> || |LOAD 685644 COMMENTS []610 530 4718 |Mag#.: 875511 User.: robertjo ||DIANE FRISCH Type: F 65 Raturn Rct...: PRODUCT PRODUCT |Macro: \_\_ Load 685644 | Comments Dr || |MCT..: ###0140683 Reply.: 11 |Group: 11 | Pleet: VANO3 11 |GFMN: 77983214 11 |Send.: 01/07/08 1523 11 |Rovd.: 01/07/08 1530 |Seen.: 01/07/08 1530 11 11 Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD Location on.: 11 11 Load 685644 Comments Driver HO 11 11

CMD: Quary Next Prev Output View Msg Try Exit View Porward or Return Lists

*** OmniTRACS	MESSAGE HISTORY ***	v3.0
<pre> &lt;&lt; Forward Message &gt;&gt;&gt;  .: 881053 User.: norano .: F 65 Return Rct: N .: 35541 Priority 2 .: ###0140683 Reply.: FLEET p: t: VAND3 .: 77999683 .: 01/07/08 1708 .: 01/07/08 1710 .: 01/07/08 1710 AT HOWELL, LAWFORD 74:09 28 tion on.: 01/07/08 1710 S of Harriman NY</pre>	DID IOU LEAVE THE SHIPPER IET   -NORA	

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Porward or Return Lists **v3.0** \*\*\* Omnitrace Message History \*\*\* \_\_\_\_\_ <<< Return Message >>> || IES \*\*\* CONFIRMED \*\*\* 11 |Mag# .: 881137 User .: norano - 11 Type: R Return Rct...:
| Dnit: 35541 Priority 0 13 Macro: |MCT..: ###0140683 Reply.: Group: Ploot: 11 |GFM: 94748915 11 |Send.: 01/07/08 1711 11 |Royd.: 01/07/08 1711 |Seen.: 01/07/08 1717 42'14 40 N || |Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD 74'10 03 W || |Location on.: 01/07/08 1711\_ 11 ) 4.6 SSW of Harriman NY 11 11

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists v3.0 \*\*\* Omnitrace Message History \*\*\* \_\_\_\_\_\_ 041 | \*\*LEAVING SHIPPER / STOP \*\* <<< Return Message >>> ||DEPART POINT: 0 \*\*\* CONFIDED \*\*\* ('O'RIGIN, KLEE STOP 1-99) |Mag#.: 884513 User.: norano [] II LOAD # (IF LTL) HOEL LEVEL IN STHE (0-8): 6 HDROPPED TRIER? Y TRIER # 94196 |Macro: 4 Leaving Shipper / Stop ||PICKED UP TRIE? Y TRIE # 94298\_ |MCT..: ###0140683 Reply.: \_\_ HDID DRIVER LOAD OR UNLOAD? ( /U/L) N Group: BTART TIME: 15:00 FINISH: 16:45 IPloot: VANO3 []TARPING REQUIRED? N PIECES |GFMN.: 94763654 | | LOAD DIMENSIONS: LGTH: |Send.: 01/07/09 1934 ]] WOTH: \_\_\_\_ HGHT: |Royd.: 01/07/09 1934 40'33 57 N || PEET AVAILABLE: BEAL |Seen. 01/07/09 1956 |Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD 75'38 45 W || SHORTAGE? OVERAGE? DANAGE? |Location on.: 01/07/08 1933 | | COMMENTS 1 4.4 MNW of Alburtis PA 11 11 11

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Porward or Return Lists v3.0 \*\*\* Omnitrace Message History \*\*\* 031 || \*\*\* ARRIVAL \*\*\* <<< Return Hessage >>> ||ARRIVAL POINT: D | +++ CONFIRMED +++
|Mag#.: 884519 User.: norano ('O'RIGIN, 'B'TOP, 'D'ESTINATION) ||BTOP # (IF STOP) \_ lType.: R || LOAD # (IF LTL) LICE HOURS TODAY Macro: 3 Arrival ]MCT..: ###01406B3 Reply.: ] COMMENTS: 11\_ Group: 11352185.1 Fleet: VANO3 GEMM: 94763686 11 |Send.: 01/07/08 1934 11 [Royd.: 01/07/08 1934 40'33 60 N ]] |Seen.: 01/07/08 1956 75'38 39 W 11 Driver BOWELL, LAWFORD |Location on.: 01/07/08 1934\_\_\_ 11 1 4.4 NNW of Alburtis PA 11 1)

CMD: Query Next Prey Output View Mag Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists v3.0 \*\*\* Omnitracs Message History \*\*\* ----<<< Return Message >>> | | AUTO-DISPATCH ERROR \*\*\* CONFIRMED \*\*\* ||Truck is too far from origin of move |Mag# .: 884516 User .: norano Type: R Return Ret...: 11 |Unit.: 35541 Priority 11 Macro: 11 IMCT.: ###0140683 Reply.: 11 Group: }} |Fleet: VANO3 11 IGPMN.: 925144 |Send.: 01/07/08 1934 11 |Revd.: 01/07/08 1934 11 |Sean.: 01/07/08 1957 11 Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD 11

11

11

|Location on.:

CREATED BY MSG 884515

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists \*\*\* Omnitrace Hessage History \*\*\* v3.0 PLEASE RETURN THE NUMBER OF THE |Mag#.: 884515 User.: theexapp || TRAILER YOU ARE CURRENTLY PULLING....| Type.: F 65 Return Rot...: 11 |Unit.: 35541 Priority 2 11 |Macro: 11 Trailer Confirmation Requ || |HCT..: ###0140683 Reply.: 11 Group: 11 |Fleet: VANO3 11 |GENOT.: 78010889 11 11 11 11 Driver HOWELL, LAMPORD 11 |Location on.: 11 11 Request for Trailer Number 11 11

<pre></pre>	**DEPART FROM FINAL DESTINATION**   DAMAGED      MANIFEST   681654      FUEL LEVEL IN 8THS (0-8): 4      DROPPED TRIR?   TRIR   94298 OK?      PICKED UP TRIR?   TRIR   94405      DID DRIVER UNLOAD?   N      SHORTAGE?   OVERAGE?   DAMAGE?   N      PIECES SHORT / OVER / DAMAGED      COMMENTS:
-------------	---

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Porward or Return Lists 73.0 \*\*\* OMDITRACE MESSAGE HISTORY \*\*\* | | AUTO-DISPATCH KRROR <<< Return Message >>> \*\*\* CONFIRMED \*\*\* 11 ||No trlr on load/move 681654 /3355764 |Meg#.: 884541 User.: norano |Type.: R Return Ret. ..: \_\_\_\_ |Unit.: 35541 Priority \_\_\_\_ ||- cannot deliver move [Macro: MCT.: ###0140683 Reply.: -11 Group: 11 |Fleet: VANO3 11 IGYMON.: 925170 11 |Send.: 01/07/08 1935 11 |Rovd.: 01/07/08 1935 11 |Sean.: 01/07/08 1957

1)

11 1)

11 11

IDriver HOMELL, LAWFORD

CREATED BY MSG 884539

[Location on.:

000022

*** OmniTRACE M	SHAGE AINTORE
	+++ LOAD RECAP - PENDING *** 19    LOAD: 681654ARRIVALDEPART    ORIGIN: 01/07 15:02 01/07 16:45     DESTINATION: 01/07 20:34 01/07 20:35     THANK YOU FOR YOU EMPTY CALL, YOU ARE     INOT SHOWING EMPTY TO DISPATCH, PLEASE     ADVISE YOUR FLEET MANAGER THAT YOU ARE     EMPTY.

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Msg Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists \*\*\* Omnitracs Message History \*\*\* | \*\*\* IOND ASSIGNMENT \*\*\* <<< Porward Message >>> | NBg#: 884953 User: norano | | LOAD AT DATE: 01/07 TIME: 20:40 | Type: P 65 Return Ret...: | SHIPPER INFORMATION: | Unit: 35541 Priority 3 | | NAME: KELLOGG'S - DSC LOGISTIC |Macro' -1 Load 685644 to Driver H || ADRI: 400 NESTLE WAY | CITY/ST: BRKINIGSVILLE Group:

II CONTACT: JOLINNE LANE Pleet: VANO3 610-530-4700 PICKUP # 0004426715 |GPMOT.: 78012058 11 |Send.: 01/07/08 1958 |Royd.: 01/07/08 1958 |Been.: 01/07/08 1958 40'34 21 N | COD |Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD 75'38 12 W || CONSIGNEE INFORMATION: | Location on.: 01/07/08 1958 | | NAME: MARS SUPER MARKETS

1 4.6 NNW of Alburtis PA

I Load 685644 to Driver HOWLA

ADRI: 1401 EDISON HWY

]] ADR2: | CITY/ST: BALTIMORE

PRELOAD? Y

+++ Cmn1TRACS M  <	SHPR INSTRUCTIONS   SERVER TOURS YOU BY KELLOGGS: BOL #,     PO #, TRL #, ETC. PLEASE BE SURE TO VE     PO #, TRL #, ETC. PLEASE BE SURE TO VE     PO #, TRL #, ETC. PLEASE BE SURE TO VE     PO #, TRL #, ETC. PLEASE BE SURE TO VE     PO #, TRL #, ETC. PLEASE BE SURE TO VE     PO #, TRL #, ETC. PLEASE BE SURE TO VE     PO #, TRL #, ETC. PLEASE BE SURE TO VE     PO #, TRL #, ETC. PLEASE BE SURE TO VE     PO #, TRL #, ETC. PLEASE BE SURE TO VE     PAGE PRODUCT, THIS IS A MUST, OR THE TR     PALLER WILL BE REJECTED. CLAIMS PROME #
Group:   Fleet: VANO3   GFMN.: 78012059   Bend.: 01/07/08 1958   Rovd.: 01/07/08 1959   Seen.: 01/07/08 1959 40'34 13 N   Driver HOWELL, LAMFORD 75'38 20 N   Location on.: 01/07/08 1959   4.5 NNW of Alburtis PA   SHPR Instructions	269-961-3260. 8-5 RST M-F. ALSO Q/COMM    YOUR FLEET HANAGER WITH ANY AND ALL IN    YOU WILL NEED TO CONTACT ATS CLAIMS DE     PT ALSO. MUST NOT APPROVE LUMPER OVER       250.00 "THEY DO NOT SHIP PAST 1900 ON

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Porward or Return Lists · ¥3.0 \*\*\* Omnitracs MESSAGE HISTORY \*\*\* <<< Forward Message >>> ||LOAD 685644 COMMENTS 1610 530 4718 |Type.: F' 65 Return Ret...: ||PRODUCT |
|Unit.: 35541 Priority 2 ||PRODUCT |
|Macro: 1124 ||DIANE PRISCH |Macro: Load 685644 Comments Dr || |MCT.: ###0140683 Reply: | |Group: |Fleet: VANO3 11 11 |GPMN: 78012062 11 |Send.: 01/07/08 1958 |Rovd.: 01/07/08 1959 |Seen.: 01/07/08 1959 11 11 11 Driver HOWKLL, LAWFORD 11 Location on,: 11 11 Load 685644 Comments Draver BO 11 11

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Msg Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists **v3.0** \*\*\* Omnitracs Message History \*\*\* 201 II REQUEST FOR DIRECTIONS <<< Return Massage >>> DIRECTIONS SEPR \_ CONSIGNEE Y STOP# \*\*\* CONFIRMED \*\*\* |Nag#.: 884995 Usar.: theexapp 11 Priority 0 11 11 . | Macro: 20 Request for Directions 11 IMCT.: ###0140683 Reply.: \_\_\_ |Group: Pleat: VANO3 11 11 GYMN.: 94765863 [Send.: 01/07/08 1959 11 |Rovd.: 01/07/08 2000 11 Been.: Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD 11 Location on .: 11 11

11

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists ¥3.0 \*\*\* Omnitracs Message History \*\*\* IMARS SUPER MARKETS <<< Forward Nessage >>> 111401 XDISON HWY |Mag#.: 884997 User.: theexapp || BALTIMORE ND || PRONE 410-342 || PRONE 410-342-0817 || I-83 8 TO I-695 N GO TO EXIT 35-A TAKE| | Macro: Location MARBAO Info | MCT.: ###0140683 Reply: \_\_\_\_\_ |Unit.: 35541 Priority 2 HHMY 40 MEST GO 3.5 MILES TO ERISON AVE I GO WESTHOUND TO 2ND LIGHT T/L ON | | PEDERAL ST GO UNDER OVERPASS TAKE I NEXT LEFT ON DUNCA MWOOD LANE THEY |Tleet: VANO3 113RD BIDG ON LEFT |GENOT.: 78012290 11 ]Send.: 01/07/08 2000 |Ravd.: 01/07/08 2000 |Seen.: 01/07/08 2000\_ 40'34 13 H 11 75'38 14 W || Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD [Location on.: 01/07/08 2000\_ 11 4.5 MNW of Alburtis PA 11 | Location MARBAO Info 11

CMD: Query Next Prov Output View Mag Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists **v3.0** \*\*\* Omnitracs Message History \*\*\* | CCC Return Message >>> | \*\*\* ARRIVAL \*\*\* | O3|
\*\*\* CONFIDED \*\*\*	ARRIVAL POINT: 0
Mag#: 889847 User: Jerryki	('O'RIGIN,'S'TOP,'D'ESTINATION)
Type: R Return Rct...:	STOP # (IF STOP)
Unit: 35541 Priority 0	LOAD # (IF LTL)
Macro: 3 Arrival	LOG HOURS TODAY 031 Type.: R

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Porward or Return Lists

<pre></pre>	AUTO-DISPATCH ERROR     Truck is too far from origin of move
Seen: 01/08/08 0111	

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Msg Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists **v3.0** \*\*\* Omditracs Message History \*\*\* ر حق الله حيد ومن هنية حين ميد حن حيل هني هنية هنية عند حيد حيد في هيئة هند هية هند حيد هن هند عند من هن وي هند هني هيئة هي هند هند هية ويستوه هند ، ||AUTO-DISPATCH ERROR <<< Return Message >>> 13 \*\*\* CONFIRMED \*\*\* |Msg#.: 889872 User.: jerzyki |Type.: R Return Rot...: |Unit.: 35541 Priority ||Truck is too far from oragin of move . 11 11 11 MCT.: ###0140683 Reply.: 11 11 Group: Fleet: VANO3 11 |GDM:: 929391 11 |Send.: 01/08/08 0104 11 |Rovd.: 01/08/08 0104 11 |Seen.: 01/08/08 0112 1) DELVEE HOWELL, LAWFORD 11 11 Location on.: 11 CREATED BY MSG 889871 11 11

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Porward or Return Lists \*\*\* Omnitrace Message History \*\*\* || TRAILER | CONFIRMATION REQUEST \* 11| PLEASE RETURN THE NUMBER OF THE <<< Forward Message >>> TRAILER YOU ARE CURRENTLY PULLING.... |Magf:: 889871 User:: theexapp |Type:: F 65 Return Rot...:\_ |Unit:: 35541 Priority 2 11 |Macro. 11 Trailer Confirmation Requ || |MCT.,: ###0140683 Reply.: 11 11 |Group: |Fleet: VANO3 |GEMM.: 78024315 11 11 |Send.: 01/08/08 0105 |Revd.: 01/08/08 0105 |Seen.: 01/08/08 0105\_ 40'13 16 N 1 Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD 76'04 0B W 11 11 1 1.5 SSW of Adamstown PA Request for Trailer Number 11

CMD: Quary Next Prev Output View Msg Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists **73.0** \*\*\* OMNITRACE MESSAGE HISTORY \*\*\* |Macro: 11 Trailer Confirmation 11 |MCT.: ###0140683 Reply.: 11 Group: Pleet: VANO3 11 |GENER.: 94788190 |Send.: 01/08/08 0105 |Rovd.: 01/08/08 0105 |Seen.: 01/08/08 0112 Driver HOWKLL, LAWFORD 11 |Location on.: \_ 11 11 11

Type.:  Unit.:  Macro:  MCT:  Group:  Fleet:  GPMN.:  Bend.:  Rovd.:  Sen.:  Driver		AMOUNT: 250     REASON	ADVANCE REQUE 00 REASON CODES: 02-BREAKDOWN 05-PERSONAL 08-LUMPER	03-PINES	18
---	--	---------------------------	--	----------	----

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists v3.0 \*\*\* CENTITRACS MESSAGE HISTORY \*\*\* I MONEY IS ON CARD <<< forward Message >>> 11 |Mag#.: 892063 User.: jerryki Prypa.: P 65 Return Ret...: N |Macro: 45 Money Is On Card 11 |MCT..: ###0140683 Reply.: PLEET 11 11 Group: Pleet: VANOS 11 |GFMN: 78028911 |Bend.: 01/08/08 0413 [Royd.: 01/08/08 0413 39'18 31 N [] |Seen.: 01/08/08 0413 39'18 31 N | | |Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD 76'34 16 W | | |Location on.: 01/08/08 0413\_\_\_ 11 11 2.5 ENE of Baltimore MD 11 11

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Porward or Return Lists v3.0 \*\*\* Omnitracs Message History \*\*\* 031 <<< Return Message >>> || \*\*\* ARRIVAL \*\*\* ||ARRIVAL POINT: D LILOG HOURS TODAY |Macro: 3 Arraval || COMMENTS: MCT. : ###0140683 Reply.: \_\_ 11\_ 11352185.1 Pleat: VANO3 GEMOT.: 94805032 11 |Send.: 01/08/08 0516 |Rovd.: 01/08/08 0516 |Seen.: 01/08/08 0517 11 39'18 29 N || 76'34 15 W || |Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD |Location on.: 01/08/08 0516\_ 2.5 ENE of Baltamore MD 11 11

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists v3.0 \*\*\* Omnitrace MESSAGE HISTORY \*\*\* || \*\*DEPART FROM FINAL DESTINATION\*\* 05| <<< Return Message >>> MANIFEST # 685644 \*\*\* CONFIRMED \*\*\* [] FUEL LEVEL IN STHE (0-8): 2 |Mug#.: 892926 User.: jerryki DROPPED TRIE? N TRIE # Return Rct...: Type.: R | Dnit: 35541 Priority 0 | | PICKED UP TRIER? \_ TRIER # \_ IDID DRIVER UNLOAD? N |Macro: 5 Depart From Final |MCT.: ###0140683 Reply: || SHORTAGE? OVERAGE? DAMAGE? Y Group: ] COMMENTS: Pleat: VANO3 1) |GENOT.: 94805087 |Bend.: 01/08/08 0517 |Rovd.: 01/08/08 0517 11. 1352185.1 060059.1 |Seen.: 01/08/08 0517 11 DELVOE HOWKLL, LAWFORD 11 |Location on.: 11 1) 11

Mag#.: 892928 User: theexapp	<<< Forward Message >>>	+++ LOAD RECAP - AVAILABLE +++ 21  LOAD: 685644 ARRIVAL DEPART
Fleet: VAN03  GMMN: 78030697  Send: 01/08/08 0518  Rovd. 01/08/08 0517  Seen: 01/08/08 0517  John Howell, Lawford 76'34 15 W   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	Mag#:: 892928 User: theexapp Type:: F 65 Return Rct: Unit:: 35541 Priority 2 Macro: 20 Load Recap for 685644 MCT.:: ###0140683 Reply::	ORIGIN: 01/07 20:57 01/07 22:00   DESTINATION: 01/08 06:16 01/08 06:17   THANK TOU FOR YOU EMPTY CALL. TOU ARE SHOWING EMPTY TO DISPATCH. PLEASE
GMNN: 78030697  Send: 01/08/08 0518  Revd. 01/08/08 0517  Seen: 01/08/08 0517  Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD 76'34 15 W    Location on: 01/08/08 0517  2.6 ENE of Baltimore MO	Group:	
Send.: 01/08/08 0518  Rovd.: 01/08/08 0517  Seen.: 01/08/08 0517  Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD 76'34 15 W    Location on.: 01/08/08 0517  2.6 ENE of Baltimore MO	GENN: 78030697	
Seen.: 01/08/08 0517 39'18 33 N     Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD 76'34 15 W     Location on.: 01/08/09 0517     2.6 ENE of Baltimore MD	send.: 01/08/08 051B	
Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD 76'34 15 W [ Location on.: 01/08/09 0517 ] ] 2.6 ENE of Baltimore MD   ]	Rovd. · 01/08/08 0517	
2.6 ENE of Baltimore MO	Driver HOMELL, LAWFORD 76'34 15 W	
2.6 ENE of Baltimore MO Load Recep for 685644,	Location on.: 01/08/09 0517	
Load Recap for 685644	2.6 ENE of Baltimore MO	11
	Load Recap for 685644	[ ] 1 b

	MESSAGE HISTORY ***
<<< Yorward Hessage >>>	AM GOING TO CHANGE THE DELIVERY
Nagi: 895057 User: robertjo Type: F 65 Return Rot: N Unit: 35541 Priority 2	[-ROBERT
MCT.: ###0140683 Reply.: FLEET  Group:  Plant: VAN03  GFMS: 78037314  Send: 01/08/08 0659  Revd: 01/08/08 0702	
Seen.: 01/08/08 0702	— ii 

CMC: Query Next Prev Output View Msg Try Exit V3.0 View Porward or Return Lists \*\*\* Omnitracs Message History \*\*\* 11 \*\*\* LOAD ASSIGNMENT \*\*\* <<< Forward Hossage >>> HIGAD AT DATE: 01/08 TIME: 09:00 |Macro: 1 Load 685312 to Driver H | ADR1: 2000 FROLINE PLACE | ADR2: | ADR2: | CITY/ST: GETTYSBURG CONTACT: 11 717-334-0099 PICKUP # Fleet: VANO3 GENET.: 78037229 | PO# G123190 BOL 4487564 HILES MI/ID 55 272 APPT MADE? |Send.: 01/08/08 0700 |Royd.: 01/08/08 0700 39'29 58 M 1 COD 76'40 08 W 1 CONSIGNEE INFORMATION: |Been - 01/08/08 0700\_ Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD 76'40 08 W | CONSIGNEE INFORMATION:
|Location on: 01/08/08 0700 | NAME: PELLA MINDOWS & DOORS ADRI: 220 MONROE TURNPIKE 1 1.8 NW of Cockeysville ND || ADR2: Lond 685312 to Driver HOWLA | CITY/ST: MONROE

\*\*\* Omnitrace MESSAGE HISTORY \*\*\* ISHPR INSTRUCTIONS <<< forward Message >>> | | DO NOT BOSTAIL TO THIS SHIPPER, MUST A |Mag#.: 895032 User.: robertjo | LWAYS DROP A CLEAN AND LOADABLE EMPTY |
|Type.: F 65 Return Rot...: | TRAILER PRIOR TO PICKUP. |
|Unit.: 35541 Priority 2 |Macro: SHPR Instructions |MCT.: ###01406B3 Reply: 11 11 11 Group: Fleet: VANO3 GENN.: 78037232 |Send.: 01/08/08 0700 |Rcvd.: 01/08/08 0701 |Seen.: 01/08/08 0701\_ 39'29 57 X 11 Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD 76'40 07 W 11 Location on : 01/08/08 0701\_ 3.1 11 1 1.8 NW of Cockeysville MD 11 | SHPR Instructions 11

View Forward or Raturn Lists
\*\*\* OmniTRACS MESSAGE HISTORY \*\*\*

· || LOAD 685312 COMMENTS <<< Forward Message >>> | TRUCKLOAD-SINGLE OPERATOR-COMMON CARRI |Mag#.: 895033 User.: robertjo [] ET TERMINAL ARRIVAL); ALL ORIGINS REQU! | ] IRE DROPPED TRAILERS AT LEAST 12HRS P | Pleet: VANO3 [ TO P/U, E-TRAC, RINGS, CLEAN, NON-LEAK] 102001.: 78037235 | | ING, 53' LONG 110" HIGH, NON-REEPER, |Send.: 01/08/08 0700 | HIDE ECHT, SEALED TRAILER PRIOR TO DEPAI |Rcvd.: 01/08/08 0702 | Seen.: 01/08/08 0702 39'30 03 N ||RTURE; NOTIFY DRIVER OF LOAD#, PO#, 0 |
|Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD 76'40 03 W ||, & LOAD REQUIREMENTS PRIOR TO P/U; UP| | DATE RIDER W/ DELV APPT, ORIGIN ARRIV | |Location on.: 01/09/08 0702\_\_\_ | | RIGIN DEPARTURE, DEST ARRIVAL, DEST UN 1.8 NH of Cockeysville MD | | LOAD, BHPMT DAMAGE; 3RD PARTY PYMT RE | | Load 685312 | Comments Driver HO 110 PELLA C/O RYDER PO BOX 134003 ANN AR

----

<pre> </pre>	16. 化化二氯甲基甲甲基甲基甲甲基甲甲基甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲甲
	STOP INFORMATION: NAME: GUNTON CORP

CND: Query Next Prev Output View Mmg Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists

\*\*\* Omnitracs Message History \*\*\* v3.0 << Return Message >>> | | I HAVE 1 CASE OF CEREAL (DAMAGED) | | WHICH THE CUSTONER REPUBED, PRODUCT | | CODE IS 31846. \*\*\* CONFIRMED \*\*\*. | Mag#.: 895734 User.: robertjo | Type.: R Return Rot...: | Unit.: 35541 Priority 0 | Macro: | MCT..: ###0140683 Reply.: 11 |Group: Pleet: VANO3 |GFMON.: 94818176 |Send.: 01/08/08 0716 |Rovd.: 01/08/08 0716 |Sean.: 01/09/09 0720 39'30 01 N || |Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD 76'40 11 N || |Sean.: 01/08/08 0720 |Location on,: 01/08/08 0716 11 1 1.9 NW of Cockeysville MD 11 11

~~~~

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Porward or Return Lists

\*\*\* Omnitracs Message History \*\*\* | | DONAT OR DESTROY THE CEREAL <<< Forward Message >>> | |-ROBERT |Mag# .: 896366 User .: robertjo 11 Type.: F 65 Return Rct...: N 11 |Unit.. 35541 Priority 2 11 Maoro: 11 |MCT..: ###0140683 Reply.: FLEET 11 |Group: |Pleet: VANO3 11 11 GENOT.: 78041446 |Bend.: 01/08/08 0730 11 Rcvd.: 01/08/08 0731 |Seen.: 01/08/08 0731 39'37 57 N 11 Driver HOWELL, LAMFORD 76'40 17 W | |Location on.: 01/08/08 0731\_ 11 7.4 SSE of New Freedom PA 11 11

11

v3.0

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists v3.0 \*\*\* Omnitracs MESSAGE HISTORY \*\*\* <<< Raturn Message >>> ) OK \*\*\* CONVIRMED \*\*\* 11 |Mag#.: 896432 User.: robertjo Type: R Return Ret...:
|Unit:: 35541 Priority 0 11 11 Macro: HCT.: ###0140683 Reply.: 11 |Group: \_\_\_\_ GENEN: 94820794 |Send.: 02/08/08 0732 |Rovd.: 01/08/08 0732 |Bean.: 01/08/08 0732 39138 47 X 11 |Driver HONELL, LAMFORD 76'39 52 W | ] Location on.: 01/08/08 0732\_ 11 11 6.6 BBE of New Preedom PA 1)  $\Pi$ 

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Porward or Return Lists \*\*\* Omnitrace Message History \*\*\* 311 . | | REQUEST FOR SETTLEMENT INFO <<< Return Message >>> ||PASSWORD: 079861122 \*\*\* CONFIRMED \*\*\* ITHRU SUNDAY. |Macro: 31 Settlement Request ]MCT.: ###0140683 Reply.: \_\_\_\_ H Group: 11 | Pleet: VANO3 11 [GPMN:: 94837595 11 |Send.: 01/08/08 0856 |Rovd.: 01/08/08 0857 39'51 31 N || Bean.: DELVOE HOWELL, LAWFORD 77'04 20 W ]] |Location on.: 01/08/08 0856\_\_ 11 0.9 WEW of New Oxford PA 11 11 11

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists ` v3.0 \*\*\* Omnitracs Message History \*\*\* h ago, the gas too two was don't also had ago two day had too had too are any ago too and to day the day also day on the day per had day are are day had had pin in I NO SETTLEMENT INFO <<< Forward Message >>> I CURRENTLY AVAILABLE |Mag#.: 901246 Umer.: sendpay -11 Type.: F 65 Return Rot.... 11 |Unit.: 35541 Praority 2 - 11 |Macro: \_\_ Settlement Response 11 |MCT.,: ###0140683 Reply.; |Group: |Plest. VAN03 11 11 |GPMN: 78058556 |Band.: 01/08/08 0856 Revd.: 01/08/08 0857 39'51 25 N 11 77'04 59 N 11 |Seen.: 01/08/08 0857\_ Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD |Location on.: 01/08/08 0857\_ 1.5 MBW of New Oxford PA 1) | Settlement Response

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Msg Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists 73.0 \*\*\* Omnitracs nessage history \*\*\* and the state of t HONTE ME <<< Return Message >>> \*\*\* CONFIRMED \*\*\* 11 Priority 0 |Macro: 52 Call No IMCZ.: ###0140683 Reply.: Group: 11 Floot: VANO3 GFMM: 94847712 11 |Send.: 01/08/08 0943 11 Revd.: 01/08/08 0943 |Seen.: 01/08/08 0944 39'50 02 H || |Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD 77'11 17 W || |Location on. 01/08/08 0943 | 2.3 E of Gettysburg PA 11 11 11

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Msg Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists \*\*\* CHILTRACS MESSAGE HISTORY \*\*\* any inay and also also disp disp disp disp to the law sep and also day and had published the sep and any and also also and the sep and the HWHAT DO IN MEED? EXTREMELY BUSY IN <<< Forward Message >>> HERE | |-ROBERT |Mag#.: 904347 User.: robertyo IType.: F 65 Return Rot...: N 11 Unit.: 35541 Priority 2 11 11 Macro: MCT.: ###0140683 Reply.: FLEET 11 11 |Group: |Fleet: VAH03 11 11 |GPO: : 78070320 |Send.: 01/08/08 0943 11 Rcvd.: 01/08/08 - 0944 |Seen.: 01/08/08 0944 39'50 02 X 11 |Driver HOWELL, LAMFORD 77'11 18 W | | |Location on.: 01/08/08 0944 | | 1) 2.3 E of Gettysburg PA

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists \*\*\* Omnitracs Message History \*\*\* **V3.0** <<< Porward Message >>> | | | NEED LOG HOURS PIKASE 11 |Group: |Fleet: VANO3 11 |GFMN.: 78074591 |Send.: 01/08/08 1001 |Revd.: 01/08/08 1002 11 |Location on.: 01/08/08 1002 11 2.6 ENE of Gettysburg PA 11 | Need Log Hours 11

CMD. Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists **V3.0** \*\*\* Omnitracs NESSAGE HISTORY \*\*\* 180 | \*\*\* ARRIVAL \*\*\* |Macro: 3 Arrival IILOG BOURS TODAY ] COMMENTS: ]MCT.: ###0140683 Reply.: \_\_\_\_ Group: 11352185.1 Pleat: VANO3 GENEN.: 94856070 11 11 |Send.: 01/08/08 1023

11

40'05 05 W II

Revd.: 01/08/08 1023

|Seen. - 01/08/08 1026

Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD 77'02 Location on.: 01/08/08 1023

1 1.1 WNW of Franklintown PA

000054

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists v3.0 \*\*\* Omnitrace Message History \*\*\* HAUTO-DISPATCH ERROR <<< Return Message >>> ||Truck is too far from origin of move \*\*\* CONFIRMED \*\*\* Mag#.: 908233 User.: Jerryki Priority \_\_\_\_\_ 11 11 11 |Macro: MCT.: ###0140683 Reply.:\_ 11 11 |Group: VANO3 11 11 |GPMN.: 948311 11 |Send.: 01/08/08 1023 |Rovd.: 01/08/08 1023 11 |Seen.: 01/08/08 1958 Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD Location on.: 1) CREATED BY MSG 908228 11 11

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Msg Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists v3.0 \*\*\* Omnitracs Message History \*\*\* <<< Return Message >>> || \*\*LEAVING SHIPPER / STOP \*\* | | DEPART POINT: O \*\*\* CONFIRMED \*\*\* ('O'RIGIN, ELSE STOP 1-99) | CONFIRMED | CONFIRMED | CONFIRMED | CONFIGURATION | CONFIGUR Type:: R Return Ret...: 1 Unit:: 35541 Priority 0 | Macro: 4 Leaving Shipper / Stop | | DROPPED TRLE? Y TRLE # 93873 HPICKED UP TRIR? Y TRIR # 94232 ]MCT.: ###0140683 Reply: | DID DRIVER LOAD OR UNLOAD? ( /U/L) N |Group: START TIME: \_:\_ FIRISE: \_: Fleet: VANO3 | TARPING REQUIRED? PIECES
| LOAD DIMENSIONS: LGTR: HGRT: PIECES |GPM:: 94856433 |GPMN: 94856433 |Bend: 01/08/08 1025 |Rovd: 01/08/08 1025 |Seen: 01/08/08 1027\_ SEAL |Location on.: 01/08/08 1025 COMMENTS 1 0.4 SW of Dillaburg PA 11\_ 11 11

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Msg Try Exit View Poxward or Return Lists

| <pre>&lt;&lt; Return Message &gt;&gt;&gt; t *** CONFIRMED ***</pre> | AUTO-DISPATCH WARNING              |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Mag#.: 908357 User.: jerryki                                        | Date-time for arrive origin        |
| Type.: R Return Ret:                                                | []Loaded move [3374520] is greater |
| Unit.: 35541 Priority                                               | 1 than date-time of                |
| Macro:                                                              | Depart: Origin                     |
| MCT.,: ###0140683 Reply.:                                           | []measage [908348]                 |
| Group:                                                              |                                    |
| Fleat: VANO3                                                        | 11                                 |
| GFM.: 948437                                                        | 11                                 |
| Send.: 01/08/08 1025                                                | 11                                 |
| Rovd: 01/08/08 1025                                                 | 11                                 |
| Seen : 01/08/08 1958                                                | _ 11                               |
| Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD                                              | <u> </u>                           |
| Location on.:                                                       | 11                                 |
|                                                                     | 11                                 |
| CREATED BY MBG 908356 ·                                             | 11                                 |

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists **v3.0** \*\*\* Omnitrace Message History \*\*\* HAUTO-DISPATCH ERROR <<< Return Hessage >>> 11 +++ CONFIRMED +++ ||Truck is too far from origin of move |Mag#.: 908358 Umer.: jerryki |Type.: R Return Rot...: ]Type.: R \_\_\_ | Dnit.: 35541 Priority 11 |Macro: MCT..: ###0140683 Reply.: 11 Group: 11 Fleet: VANOS 11 |GEMM:: 948438 |Send.: 01/08/08 | 1025 |Royd.: 01/08/08 | 1025 |Seen.: 01/08/08 | 1958 11 11 11 Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD 1) |Location on .: \_ 11 11 CREATED BY MSG 908356 11

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try. Exit View Forward or Return Lists \*\*\* Omnitracs Message History \*\*\* | \* TRAILER # CONFIRMATION REQUEST \* 11| PLEASE RETURN THE NUMBER OF THE <<< roward Message >>> | TRAILER YOU ARE CURRENTLY PULLING.... |Mag#.: 908356 User.: theexapp Type: Y 65 Return Rot...: 11 11 |Onit.: 35541 Priority 2 |Macro: 11 Trailer Confirmation Requ 11 MCT.: ###0140683 Reply.: Group: |Fleet: VANO3 GENOT .: 78079237 |Send.: 01/08/08 1026 11 11 ]Rovd.: 01/08/08 -1025 |Seen : 01/08/08 1026 DELVOE HOWELL, LAMFORD 11 |Location on.: \_ 11 Request for Trailer Number 11 11

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Porward or Return Lists v3.0 \*\*\* Omnitracs Message History \*\*\* 11 |Macro: 11 Trailer Confirmation: 11 [MCT.: ###0140683 Reply.: |Group: Pleat: VANOS GENOT.: 94856638 |Bend.: 01/09/08 1026 |Revd.: 01/08/08 1026 |Seen.: 01/08/08 1027 40'06 38 N 11 Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD 77'02 20 W ]] Location on.: 01/08/08 1026\_\_ 11 0.2 W of Dillsburg PA 11 11

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Forward or Raturn Lists **v3.0** \*\*\* Omnitracs Message History \*\*\* \*\*\* FUEL LOG VERIFICATION \*\*\* <<< Porward Massage >>> DATA SHOWS YOU FURLED | Mag#.: 911592 User.: root | | DATE: 01/08 TIME: Asset | Prior #245 | Prior #245 | Date: 35541 Priority 3 | Priority 3 | Priority 3 | Priority Office | Priority | P ||DATE: 01/08 TIME: 11:12 HARRISBURG HPLEASE CONPIRM USING THE 'REPLY' | | BUTTON AND ASSOCIATED MESSAGE |MCT..: ###0140683 Reply.: 11 Group: Fleet: VANO3 11 11 |GENM:: 78088613 |Sand.: 01/08/08 1116 |Rovd.: 01/08/08 1117 |San.: 01/08/08 1117\_ 11 11 40'20 56 N 11 |Driver HOWELL, LAMFORD 76'42 |Location on.: 01/08/08 1117\_ 76'42 52 W 11 1) 0.9 ME of Skyline View PA 11 11 | Puel Log Verify 11

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists \*\*\* OmniTRACS MESSAGE HISTORY \*\*\*

V3.0

. . . . .

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists v3.0 \*\*\* Omnitracs MESSAGE HISTORY \*\*\* HONTON CORP <<< Porward Message >>> 112550 GENERAL ARMISTED BLVD | | NORRIETOWN PA Mag#.: 911847 User.: theexapp ||PHONE 610-631-9500 11 Macro: Location GUNNOR Info 11 IMCT .: ###0140583 Reply .: 11 Group: Pleet: VANO3 11 11 IGPM:: 78089287 |Send.: 01/08/08 1120 11 [Revd.: 01/08/08 1119 }Sean.: 01/08/08 1119 Driver HONELL, LAWFORD 11 |Location on.: \_\_\_\_ 11 Location GUNNOR Info 11 1)

1. 建铁电池 "起来"

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit · Vlow Forward or Return Lists ¥3.0 \*\*\* Omnitrace Message Ristory \*\*\* | | PELLA WINDOWS & DOORS INC <<< Forward Message >>> | | 220 MONROE TURNPIKE | | MONROE CT Group: IRIGHT Pleet: VANO3 1GEMN.: 78089289 11 |Send. 01/08/08 1120 |Revd.: 01/08/08 1126 |Seen.: 01/08/08 1126 11 11 40'19 36 N || |DELVOT HONELL, LAWFORD 76:46 45 W | | |Location on.: 01/08/08 1126 || 2.9 WSW of Skyline View PA 33 | Location PEIMON Info 11  $\mathbf{H}$ 

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Msg Try Exit View Porward or Return Lists

v3.0 \*\*\* Omnitrace Message History \*\*\* | | \*DRIVER 'KEY' DATE INFORMATION\* <<< Forward Message >>> HITHE FOLLOWING ARE THE 'KET' DATES FOR: HOMELL, LAWFORD\_ |Mag# : 914838 User : s265 | DRIVERS LICENSE EXPIRES: 08/25/08 Type.: P 65 Return Rct...: | PHYSICAL DUS: 12/03/09 | | HAE-MAT TRAINING DUE: 12/04/10 Maoro: 21 | | QTRLY TRAINING DUE: 03/31/08 |MCT..: ###01406B3 Reply.: \_\_ []TRACTOR INSPECTION DUE: 06/04/08 Group: | | ANNUAL REVIEW DUE: 11/05/08 Pleet: VANO3 II PLEASE UPDATE AS NECESSARY. GEMEN.: 78096382 II IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, PLEASE
II CONTACT THE SAFETY DEPARTMENT. |Bend. 01/08/08 1159 |Rovd.: 01/08/08 1204 |Seen.: 01/08/08 1204 40'14 12 N || 76'16 47 W | } Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD |Location on.: 01/08/08 1204\_\_\_ 5.7 NNE of Litite PA 11 1) 11

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Msg Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists **v3.0** \*\*\* Omnitrace Message History \*\*\* 031 Maoro 3 Arrival | | COMMENTS: |MCT..: ###0140683 Reply.: 11\_ Group: 1352185.1 Fleet: VANOS 11 GENOV.: 94888399 |Send.: 01/08/08 1317 11 [Royd.: 01/08/08 1317 |Location on : 01/08/08 1317\_ 11 2.0 S of Trooper PA 11

CMD. Query Next Prev Output View Msg Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists

| *** OmniTRACS                                                                                                           | MESSAGE HISTORY ***      AUTO-DISPATCH WARNING |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| Send.: 01/08/08 1317<br>  Ravd : 01/08/08 1317<br>  Seen : 01/08/08 1958<br>  Driver HCWELL, LAWFORD<br>  Location on.: |                                                |

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists ¥3.0 \*\*\* Omnitracs Message History \*\*\* | | DID YOU GET TO STOP 1 YET? <<< Forward Message >>> -RÖBERT Mag#.. 921159 User.: robertjo - 11 Prype.: F 65 Return Rot...: N 11 11 |Unit.: 35541 Priority 2 11 Macro: |MCT..: ###0140683 Reply.: FIRET 11 |Group: Floot: VANO3 11 IGENOV.: 78111527 11 |Send.: 01/08/08 1346 11 IRCVd.: 01/08/08 1347 11 |Seen.: 01/08/08 1346 40'07 12 N || |Drawer HOWELL, LAMFORD 75'23 56 W || DELYST HOWELL, LAWFORD |Location on.: 01/08/08 1347\_\_ 11 1 2.1 S of Trooper PA 11 11

· · · I : . . .

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit Vlaw Forward or Return Lists **v3.0** \*\*\* Committacs MESSAGE HISTORY \*\*\* NAUTO-DIBPATCE WARNING <<< Return Message >>> \*\*\* CONFIRMED \*\*\* 11 ||Date-time arrive at stop-pick |Mag#.: 921302 User.: jerryki []dnotes line [18] is greater Type.: R Return Rot...: || than date-time of | | | Depart Stop-Pick Macro: []message [921299] |MCT..: ###0140683 Reply.: 11 |Group: | Fleet: VANO3 11 11 |GPM:: 961585 |Send.: 01/08/08 1348 11 11 |Rovd.: 01/08/08 1348 |Seen.: 01/08/09 1958 |Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD 11 [Location on.: 11 CREATED BY MBG 921299 11 11

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Msg Try Exit View Porward or Return Lists v3.0 \*\*\* Omnitracs Message History \*\*\* <<< Return Message >>> | | LEAVING STOP #1 NOW \*\*\* CONFIRMED \*\*\* 11 |Mag#.: 921318 Usar.: robertjo 11 |Macro: |MCT..: ###0140683 Reply.: |Group: |Fleet: VANO3 |GYMN1: 94894059 |Send.: 01/08/08 1349 |Rovd.: 01/08/08 1349 |Sean.: 01/08/08 1354 40'07 12 N || 75'23 56 W || |Driver HOWELD, LAWFORD [Location on.: 01/08/08 1349\_\_ 11 1 2.1 B of Trooper PA 11 11

11

| iew Forward or Return Lists *** OmniTRACS MI | SERAGE HISTORY *** | <b>43.</b> 0 |
|----------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| <<< Forward Message >>>                      | 10-4<br>  -ROBERT  |              |
| Mag#.: 921666 User.: robertjo                | 31                 |              |
| Type.: Y 65 Return Rct: N                    | 11                 |              |
| Unit.: 35541 Priority 2                      | 11                 |              |
| Macro:                                       | 11                 |              |
| MCT.,: ###0140683 Reply.: PLEET              | 11                 |              |
| Group:                                       |                    |              |
| Pleet: VANO3                                 |                    |              |
| GENOT.: 78112791                             |                    |              |
| Bend.: 01/08/08 1354                         |                    |              |
| Rovd.: 01/08/08 1355                         |                    |              |
| Seen.: 01/08/08 1355 40'07 09 N              |                    |              |
| Driver BOWELL, LAWFORD 75'23 58 W            | · II               |              |
| Location on.: 01/08/08 1355                  |                    |              |
| 2.1 S of Trooper PA                          |                    |              |
|                                              | 13                 |              |
|                                              |                    |              |

1. 1. . . . . . .

| CHO; | Gnera   | Next  | <b>Drea</b> | Output | View | Mag | Try | Exit |
|------|---------|-------|-------------|--------|------|-----|-----|------|
| VLEW | Porward | or Re | turn L      | ısts.  |      |     | •   |      |

| *** Omnitracs message history *** |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|                                   |  |  |  |  |

1 10

| <<< Yorward Message >>>                                          | DO HOT KNOW HAVE YOU CALLED ! | THEM?                                      |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| MBg#: 925984 User: robertjo<br>Type:: F 65 Return Rct: N         |                               | •<br>. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • |
| Unit.: 35541 Priority 2                                          |                               |                                            |
| MCT.: ###0140683 Reply.: FIEET                                   | -31<br>31<br>31               | •                                          |
| Fleet: VAN03<br>GFNN.: 78125713<br>Send.: 01/08/08 1511          | );<br>}}                      |                                            |
| Ravd.: 01/08/08 1512<br>Sann.: 01/08/08 1512 40'39 21 8          | );<br>r 1)                    |                                            |
| Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD 75'23 47 W<br>Location on.: 01/08/09 1512 |                               |                                            |
| 3.8 N of Fountain Hill PA                                        |                               |                                            |

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists \*\*\* Omnitracs Mesbage History \*\*\* HERAD ION THERE AND SEE IF THEY WILL <<< Yorward Message >>> HOL OVOLUM | |-ROBERT Type.: F 65 Return Rot...: N |Unit.: 35541 Priority 2 11 11 11 Macro: MCT..: ###0140683 Reply.: FIERT 11 11 |Group: Plost: VANO3 11 11 GMMT.: 78125800 |Send.: 01/08/08 1511 |Royd.: 01/08/08 1512 |Seen.: 01/08/08 1512 11 11 11 DELVAR HOWELL, LAWFORD 11 |Location on.: 11 11 11

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit . View Forward or Return Lists v3.0 \*\*\* Omnitrace Message History \*\*\* <<< Return Message >>> ) OX \*\*\* COMPIRMED \*\*\* |Meg#.: 926081 User.: robertjo lType.: R \_\_\_ Return Ret...: \_ |Unit.: 35541 Priority 0 |Macro: [MCT..: ###01406B3 Reply.: |Group: \_ |Floot. GENEY.: 94908834 |Send.: 01/08/08 1513 |Revd.: 01/08/09 1513 40'39 45 N II |Seen.: 01/08/08 1513 IDriver HOWELL, LAWFORD 75'22 50 W || |Location on.: 01/08/08 1513\_ 11 ] 3.1 NW of Freemansburg PA 11 11 11

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists \*\*\* OmniTRACS MESSAGE HISTORY \*\*\*

v3.0

| Omit Salar                                                                         |                                                                                             |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <<< forward Message >>>                                                            | IN ADJUSTER IS ON THE WAY OUT TO THE                                                        |
| Nag#.: 935866 User.: timmu<br>Type.: F 65 Return Rct: N<br>Unit.: 35541 Priority 2 | YOU SEE HIM<br>  HIS NAME IS JEFF, AND HIS CELL # IS<br>  203-671-1911<br>  GIVE ME A CALL, |
| HCT.: ###0140683 Reply: FIERT                                                      | -2D4 X7522                                                                                  |
| Fleet: VAN03<br>GYMN: 78156124                                                     |                                                                                             |
| Bend.: 01/08/08 2027<br>Royd.: 01/08/08 2030                                       |                                                                                             |
| Seen.: 01/08/08 2030 41'48 54 N<br>Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD 72'42 23 W               | • •                                                                                         |
| Location on.: 01/08/08 2030<br>3.7 NNW of Hartford CT                              | 1)<br>1)<br>11                                                                              |
|                                                                                    |                                                                                             |

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists \*\*\* Omnitracs Message Histori \*\*\* HLAWFORD, CALL ME ON YOUR DISPATCHERS <<< Porward Message >>> 11#, THANKS ||-TIM X7522 Msg#.: 936101 User.: timmu Type.: F 65 Return Rot...: N 11 |Unit.: 35541 Priority 2 11  $\Pi$ Macro: INCT.: ###0140683 Reply.: FIXET 11 11 |Group: Pleat: VANO3 11 IGMON. . 78156670 1) |Bend.: 01/08/08 2041 |Rovd.: 01/08/08 2043 |Seen.: 01/08/08 2043 41'48 54 N || |Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD 72'42 23 ¥ 11 |Location on.: 01/08/09 2043\_\_\_ 1 3.7 NNN of Hartford CT 11 11 11

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Porward or Return Lists v3.0 \*\*\* Omnitracs Message History \*\*\* 11000100000000010100000000 <<< Forward Message >>> 11 |Meg#.: 940027 User.: atscron 11 Type.: P 65 Return Rct.... 11 11 |Dnit.: 35541 Priority 2 11 MACTO: MCT..: ###0140683 Reply.: |Group: \_ 11 11 GFMN.: 78164460 11 |Bend.: 01/09/08 0014 Ravd.: 01/09/08 0016 |Seen.: 01/09/08 0019 11 41'48 43 N ]] 72'42 30 W 11 Driver HOWELL, LAWFORD |Location on.: 01/09/08 0016\_\_ 11 11 3.5 NNW of Bartford CT 11 11

CMD: Query Next Prev Output View Mag Try Exit View Forward or Return Lists \*\*\* Completace Message History \*\*\* **v3.0** <<< Torward Mossage >>> | JANY FURTHER HEWS LAWFORD? | |-JERRY lMag# : 943306 User.: jerryki 11 Pype.: F 55 Return Rot...: N 1) |Unit.: 35541 Priority 2 11 Maoro: 11 |MCT..: ###0140683 Reply.: FIZET 11 Group: 11 Pleat: VANO3 11 |GMOT.: 78159493 11 |Send.: 01/09/08 0353 11 |Rovd.: 01/09/08 0437 11 |Seen.: 01/09/08 0437 |Driver HOMELL, LAWFORD |Location on.: \_ 11 11 11 11

CMD. Great Hext blea Ontbut Area Had LLA Exit View Forward or Return Lists 43.0 \*\*\* OmniTRACS MESSAGE HISTORY \*\*\* HELLO LANFORD? <<< Forward Message >>> ||-JERRY |Mag#.: 944431 User.: perryki 11 Type.: P 65 Return Rat.... N |Unit.: 35541 Priority 2 11 11 |Macro: IMCT..: ###0140683 Reply.: YLEET 11 11 |Group: | VANO3 11 11 GEMN.: 78171613 11 |Send.: 01/09/08 0511 |Rcvd.: 01/09/08 0546 41'48 42 N || |Seen.: 01/09/08 0546 Driver HOWELL, LAMFORD 72'42 42 W || |Location on.: 01/09/08 0546 3.6 NNW of Hartford CT 11 11 11

EXHIBIT C

```
Hext
              Prev
                      Output Restart Brit
View Next Page
                                                         ____ AVG SA DIR ION
     Pate Time ---- Location or Commant for 35541 --
                                                                           Ħ
952699 01/07 0933 3.6 NAW of Bartford CT;
                                                                           Ħ
      01/07 0910 3.6 MMW of Hartford CT;
948383 01/07 0835
                  3.8 MNW of Bartford CT;
847899 01/07 0828
                  3.7 NNW of Bartford CT;
                                                                           T
947889 01/07 0827
                  3.5 MMW of Hartford CX;
                                                                           T
T
947897 01/07 0826
                  3.7 HMW of Hartford CT;
847886 01/07 0825
                  3.7 HWW of Hartford CI;
                                                                           T
      01/07 0818
                  3.7 HMW of Hartford CT;
      01/07 0717
                  3.7 NAW of Hartford CT;
٠:
      01/06 1505
                  3,7 MMW of Martford CT;
                                                                           Ħ
      01/06 1357
                  3.7 MMW of Martford CT:
                                                                           Ħ
      01/06 1249
                  3.7 KNW of Hartford CT;
      01/06 1140
                  3.7 MHW of Hartford CT;
      01/06 1032
                  3.7 MMW of Hartford CT;
      01/06 0923
                  3.7 MWW of Bartford CY;
      01/06 0815
                  3.7 KMW of Hartford CT:
.:
      01/06 0706
                  3.7 NNW of Hartford CT;
      01/06 0589
                 3.7 MMW of Hartford CT;
      01/06 0449 3.7 HMW of Hartford CT;
      01/05 0341 3.7 NHW of Bartford CT;
```

The state of the second state of the second second

```
Chin:
      Bazt
                       Output Restart Exat
               Prav
 View Naxt Page
                                                               --- AVG SPIDER ION
        Date Time
                   ---- Location or Comment for 35541 -
                                                                      12 KM I
 884953 01/07 1958 4.6 NEW of Alburtis PA; 7.4 NEW of Allantown PA
 884542 Da/O7 1936 4,5 KNW of Albortis PA;8.0 WNW of Allentown PA
                                                                       5 EN
 884539 01/07 1935 4.3 KNW of Alburtis PA;8.0 WEW of Allentown PA
 BB#519 D1/07 1934
                                                                         MARK Y
                   4.4 NEW of Alburtis PA; 7.9 WSW of Allentown PA
                                                                      25 WEW Y
 報告13 01/07 1933
                    4.4 NNW of Albertas PA;8.0 WSW of Allentown PA
        01/07 1938
                    1.0 EME of Glandon PA;15.5 EME of Allentown PA
                                                                       AN BOW Y
        01/07 1738
                    3.8 WAW of Wayne MJ/9.0 WHW of Paterson MJ
                                                                      es sa I
es sa I
su mun I
881137 01/07 1711
                    4.6 BSW of Barriman WY;31.6 WWW of Greenwich CT
882053 D1/07 1710
                    3.8 8 of Harriman HY;31.5 WHH of Greenwich CT
        01/07 1631
                    3.7 SSE of Hopewell Junction MY;35.9 NHW of Graw
                                                                      LI MEN Y
B75510 01/07 1590
                    5.5 BBR of Newtown CT;12.5 W of Bridgeport CT
875509 01/07 1529
                   5.7 88% of Newtown CT;12,3 N of Bridgeport CT
870778 01/07 1402
                                                                             и
                   5.9 BBE of Mewtown CT:12,2 N of Bradgeport CT
       01/07 1401
                   5.9 SSE of Newtown CT;12,2 N of Bridgeport CT
                                                                     L BY Y
       01/07 1330
                   5.0 BBM of Newtown CT:12.9 NNN of Bridgeport CT
       01/07 1229
                   1.2 RRE of New Haven CT;
       01/07 1129
                   4.4 N of Cheshare CT; 7.2 X of Waterbury CT
855314 D1/07 1003 2.8 SSW of West Bartford CT;4.4 HHE of New Brita
       01/07 0940 3.5 NHW of Bartford CI;
852706 01/07 0934 3.6 MMM of Hartford CT;
```

```
Output Restart Exat
               Prav
     Mext
 View Hext Page
                                                                 - AVG BY DIR ICH
        Date Time --- Location or Comment for 35541 -
 805031 01/08 0700 1.8 NW of Cockeysvalle MD;14.7 NHW of Baltimore
        01/08 0518 1.7 HW of Cookmysville MD;14.7 W of Baltimore MD
                                                                          MARK
$2928 01/0B 0517
                   2.6 ME of Baltimore MD;
892915 01/09 0316
                    2.5 KML of Baltimorm MD;
                                                                          WHE T
892063 01/08 0413
                    2,5 ERM of Baltimore NO;
                                                                          MEN
                                                                              ¥
8$2024 D1/08 0410
                    2.4 EME of Bultimore MD;
        D3/DB 04DB
                    2.6 KME of Baltamora MD;
       01/08 0320
                    2.6 KHZ of Baltimore MD;
                                                                          SER Y
                    0.2 BEE of Rosedale MD; 5.5 EME of Baltamore MD
       01/08 0306
                                                                          BW
                    3.7 M of Shrewsbury PA;36.9 M of Baltimore MD
       01/08 0206
                    1.5 SSW of Adamstown FA;10.8 SW of Reading PA
889871 01/08 0105
                                                                          MAK
                                                                              X
989868 D1/09 D104
                   1.5 SEW of Adamstown PA: 10.9 SW of Reading PA
889847 01/08 0103
                   1.6 BBW of Adamstown PA; 10.9 BW of Reading PA
                                                                              ¥
                                                                              Y
       D1/08 0047
                   1.5 SSW of Adamstown PA; 10.9 SW of Reading PA
                                                                              Ţ
       01/08 0001
                   1.5 BEW of Adamstown PA; 10.8 SW of Reading PA
       01/07 2301
                   1.5 BSW of Adamstown PA;10.8 EW of Reading PA
                                                                      20 SSW Y
LS WAN Y
E I
LE SW I
       01/07 2201 1.3 SSW of Adamstown PA; 10.8 SW of Randing PA
       01/07 2101 2.4 MMW of Floatwood PA;10.0 MME of Reading PA
894907 01/07 2000 4.5 MMW of Alburtas PA;7,5 WHW of Allantown PA
884954 01/07 1959 4.5 MHW of Alburtus PA;7.5 WHW of Allantown PA
```

ሰሰሰሰ1 ን

```
cho:
                       Output Restart Exit
      Mext
              Prev
View Next Page
                                                                        bir ion
                                                                 · AVG 🅸
        Date Time --- Location or Comment for 35541 -
Midi
                                                                      AP DIR II
        01/08 2000 3.7 NEW of Hartford CT;
        01/08 1944 3.8 MMW of Hartford CT;
        01/09 1930 3,8 NNW of Hartford CT;
        01/08 1925
                  3.5 NAW of Hartford CT;
        01/08 1914
                   3.6 M of Hartford CT;
                   3.8 MMM of Bethel CT/21.0 M of Morwalk CT
        01/08 1914
        01/08 1714
                   1.6 8 of North Tarrytown NY;12.3 WHW of Grandwid
       01/08 1613
                   4.2 SSW of Morris Plains NJ;15.5 W of East Drang
                                                                     NE I
926081 01/08 1513
                   3.1 NW of Freemansburg PA: 7.5 NB of Allentown PA
925984 01/08 1512
                   3.8 H of Yountain Hill PA; 6.6 HE of Allentown PA
                   1.9 MBW of Emmans PA;4.7 SSW of Allentown PA
925023 01/08 1454
                                                                      1 SW
921666 01/09 1353
                   2.1 S of Trooper PA;14.7 MRW of Upper Darby PA
921318 01/08 1349
                  2.1 S of Trooper PA;14.9 NOW of Opper Darby PA
921299 01/08 1348
                  2.1 8 of Trooper PA;14.8 NNW of Upper Darby PA
                                                                            M
921159 01/08 1347
                                                                            ×
                  2.1 8 of Trooper PA;14.8 MBM of Upper Darby PA
                                                                        33
       01/08 1326
                   2.1 8 of Trooper PA:14.8 KHW of Upper Darby PA
                                                                     I THE I
919628 01/08 1317
                   2.0 3 of Trooper PA;14.8 MBW of Upper Darby PA
                                                                     ESE Y
ESE Y
ESE Y
       01/08 1307
                  4.4 S of Trooper PA;13.2 MNW of Upper Darby PA
91403B 01/0B 1204
                  5.7 MMR of Litate PA;19.6 WHW of Reading PA
911948 01/08 1126 2.9 WSW of Skyline View FA;44.5 W of Reading PA
```

000014

<u>٠</u>.

|              | Sext<br>ext Pa | Pret | ,   |      | ~-   | Rostart   |          |       |         |    | :          |     |
|--------------|----------------|------|-----|------|------|-----------|----------|-------|---------|----|------------|-----|
| A CONTRACTOR | Date           | -    |     | ton  | a ta | on or Com | ment for | 35541 | <br>AVG | 87 | dir        | IGN |
|              | -              | 0911 |     |      |      | Bartford  |          |       |         |    |            | A   |
|              | 03/09          |      |     |      |      | Bartiford |          |       |         |    |            | X   |
|              |                | 0654 |     |      |      | Bartford  |          |       |         |    |            | H   |
| 12431        |                |      |     |      |      | Hartford  |          |       |         |    |            | H   |
|              | 01/09          |      |     |      |      | Hartford  |          |       |         |    |            | X   |
| ì            | 01/09          | 0437 | 3.6 | HNW  | of   | Hartford  | CY;      |       |         |    |            | Ħ   |
| ; !          | 01/09          | 0328 | 3.6 | HHH  | òΣ   | Bartford  | CT;      |       |         |    | •          | M   |
| : !          | 01/09          | 0326 | 3.5 | KNW  | ٥£   | Bartford  | CT;      |       |         |    | •          | Ħ   |
| ,            | 01/09          | 0125 | 3.6 | HAW  | of   | Hartford  | CT;      |       |         |    |            | H   |
| <u>:</u> -   | 01/09          | 0037 | 3.6 | MMM  | φŽ   | Hartford  | CT;      |       |         |    |            | X   |
|              | 01/09          | 0018 | 3.5 | MIN  | σŽ   | Hartford  | CT /     |       |         | 3  | 汉里         | X   |
| 10027        | 01/09          | 0016 | 3,5 | MMM  | of   | Hartford  | CT;      |       |         | _  |            | Y   |
|              | 01/09          | 0007 | 3.5 | HHH  | o£   | Hartford  | CT;      |       |         | 2  | 888        | •   |
| ( )          | 01/09          | 0002 | 3.7 | XXX  | ot   | Hartford  | CI;      |       |         |    |            | H   |
| : 1          | 01/08          | 2342 | 3.5 | WHE  | oľ   | Hartford  | CT;      |       |         |    | :          | I   |
|              | 01/08          | 2242 | 3.7 | MM   | σŽ   | Bartford  | CT;      |       |         |    | •          | Ħ   |
|              | 01/08          | 2201 | 3.7 | MINI | ٥£   | Eartford  | CT;      |       |         |    | •          | M   |
|              | <b>01/08</b>   |      |     | HMM  | ٥Ź   | Bartford  | CT;      |       |         |    | •          | H   |
| 35956        | 01/08          | 2030 | 3.7 | MMM  | ot   | Rartford  | CT;      |       |         |    | ₹ .        | N   |
| <b>.</b>     | 01/0B          | 2002 | 3.7 | HMW  | ٥Ž   | Bartford  | CT;      |       | 100     | 3  | <b>. .</b> | H   |

the second of the second secon

EXHIBIT D



DOCKET NO. HHD CV 08 6002967S

SUPERIOR COURT

RENAY S. EMMANUELE, ET AL

J.D. OF HARTFORD

VS.

AT HARTFORD

LAWFORD ANTHONY HOWELL, ET AL

VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF

STEVEN PSYCK

DDEC REPRESENTATIVE

ON BEHALF OF ATS, INC.

Taken January 4, 2011

By Michelle J. Gapinski

```
Page 4
    THE VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF STEVEN PSYCK as DDEC
1
    Representative on behalf of ATS, Inc., is taken on this 4th
2
    day of January, 2011, at St. Cloud State University, Miller
3
     Center, 720 Fourth Avenue South, St. Cloud, Minnesota,
     commencing at 9:50 a.m.
5
                             STEVEN PSYCK,
                    a witness in the above-entitled
                action, after having been first duly
 9
                  sworn, deposes and says as follows:
10
11
                    MR. FAULKNER: Brendan Faulkner representing
12
          Renay Emmanuele and her husband Mark.
1.3
                    MR. STEWART: And Gary Stewart for the
14
          Defendants Lawford Howell and Anderson Trucking Service.
15
                               EXAMINATION
16
     BY MR. FAULKNER:
17
          Good morning, sir. We met briefly off the record.
18
          Remind me again how you pronounce your last name,
19
          though.
20:
          Psyck, P-S-Y-C-K, it's pronounced Psyck.
     Α
21
          Psyck, got it.
22
     0
          Thank you.
     Α
23
          Mr. Psyck, is it your understanding that you have been
24
          designated by ATS, Inc., to testify about the subjects
25
```

|   | i   | , |                                                          |
|---|-----|---|----------------------------------------------------------|
|   |     |   | Page 5                                                   |
|   | 1   |   | set forth in what's been marked as Exhibit 1 to your     |
|   | 2   |   | deposition, which is a Re-Notice of Videoconference      |
|   | . 3 |   | Deposition?                                              |
|   | 4   | A | Yes.                                                     |
|   | 5   | Q | And have you ever had your deposition taken before?      |
|   | 6   | A | No.                                                      |
|   | 7   | Q | So I take it you've never been designated as a corporate |
| ľ | . 8 |   | representative before in any capacity?                   |
|   | 9   | A | Correct.                                                 |
|   | 10  | Q | Do you understand that ATS has designated you as the     |
|   | 11  |   | person within ATS, Inc., most knowledgeable about the    |
|   | 12  |   | DDEC module that was on board the truck tractor/trailer  |
|   | 13  |   | that was being driven by Lawford Howell on January 8,    |
|   | 14  |   | 2008 at the time of the subject crash?                   |
|   | 15  | A | Yes.                                                     |
|   | 16  | Q | And what did you do to prepare for your deposition       |
|   | 17  | v | today?                                                   |
|   | 18  | A | I reviewed the documents that were provided to me.       |
|   | 19  | Q | Okay. Had you reviewed any documents prior to receiving  |
|   | 20  |   | them from my office about this case?                     |
|   | 21  | A | Are the documents that you provided the ones with the    |
|   | 22  |   | yellow dot that says "Plaintiff's Exhibit"?              |
|   | 23  | Q | Yes, those documents that you have premarked as exhibits |
|   | 24  |   | to your deposition were provided by my office. And my    |
|   | 25  |   | question is, did you ever review any documents           |
|   |     |   |                                                          |

|     |     | Page 5                                                   |
|-----|-----|----------------------------------------------------------|
| 1   | Q   | And are you, in fact, the person at ATS, Inc., most      |
| 2   |     | knowledgeable about those subjects?                      |
| 3   | A   | Yes.                                                     |
| 4   | Q   | And what did you do to prepare yourself for this         |
| 5   |     | deposition?                                              |
| 6   | A   | I scanned through the exhibits.                          |
| 7   | Q   | The exhibits that were sent to you by my office?         |
| 8   | A   | Yes.                                                     |
| 9   | Q   | Were you designated as the representative for this       |
| 10  |     | deposition by Mr. Peterson?                              |
| 11. | A   | Yes.                                                     |
| 12  | Q   | And in your role as a maintenance manager what           |
| 13  | -   | experience do you have with the OmniTRACS System at ATS? |
| 14  | A   | I have a general knowledge of the components that come   |
| 15  |     | with the product, installation and sending a message to  |
| 16  |     | or from.                                                 |
| 17  | Q   | Okay. And on what occasions as maintenance manager do    |
| 18  |     | you send messages over the OmniTRACS System?             |
| 19  | A   | After an installation to test it I would send it a       |
| 20  |     | message.                                                 |
| 21  | Q . | All right. What involvement, if any, do you have in      |
| 22  |     | ensuring the accuracy of records generated by the        |
| 23  |     | OmniTRACS System?                                        |
| 24  | Α   | None.                                                    |
| 25  | Q · | How does ATS ensure the accuracy of the records          |

|      |   | Page 6                                                   |
|------|---|----------------------------------------------------------|
| 1    |   | generated by its OmniTRACS System?                       |
| 2    | A | I don't know that we do. We rely on Qualcomm for the     |
| 3    |   | product that we subscribe to, purchased, and then to     |
| 4    |   | share data with us.                                      |
| 5    | Q | Okay. And what products of Qualcomm's was ATS either in  |
| 6    |   | possession of or leasing as of December of 2007 and      |
| 7    |   | January of 2008?                                         |
| 8    | A | We would have been in MCT's, IMCT's, cell-based units,   |
| 9    |   | those would have probably been the three that we were    |
| 10   |   | working with.                                            |
| 11   | Q | And do you have an understanding as to how long ATS,     |
| 12   |   | Inc., has been using the OmniTRACS System?               |
| 13   | A | A time frame would be from the early '90s, MCT's was the |
| 14   |   | early '90s through now.                                  |
| 15   | Q | And just so the record is clear, tell us what those      |
| 16   |   | abbreviations that you just listed stand for.            |
| 17   | A | I don't know what they stand for. They represent         |
| 18   |   | Qualcomm's first generation of their product.            |
| 19   | Q | All right. What is an MCT?                               |
| 20   | A | That is the mobile communication device that Qualcomm    |
| 21   |   | builds, creates, sells that we purchase.                 |
| 22   | Q | What OmniTRACS equipment was Mr. Howell's tractor        |
| 23   |   | equipped with at the time of this accident?              |
| 24 . | A | Based on the serial number of the unit it would have     |
| 25   |   | been an MCT version.                                     |
|      |   |                                                          |

|     |     | Page 8                                                   |
|-----|-----|----------------------------------------------------------|
| 1   | Q . | Was ATS aware of any functional problems with the        |
| 2   |     | OmniTRACS unit in Mr. Howell's tractor prior to the time |
| . 3 |     | of this crash?                                           |
| 4   | A   | I'm uncertain.                                           |
| 5   | Q   | How would you ascertain the answer to that question?     |
| 6   | A   | Of the many pieces that go together for a MCT OmniTRACS  |
| 7   |     | unit I would have to know the serial number of all those |
| 8   |     | pieces and then ask Qualcomm if there's ever been an RMA |
| 9   |     | for any one of those different pieces.                   |
| 10  | Q   | And did you undertake that inquiry in response to being  |
| 11  |     | designated as a corporate representative for this        |
| 12  |     | deposition?                                              |
| 13  | A   | No.                                                      |
| 14  | Q   | What does RMA stand for?                                 |
| 15  | A   | Return material authorization.                           |
| 16  | ·Q  | Could you still do that for this particular MCT?         |
| 17  | A   | Of all the components that comprise an OmniTRACS I don't |
| 18  |     | know how I would know what keyboard serial number was in |
| 19  |     | the truck, what wiring was in the truck, what dome was   |
| 20  |     | in the truck, but the CPU of the MCT, that serial number |
| 21  |     | is we have that number, so only one of the pieces I      |
| 22  |     | would know what piece it was.                            |
| 23  | Q   | Okay. So you could determine whether there had been an   |
| 24  |     | RMA for this particular CMU; is that correct CPU?        |
| 25  | A   | No, I would not. Qualcomm I would have to contact        |

Page 10 Can you repeat that question? 2 Q Sure. Do you agree that the OmniTRACS Mobile Information Management System is a two-way satellite 3 communication system? 5 Α Yes. Do you agree that the OmniTRACS Mobile Information 6 7 Management System links every vehicle in the ATS fleet to its dispatch center? 8 I disagree. 9 Okay. Why? 10 Q You used the word "every vehicle." 11 Okay. Some vehicles at ATS do not have the OmniTRACS 12 13 System? 14 That is correct. All right. But for those either ATS assets or 15 0 owner/operator assets driven in the course of ATS's 16 usual course of business, those that are equipped with. 17 the OmniTRACS System have the ability to communicate 18 19 with the dispatch center over that system, correct? 20 Α There are some units that can communicate through 21 satellite to a hub to our dispatch center. 22 And this particular truck/tractor was equipped to do that, correct? 23 24 Based on the records that I have been provided, it 25 appears so.

|     | ٠   | Page 11                                                  |
|-----|-----|----------------------------------------------------------|
| 1   | Q   | All right. Do you agree that OmniTRACS allows ATS to     |
| 2   |     | communicate with its vehicles that are equipped with the |
| 3   |     | OmniTRACS System?                                        |
| 4   | A   | Yes.                                                     |
| 5   | Q   | And do you agree that OmniTRACS allows ATS to determine  |
| 6   |     | the locations of its vehicles that are equipped with the |
| 7   |     | OmniTRACS System?                                        |
| 8   | Α   | I don't know how Qualcomm determines its location.       |
| 9   | Q   | But you're aware that ATS uses the OmniTRACS System to   |
| 10  |     | determine the location of its vehicles, correct?         |
| 11  | A   | It's used as an approximation of where it might be if    |
| 12  |     | it's operable.                                           |
| 13  | Q   | Okay. And you're aware that as part of its normal        |
| 14  |     | course of business ATS, Inc., uses the OmniTRACS System  |
| 15  |     | to determine approximate vehicle locations, correct?     |
| 16  | A   | Correct.                                                 |
| 17  | Q   | And you're aware that ATS, as part of its normal course  |
| 18  |     | of business, uses the OmniTRACS System to communicate    |
| 19  |     | with its drivers, correct?                               |
| 20  | A   | Correct.                                                 |
| 21  | Q . | And you would agree that the OmniTRACS System allows for |
| 22  | •   | two-way contact with the company's drivers 24 hours a    |
| 23. |     | day assuming those drivers are in truck tractors         |
| 24  |     | equipped with the OmniTRACS System, correct?             |
| 25  | A   | No.                                                      |
|     |     | •                                                        |

| r  |                |                                                          |
|----|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
|    |                | Page 13                                                  |
| 1  |                | location, movements, effectiveness of, you know, how the |
| 2  |                | unit is operable or not operable.                        |
| 3  | Q <sup>r</sup> | How does ATS not know that despite all these years of    |
| 4  |                | having subscribed to the OmniTRACS System?               |
| 5  | A              | It's not in our normal course of business to understand  |
| 6  |                | how Qualcomm has written or works with the satellites or |
| 7  |                | mini satellite's positionings to pinging off of a dome.  |
| 8  |                | That's why would I know that?                            |
| 9  | Q              | What are all of the purposes that ATS, Inc., uses the    |
| 10 |                | OmniTRACS System for?                                    |
| 11 |                | MR. STEWART: Now or then?                                |
| 12 |                | MR. FAULKNER: Just as of December of '07 and             |
| 13 |                | January of '08.                                          |
| 14 |                | THE WITNESS: Communication.                              |
| 15 | Q              | (By Mr. Faulkner) That's it?                             |
| 16 | A              | On an owner/operator asset we use it as communication.   |
| 17 | Q.             | Does ATS, Inc., currently use the OmniTRACS System in    |
| 18 | 7 -            | the course of investigating accidents?                   |
| 19 | A              | Based on the documents provided to me, they have, yes.   |
| 20 | Q              | Do you have an understanding independent of the          |
| 21 |                | documents provided to you in conjunction with this case  |
| 22 |                | as to whether ATS, Inc., uses the Qualcomm OmniTRACS     |
| 23 |                | System to investigate accidents?                         |
| 24 | A              | I don't know that.                                       |
| 25 | Q              | Have you ever been called on to access information from  |
|    |                |                                                          |

| i . |   |                                                          |
|-----|---|----------------------------------------------------------|
|     |   | Page 17                                                  |
| 1   |   | an asset and it may get read, and it's possible that the |
| 2   |   | opposite avenue as well from the asset to the satellite  |
| 3   |   | to the hub to the dispatch center.                       |
| 4   | Q | All right. And do you have an understanding that as of   |
| 5   |   | 2007 and 2008 ATS and its drivers would generate         |
| 6   |   | OmniTRACS messages in the normal course of its business? |
| 7   | A | Yes.                                                     |
| 8   | Q | And is it your understanding or scratch that. Would      |
| 9   |   | the documents identified as Exhibit 2 to this deposition |
| 10  |   | be generated in the normal course of ATS's business?     |
| 11  | A | They would be they would occur, they wouldn't be         |
| 12  |   | printed and saved.                                       |
| 13  | Q | Fair enough. So the messages that are Exhibit 2 to your  |
| 14  |   | deposition are created in the normal course of ATS,      |
| 15  |   | <pre>Inc.'s, business, correct?</pre>                    |
| 16  | A | Yes.                                                     |
| 17  | Q | And the messages that are marked as Exhibit 2 are        |
| 18  |   | made withdrawn. Would you agree with me that the         |
| 19  |   | messages that have been marked as Exhibit 2 to your      |
| 20  |   | deposition are recorded by the OmniTRACS System at the   |
| 21  |   | time the messages are created and sent?                  |
| 22  | A | No.                                                      |
| 23  | Q | Okay. Why not?                                           |
| 24  | A | There could be a delay anywhere from the dispatch center |
| 25  |   | to the hub to the satellite to the truck.                |
|     |   |                                                          |

EXHIBIT E

# SUPERIOR COURT COP

Page 1

OF HARTFORD AT HARTFORD

RENAY S. EMMANUELE, ET AL.

Plaintiffs,

vs.

) Docket No.: ) HHDCV086002967S

LAWFORD ANTHONY HOWELL, ET AL.

Defendants.

VIDEOTAPED VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL HEIN Volume 1 - Pages 1 through 112 San Diego, California

March 3, 2011

REPORTED BY CLAIRE A. WANNER, CSR NO. 12965, RPR

- 1 messaging transmission to and from the truck to the
- 2 company's back-end system.
- 3 Q. All right.
- 4 And what it refers to mobile messaging and
- 5 position location, what is it referring to when it says
- 6 "position location reporting service?"
- A. It's referring to a Qualcomm proprietary QASPR
- 8 system. At the time GPS was not available, so we have
- 9 our own system that calculates position.
- 10 Q. And am I correct then in understanding that
- 11 the service that was provided by Qualcomm to ATS, Inc.
- 12 by virtue of this contract was both a mobile messaging
- 13 and a position location service?
- MR. CHLUDZINSKI: Object to the form.
- You can answer.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes.
- 17 BY MR. D'AMICO:
- 18 Q. I'm sorry. I --
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And when it says "position location reporting
- 21 service," what exactly is the service that's provided
- 22 to ATS, Inc.?
- 23 A. As messages are sent they will attach a
- 24 position of where the vehicle is located. And on an
- 25 incremental basis, such as every hour, if no messages

- are sent, it will send a position report back from the 1
- 2 mobile vehicle expressing where it is located, if the
- vehicle does not. 3
- Q. And so that I can understand as a layperson,
- can you explain to me as best you can the equipment 5
- that's necessary in order for this mobile messaging and 6
- position location reporting service to be in use?
- A. As far as the components of the -- I'm not 8
- sure I understand.
- I'm really looking for a general overview of 10
- how it works initially and then we can get more 11
- specific. 12
- 13 A. Okay.
- Basically the mobile component is a device 14
- that's a transceiver with an antenna that communicates 15
- to a Ku-Band satellite. In the cab the driver will 16
- have a keyboard and display as a single unit. 17
- driver can receive messages in the form of macro 18
- messages from the main office. They can also respond 19
- and send macro messages or text message or free-form 20
- 21 text message back to the home office.
- 22 At the time a message is sent, we will
- calculate the position and tag that along with the 23
- 24 message as to where it -- where the vehicle was at the
- time of the message. Also, if the vehicle is driving 25

- down the road at an incremental once an hour, it will
- send a position of where the vehicle is located on its
- 3 own.
- Q. All right.
- 5 And can you explain to me again as a general
- overview how is it that that position is calculated so 6
- 7 that it can be reported?
- 8 MR. CHLUDZINSKI: Object to the form. You may
- 9 answer.
- 10 THE WITNESS: The position is calculated using
- a Qualcomm method that uses a main satellite and a 11
- ranger satellite and using timing to communicate to 12
- those satellites to calculate or triangulate its 13
- 14 position.
- 15 You also have fixed units around the country
- 16 that are also used to calculate that position.
- 17 BY MR. D'AMICO:
- 18 Q. All right.
- 19 And the customer as reflected in this contract
- 20 is who?
- 21 ATS, Inc. Α.
- 22 Q. And their address?
- 23 Α. 203 Copper [sic] Avenue North, St. Cloud,
- 24 Minneapolis 56302.

25

Page 19 1 MR. BULL: Object to the form. You can answer. 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. D'AMICO: 5 And so am I correct then in understanding that ATS purchased a plan that allowed both for text 6 7 messaging or macro messaging as well as position 8 location? 9 MR. CHLUDZINSKI: Object to the form. 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. Macro messaging, free-form 11 messaging and, and position poles. 12 BY MR. D'AMICO: 13 Q. And earlier you described that a position location would be determined at the time a message was 14 15 sent or at the time a message was received. 16 Was that part of this enhanced plan? 17 MR. CHLUDZINSKI: Objection to the form. 18 MR. BULL: Objection. Mischaracterizes 19 testimony. 20 You can answer. 21 THE WITNESS: Can I correct? 22 Okay. So if a message is sent, it will attach 23 a position from the mobile. If no message is sent on 24 an hourly time or an automatic position will be sent. 25

- 1 that would link into our Q-Tracks 400 software, which
- 2 would then send it off to our NOC to go up to the
- 3 satellite down to the mobile system and in the reverse
- 4 as well. When the mobile message from the mobile, to
- 5 the satellite, to our network operation center, to the
- 6 host software, and then passed off to the dispatch
- 7 software.
- 8 BY MR. D'AMICO:
- 9 Q. All right.
- 10 And I'm understanding correctly then that that
- 11 combination of software and hardware that you just
- 12 described enabled ATS to both message its drivers as
- 13 well as obtain a position history related to the
- 14 location of the tractors, correct?
- MR. CHLUDZINSKI: Object to the form.
- 16 THE WITNESS: That is -- that is correct.
- 17 BY MR. D'AMICO:
- 18 Q. All right. Can you go to Plaintiff's
- 19 Exhibit 6 for me, if you would.
- Take a moment and familiarize yourself with
- 21 that document, and let me know when you're ready.
- 22 (Exhibit 6 was marked.)
- 23 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 24 BY MR. D'AMICO:
- Q. And what was the purpose of that amendment, if

- If you take a look at the RMA sheets that we 1
- 2 were earlier referring to that are marked as
- Plaintiff's Exhibit 14, are you able to tell me whether 3.
- 4 or not the MCT numbers or any MCT numbers are reflected
- 5 on here?
- Column -- Column I, I believe, is the serial
- 7 number. It may be an individual component serial
- number. But I do not believe this serial number is
- listed. 9
- 10 Q. All right.
- 11 So by virtue of what you provided in terms of
- 12 RMAs, am I correct in understanding that there was no
- RMA submitted with respect to that MCT number? 13
- 14 MR. CHLUDZINSKI: Object to the form.
- 15 THE WITNESS: That is correct. There was no
- RMA for that MCT number during this time period. 16
- BY MR. D'AMICO: 17
- 18 During the month of January 2008, correct?
- 19 Α. Correct.
- All right. Give me one second. 20 ο.
- 21 I want to ask you a couple of general
- 22 questions about the OmniTRACS messaging as well as
- 23 position location service that was provided to
- 24 ATS, Inc. during the month of January 2008, if I can.
- With respect to the position location service, 25

- can you tell me -- in whatever way you feel I can
- understand it -- how accurate that position location
- service is?
- MR. CHLUDZINSKI: Object to the form.
- 5 MR. BULL: You can answer.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- It's accurate 90 percent within 300 meters;
- 95 percent at 360 meters. 8
- BY MR. D'AMICO:
- Q. And just for a frame of reference, since we 10
- often don't use measurements as lay people in terms of 11
- 12 meters, how far is 300 meters?
- 13 MR. CHLUDZINSKI: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: Approximately 984 feet, I 14
- believe. Close to 1,000 feet. 15
- 16 BY MR. D'AMICO:
- 17 Q. All right.
- 18 And so 350 meters would be approximately how
- 19 many feet?
- 20 A. 360 is approximately 1200. It's like 1157 or
- 21 something like that.
- 22 Q. All right.
- 23 And if you were to -- do you know how many
- 24 feet are in a mile?
- 25 A. 5,000 some odd feet in a mile.

- Q. All right. 1
- So if you were to tell me how accurate the
- position location service is by way of description in 3
- miles, how accurate is it 90 percent of the time? 4
- MR. CHLUDZINSKI: Object to the form. 5
- MR. BULL: Objection. Form.
- THE WITNESS: Approximately a fifth of a mile.
- BY MR. D'AMICO: 8
- Q. And 95 percent of the time in terms of miles, 9
- how accurate would it be? 10
- MR. CHLUDZINSKI: Object to the form. 11
- MR. BULL: Same objection. 12
- THE WITNESS: Better than a fifth of a mile. 13
- BY MR. D'AMICO: 14
- Q. All right. 15
- 16 And was that the case as of the month of
- January of 2008? 17
- 18 A. Yes.
- MR. CHLUDZINSKI: Object to the form. 19
- BY MR. D'AMICO: 20
- Q. And were you aware of any system-wide problems 21
- or malfunctions with the position location service 22
- provided to ATS, Inc. in the month of January 2008? 23
- 24 MR. BULL: Object.
- MR. CHLUDZINSKI: Object to the form. 25

Page 49 1 MR. BULL: Form. 2 THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. D'AMICO: Do you have any reason to believe that the position location service that was provided to 5 6 ATS, Inc. in the month of January of 2008 was not as 7 accurate as you've just described? 8 MR. CHLUDZINSKI: Object to the form. 9 MR. BULL: Objection. Form. 10 THE WITNESS: No, I do not. 11 BY MR. D'AMICO: 12 Q. All right. I want you to turn for me now, if 13 you can, to what we've marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 14 16. 15 (Exhibit 16 was marked.) 16 MR. D'AMICO: And for the record, they're 17 Bates stamped as numbers 1 through 80. And so that 18 there's no confusion, that's not preceded by the letter 19 Q. 20 BY MR. D'AMICO: 21 Q. All right. If you can go to the one that's Bates stamped No. 1 on the bottom, please. 22 23 A. Okay. 24 Q. All right. 25 First of all, are you familiar with this type

- You can answer. 1
- 2 THE WITNESS: I know the tools we offer to
- 3 integrate. But what they do with it and how they
- 4 integrate it into their system, I'm not aware of.
- 5 BY MR. D'AMICO:
- Q. Can you explain to me the positioning report 6
- that the OmniTRACS software is capable of generating as
- of January of 2008? 8
- Can you tell me the information that would be 9
- provided on that report? 10
- 11 MR. BULL: Objection to the form.
- 12 MR. CHLUDZINSKI: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: I will try my best, but I 13
- haven't look at one in awhile. 14
- So it would typically have -- based on unit 15'
- address -- all of the position reports; the date, time, 16
- the lat/long or nearest landmark; whether the ignition 17
- was on or off; and it may have a couple other things on 18
- 19 it.
- That's best of my recollection. 20
- BY MR. D'AMICO: 21
- When you said lat or long, do you mean 22
- latitude and longitude? 23
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 It will show latitude/longitude or in place of

- 1 BY MR. D'AMICO:
- So based on your understanding of how Q-Tracks 2
- would interface with McLeod, would a dispatcher then at 3
- ATS be looking at a McLeod screen? 4
- 5 MR. BULL: Objection. Outside the scope.
- Mischaracterizes. 6
- 7 MR. CHLUDZINSKI: Object to the form.
- 8 THE WITNESS: I assume because these screens
- 9 are not ours. So I would assume that whatever dispatch
- 10 software these screens are from is what they're looking
- 11 at.
- 12 BY MR. D'AMICO:
- 13 Q. All right.
- Now earlier we talked about in 14
- 15 Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 on page five -- I'm sorry, on
- 16 page Q8 of that Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 -- that the
- 17 customer in this instance, ATS, was responsible for
- 18 notifying Qualcomm if there was an operation or
- 19 equipment failure.
- 20 Was there a procedure in place for purposes of
- 21 that notification?
- 22 MR. CHLUDZINSKI: Object to form.
- 23 MR. BULL: Objection. Form.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Yes, there's a phone number they
- 25 call. They would call into the hotline. The hotline

- 1 would determine based on what they're saying the
- 2 problem would be, what to do.
- 3 BY MR. D'AMICO:
- Q. And the phone number that's listed there, is
- 5 that the hotline?
- A. Yes, that is still the hotline number today.
- 7 Q. Is there some type of a audit or some other
- 8 kind of check that Qualcomm does on some type of a
- 9 routine basis to verify the accuracy of the positioning
- 10 data that's provided in the Q-Tracks system?
- MR. CHLUDZINSKI: Object to the form.
- MR. BULL: Objection to the form. Vaque.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Due to the nature of the way it
- 14 communicates, there -- there is no need that it would
- 15 vary from time to time. It either works or it does not
- 16 work. So there's no --
- 17 BY MR. D'AMICO:
- 18 Q. All right. Can you explain that to me,
- 19 please.
- 20 A. Yeah.
- 21 The system itself is always communicating and
- 22 sending data back through the satellite as to how it's
- 23 operating. So it's kind of self-adjusting and
- 24 calibrating as it's working. So we can expect -- and
- 25 we do expect and we do see -- the same results time and

EXHIBIT F

#### **SOLUTIONS**

ONLINETL QUOTE
LTL QUICK QUOTE
SPECIALIZED
HEAVY HAUL
WIND ENERGY
VANS
PAD WRAP VANS
LOGISTICS
INTERNATIONAL
EI RNITTIRE

#### **M** ABOUT ATS

MISSION STATEMENT
50 YEARS TRIBLITE
CONTACT US
PEOPLE
EQUIPMENT
TECHNOLOGY
AFFILIATIONS
ATS NEWS
GREEN POLICY

#### **JOIN ATS**

DRIVING OPPORTUNITY HEROES WELCOME OTHER POSITIONS

### LEASING & SALES

NEW LEASES USED LEASES USED TRUCK SALES Admin Menu | Logout | View Logged in Section Edit Page Versions View Page Logout

#### ABOUT US . MISSION

Mission, Vision & Values
Anderson Trucking Service Inc.

A Strategic Leadership Team from ATS held several meetings over the last nine months, and has developed an updated Mission Statement, Vision Statement and Corporate Values for ATS. The Team, which was directed by Rollie Anderson, was made up of leadership from various disciplines within the Anderson Trucking family of companies. Members included Brent Anderson, Scott Anderson, Jake Wood, Gary Stang, Jeff Potthoff, Jason Netland, Scott Fuller and Mike Lakmann.

This is an extremely valuable exercise to go through for any organization. What made it even more valuable to us was the timing. Given the current economy, it is so easy to get caught in narrow and short-term thinking. This process made us look long-term and to discover who we wanted to be, how we wanted to present ourselves, and what are some of the guiding principles we will use to achieve our goals." - Gary Stang

The team first defined what a Mission Statement, Vision Statement and Corporate Values are, and then proceeded with a process of developing each for ATS.

In the mission statement it is important to understand the emphasis of helping people, employees, contractors, customers, and stakeholders, to succeed." - Scott Anderson

A Mission Statement captures an organization si reason for being" and guides decision making by describing what we do".

It is a short statement which can be easily remembered by all stakeholders.

#### **ATS Mission Statement**

Helping people succeed by delivering world-wide transportation solutions.

Our Mission, Vision and Values are what OUR PEOPLE are all about delivering transportation solutions with their driving force being stewards committed to excellence and integrity." - Joe Goering

A Vision Statement expresses an organization s goal and describes where we are going













and what we are striving for.

#### **ATS Vision Statement**

To be the most sought-after transportation provider in the world.

Corporate Values are the timeless guiding principles, core values that we want to live by no matter how the world around us changes.

ATS's values guide us through our mission to the goal of our vision" - Brent Anderson

#### **ATS Corporate Values**

Innovation Integrity Determination Responsibility Excellence

The ATS Mission and Vision Statements and the ATS Corporate Values will be launched throughout the company during the week of November 8 14, 2009.

Taking the risk to Innovate provides the future, long term competitive edge.
- Rollie Anderson

Many corporations have gone through the process of identifying corporate values...what sets us apart is that we truly believe our people deliver these values each and every day." - Jeff Potthoff

The ATS Mission and Vision statements reflect our international presence and our dedication to providing global logistics solutions." - Jason Netland

Establishing our mission, vision and values of the company provides the operating foundation for ATS." - Rollie Anderson

The vision statement can assist each employee and contractor to understand what ATS wants to be; thus it can be looked at by each employee and contractor as to how to perform." - Scott Anderson

Staying true to our corporate values is critical. That's what makes us able to succeed, no matter what challenges we may face." - Mike Lakmann

We believe our most valuable asset is our people including both associates and customers. What I like is our mission statement reflects that core belief. - Jake Wood

VETERAM FRIENDLY EMPLOYER

VERIFY EMPLOYMENT PROVACY POLICY TERMS OF USE SITE MAP

Copyright @ Anderson Trucking Service, Inc. All rights reserved.

EXHIBIT G

#### **SOLUTIONS**

ONLINE QUICK QUOTES FLATBED/SPECIALIZED HEAVY HAUL WIND ENERGY DRY VANS PAD WRAP VANS LOGISTICS SERVICES INTERNATIONAL **FURNITURE** 

#### **ABOUT ATS**

MISSION STATEMENT 50 YEARS TRIBLITE CONTACT US PEOPLE **EQUIPMENT** TECHNOLOGY **AFFILIATIONS** ATS NEWS **GREEN POLICY** PHOTO GALLERY

#### **E JOIN ATS**

DRIVING OPPORTUNITY HEROES WELCOME OTHER POSITIONS

# **LEASING & SALES**

**NEW LEASES** USED LEASES USED TRUCK SALES

## ABOUT US 🕖 TECHNOLOGY

# **TECHNOLOGY**

We are constantly expanding our technology to provide the very best customer service. Here are some of the technologies we put to work for you. Their flexibility allows us to customize services and information.



Enterprise Query and Reporting Cognos Impromptu and Impromptu Web Reports are the enterprise answer for database

query and reporting. Report authors can quickly and easily create complete reporting applications using the powerful authoring capabilities of a Windows client. They then deploy these reports over the Web to any number of users inside or outside of the organization. This gives managers, production workers, supervisors, analysts, suppliers, and customers immediate access to e-business insight.

Impromptu presents information the way users view their business, not the way the data base is structured. This means users can easily create powerful reporting applications without having to understand the underlying database connectivity and functionality.

Impromptu Web Reports allows IT to manage report distribution from a single, central location, which significantly streamlines administration. Users benefit from unparalleled scheduling flexibility, unmatched ease-of-use, and professional presentation-quality



DAT's services provide a marketplace that we participate in to optimize our capacity utilization and your delivery needs.



Lawson's insight tools help us efficiently and effectively manage our purchasing and financial functions.



McLeod The LoadMasterTM Dispatch System allows our dispatchers to effectively do their primary task, moving tractors and freight, not paperwork. This gives each dispatcher more time to sell freight

and plan ahead. The LoadMasterTM Dispatch System provides the most current loads and equipment location at a glance, which allows efficient planning and routing. The system is designed for speed; usual dispatch operations require a minimum of keystrokes, important to a dispatcher on the phone.

McLeod's LoadMaster supports our efforts to provide prompt, convenient, and friendly service to you, as well as efficient and effective dispatch for us.

LIALCOMM\* For over 12 years, QUALCOMM has been on-board supporting its customers with innovative tools to improve operational efficiency and increase profitability. In fact, we ve literally been "on-board", traveling the millions and



Anderson Trucking: Technology 3/25/11 3:55 AM

millions of miles driven by over 335,000 OmniTRACS-equipped vehicles worldwide.

From the introduction of the OmniTRACS satellite-based communication and positioning system in the late 1980s to today's sophisticated fleet management solutions, QUALCOMM has been a reliable partner every mile of the way.



DriverTech's Fleet Management System was created with the idea of being flexible for any fleet operation. Simply adding their Truck-PC hardware to our fleet, helped us improve:

Fleet utilization
Reducing data entry
Reduced new driver training
Reduced vehicle down time using vehicle diagnostics

VETERAN FRIENDLY EMPLOYER

VERIFY EMPLOYMENT PRIVACY POLICY TERMS OF USE SITE MAP

Copyright @ Anderson Trucking Service, Inc. All rights reserved.