

M&A VIEWS

ISSUES IMPACTING M&A ACTIVITY

JUNE 2020

LW.com

Focus on Culture and Conduct Brings New Considerations for Corporates and Investors

It is now apparent that no institution or business unit, whatever its geography, industry, sector, or size, is above the negative impact of a poor culture. Culture-related issues at Uber, Sports Direct, Boeing, and others highlight the implications of getting things wrong, including financial loss, reputational issues, and damage to investor confidence.

Often defined as “the way that people within an organisation behave when no one is looking”, culture is a growing area of focus for regulators and policymakers around the world. The focus on culture has become more acute during the COVID-19 pandemic, as investors and consumers observe and judge companies based on their navigation of the crisis, particularly treatment of employees and wider societal stakeholders.

“*The global regulatory direction of travel is clear. Companies and investors planning an exit must consider the impact that poor corporate culture may have on their potential to achieve an exit, in particular an IPO, and to prosper as a company in the longer term*”.

In our view, the global regulatory direction of travel is clear. Companies and investors

planning an exit must consider the impact that poor corporate culture may have on their potential to achieve an exit, in particular an IPO, and to prosper as a company in the longer term.

“*There is increasing focus on listed companies to monitor and disclose their company culture*”.

Regulators Care About Culture and Conduct

In the financial services sector, the UK’s Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) leads the way in the work it is doing on corporate culture and conduct, having set out heightened expectations relating to not just financial conduct, but also non-financial conduct. In the US, the New York Federal Reserve, the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, and the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau have all also recently emphasised their focus on these topics. And the importance of culture extends beyond financial services — a wide range of prosecutors and regulators are increasingly coordinating efforts and sharing learnings.

IPO Preparation

Companies preparing for an IPO need to pay close attention to cultural indicators as part of the transition to the additional scrutiny and reporting obligation that a listing brings. There is increasing focus on listed

companies to monitor and disclose their company culture. The Financial Reporting Council’s (FRC) UK Corporate Governance Code, which is applicable to all companies listed on the premium segment of the FCA’s Official List, requires continual assessment and monitoring of company culture to ensure that policies, practices, and behaviours are aligned with corporate purpose, values, and strategy. In particular, the FRC has indicated that boards should develop a common and consistent language around culture, noting that sources of cultural insights can come from, among other things, turnover rates within the company, employee surveys, health and safety data, and promptness of payments to suppliers.

“*Latham & Watkins’ guide Culture — A Practical Framework for Sustainable Change seeks to bridge the theory-practice divide by outlining practical measures and techniques*”.

Furthermore, UK public companies are required under a combination of statutes, rules, and guidance to disclose how they have effected good culture in their organisation, including through granular disclosure of executive remuneration, diversity and recruitment policies, and

Focus on Culture and Conduct Brings New Considerations for Corporates and Investors

discussion of how directors have considered and discharged their duties to key stakeholders over the previous financial year. Pre-IPO candidates, particularly those seeking a premium listing in London, should consider assessing their internal culture, as well as their systems and controls, to ensure that they can operate effectively once listed and on an ongoing basis.

“The same remedy will not be right for every company, but all boards are encouraged to incorporate culture as an agenda item”.

Measuring and Monitoring Culture — What Are the Key Metrics?

Preparing for an exit, particularly an IPO, can represent a reflection point for investors and management to ensure appropriate policies and procedures are in place. While there is no easy way to identify a good or bad culture, in a corporate context, values, attitudes, and behaviours both within the company and in relations with external

stakeholders are critical. Often this is set out in defined corporate values, such as integrity, respect, innovation, safety, transparency, trust, and honesty. But to see how widely adopted such values are within the business, it is usually necessary to look at a broad range of factors, including the company's purpose and strategy, the communication of its expected behaviours through internal guidelines and codes of conduct, its recruitment and reward processes, and its interactions with third parties. Latham & Watkins' guide *Culture — A Practical Framework for Sustainable Change* seeks to bridge the theory-practice divide by outlining practical measures and techniques that companies can usefully adopt in their quest to institute a meaningful, objectively monitorable, and operationally workable culture change program.

Remediating Toxic Culture

When allegations of a toxic culture or broader conduct problems (such as siloed thinking, dominant CEOs, leadership arrogance, pressure to meet numbers, overambitious targets etc.) are identified, an independent cultural review is often the first step towards remediation. Any review

process must be closely tailored to the business and the relevant issues. However, such reviews frequently entail policy review, targeted interviews, and focus groups, with post-review reporting and practical recommendations. Some companies have established dedicated culture or conduct subcommittees, while others have extended the remit of existing committees to explicitly cover culture. The same remedy will not be right for every company, but all boards are encouraged to incorporate culture as an agenda item, pay close attention to measured cultural indicators, and ensure that positive culture and conduct is promoted throughout the company.

“The focus on culture has become more acute during the COVID-19 pandemic, as investors and consumers observe and judge companies based on their navigation of the crisis”.

KEY CULTURAL INFLUENCERS — EXAMPLES OF HOW TO APPROACH ANALYSING THE CULTURE OF A BUSINESS:

- Definition and articulation**
 Has the business defined and communicated its culture in a readily identifiable and understandable manner?
 Has the business clearly articulated its purpose and outlined its core values and beliefs?
- Conduct and values training**
 Do culture and conduct feature regularly in the business' training program? Does “mandatory” training really mean mandatory?
- Board/Executive Committee engagement**
 Do the Board and ExCo focus adequately on the embedding of values and conduct by devoting time to these issues?
 Is this focus evidenced in the business' practices?
- Effective leadership**
 Does the business actively promote tone from above (and not just from the top)?
 Do managers visibly and sincerely sponsor policy changes and culture-related initiatives? Are they acting as role models?
- Training the conduct assessors**
 Are the managers charged with participating in and making conduct-related determinations equipped with the necessary skill sets? How is this achieved?



KEY CULTURAL INFLUENCERS — EXAMPLES OF HOW TO APPROACH ANALYSING THE CULTURE OF A BUSINESS CONT...:

- **Succession planning**

Do the business' succession planning processes include explicit consideration of conduct and values-related skills and know-how?

- **Equity and consistency**

Is the business scrupulous about ensuring fairness and consistency of approach?

- **Incentives**

Is the business' compensation structure appropriately aligned with its espoused cultural values (including the promotion of sound risk management and control)? Is there sufficient focus on positively rewarding and celebrating above-expectation conduct?



- **Recruitment and progression**

Are culture, conduct, and ethics positively promoted within all HR processes and procedures (e.g., recruitment literature and application/process forms)?

- **Effective integration of lateral hires**

How does the business safeguard against the risks of a "them and us" culture developing or a general misalignment of purpose?

- **Front office risk awareness**

Does the business possess an effective first line of defence which is not overly reliant upon the second and third lines? Does the business run periodic front line risk awareness training?

- **Approach to, and relationship with, regulatory authorities**

Does the business approach its regulators (or other industry bodies, where applicable) in an open and constructive manner?

- **Stature and perception of control functions**

How are the business' control functions perceived internally? Are they seen as respected facilitators and partners or as a hindrance to the business?



- **Psychological safety, speak up and listen up, and whistleblowing**

Is there a culture of welcoming escalation or self-identification of issues, including the expectation of such conduct, and are there sanctions for willful blindness?

- **Response to COVID-19 lockdown**

What has the business (and individual managers) done to develop and maintain good culture while a significant proportion of the workforce may be working remotely? What steps is it taking to promote an environment that is conducive to speaking up?

- **Collaboration**

Does the business dedicate sufficient focus to cross-organisational and cross-team collaboration?

- **Outside-of-work conduct**

Does the business' code of ethics (or equivalent) expressly clarify that high standards of conduct outside of work are also expected?

- **Diversity and inclusion**

Does the business take diversity and inclusion sufficiently seriously?

- **Standing back and learning lessons**

Is the business prepared to respond where deficiencies are identified? What processes are in place to ensure the business' response is appropriate?



Focus on Culture and Conduct Brings New Considerations for Corporates and Investors

CONTRIBUTORS

David Berman
Partner
 +44.20.7710.3080
 david.berman@lw.com

Richard Butterwick
Partner
 +44.20.7710.1143
 richard.butterwick@lw.com

Chris Horton
Partner
 +44.20.7710.4539
 chris.horton@lw.com

Robbie McLaren
Partner
 +44.20.7710.1880
 robbie.mclaren@lw.com

Anna Ngo
Associate
 +44.20.7710.4537
 anna.ngo@lw.com

Nell Perks
Associate
 +44.20.7710.4749
 helennell.perks@lw.com

Catherine Campbell
Knowledge Management Counsel
 +44.20.7710.1016
 catherine.campbell@lw.com

Charlotte Collins
Knowledge Management Lawyer
 +44.20.7710.1804
 charlotte.collins@lw.com

About Latham & Watkins M&A Team

The M&A Practice advises on transactions worldwide, offering clients the resources of a global firm, while maintaining an on-the-ground understanding of substantive legal matters, local markets, and industry-specific issues. For more information about the practice, please visit www.lw.com/practices/MergersAndAcquisitions.



LW.com

Beijing
 Boston
 Brussels
 Century City
 Chicago
 Dubai
 Düsseldorf
 Frankfurt
 Hamburg
 Hong Kong

Houston
 London
 Los Angeles
 Madrid
 Milan
 Moscow
 Munich
 New York
 Orange County
 Paris

Riyadh*
 San Diego
 San Francisco
 Seoul
 Shanghai
 Silicon Valley
 Singapore
 Tokyo
 Washington, D.C.

*In cooperation with the Law Office of Salman M. Al-Sudairi