

FENWICK



Silicon Valley Venture Capital Survey

Second Quarter 2023

Silicon Valley Venture Capital Survey – Second Quarter 2023

Background

Our survey analyzed the terms of 162 venture financings closed in the second quarter of 2023 by companies headquartered in Silicon Valley.

Summary

Venture capital financings in Silicon Valley reached a seven-year low and down rounds increased, while early-stage financings (Series A and Series B) decreased as a percentage of all financings, from 83% of financings in the first quarter of 2023 to 73% of financings in the second quarter of 2023. The emerging interest in companies focused on AI drove an uptick in software sector financings.

The Fenwick Venture Capital Barometer™, measuring the average percentage share price change between rounds, has remained consistent since Q4 2022 (85% in Q2 2023), following eight straight quarters of unprecedented price increases from prior rounds.

Key Findings

Deal Count Reaches Seven-Year Low

There were 162 financings in Q2, the lowest number of financings we have recorded since Q4 2016. However, the figure remained close to the prior two quarters.

Down Rounds Increase

The percentage of down rounds has climbed steadily the last 18+ months, from 1% of all financings in Q1 2022 to 10% in Q2 2023. Series C down rounds have jumped this year, increasing from 6% of all Series C financings in Q1 to 19% in Q2. There were no down rounds among Series D financings in our survey in Q2.

Early-Stage Financings Slow Slightly

Series A and Series B, at 75 and 43 financings respectively, comprised 73% of all Q2 financings, down from 83% of financings in Q1. The largest uptick was Series D financings, jumping from 3% to 10% of all financings quarter to quarter.

Uneven Sector Performance and AI Stands Out

From Q1 to Q2, software, hardware and life sciences financings' average share price increased from the prior round, with life sciences jumping 30% in that period. Companies fundraising in the Internet/digital media sector faced a steep drop in average share price increase, from a 156% increase in Q1, to a 32% increase in Q2.

The AI effect is emerging, with approximately 38 companies (24% of all companies) in Q2 categorized as AI, most of them (76% of AI companies) in the software sector.


Pay-to-Play Provisions Jump

Percent of financings that included Pay-to-Play Provisions, providing for conversion of non-participating investors' preferred stock into common stock or shadow preferred stock, has reached the highest level since at least Q1 2021, from 1% of financings then to 7% in Q2 2023.



Fenwick Data on Valuations

Price Change

PRICE CHANGE—DIRECTION OF PRICE CHANGES WITH POST-SERIES A FINANCINGS COMPARED TO THE COMPANIES' PREVIOUS ROUND




PERCENTAGE OF **DOWN ROUNDS** BROKEN OUT BY SERIES



Price Change


continued

EXPANDED PRICE CHANGE GRAPH—DIRECTION OF PRICE CHANGES WITH POST-SERIES A FINANCINGS COMPARED TO THE COMPANIES' PREVIOUS ROUND




The Fenwick Venture Capital Barometer™

MAGNITUDE OF PRICE CHANGE—AVERAGE PERCENTAGE CHANGE BETWEEN THE PRICE PER SHARE AT WHICH COMPANIES RAISED FUNDS IN A QUARTER AND THE PRICE PER SHARE AT WHICH THE COMPANIES RAISED FUNDS IN THEIR PRIOR ROUND OF FINANCING. CALCULATION INCLUDES ALL ROUNDS (UP, DOWN AND FLAT). RESULTS ARE NOT WEIGHTED BASED ON AMOUNT RAISED IN THE FINANCING.




BAROMETER RESULTS BY SERIES



The Fenwick Venture Capital Barometer™


continued

EXPANDED FENWICK VENTURE CAPITAL BAROMETER™—DIRECTION OF PRICE CHANGES WITH POST-SERIES A FINANCINGS COMPARED TO THE COMPANIES' PREVIOUS ROUND




Median Percentage Price Change

MEDIAN PERCENTAGE PRICE CHANGE—MEDIAN PERCENTAGE CHANGE BETWEEN THE PRICE PER SHARE AT WHICH COMPANIES RAISED FUNDS IN A QUARTER AND THE PRICE PER SHARE AT WHICH COMPANIES RAISED FUNDS IN THEIR PRIOR ROUND OF FINANCING. CALCULATION INCLUDES ALL ROUNDS (UP, DOWN AND FLAT). RESULTS ARE NOT WEIGHTED BASED ON AMOUNT RAISED IN THE FINANCING.




MEDIAN PRICE CHANGE RESULTS BY SERIES



Median Percentage Price Change

continued

EXPANDED MEDIAN PRICE CHANGE GRAPH—MEDIAN PERCENTAGE PRICE CHANGE FOR EACH QUARTER SINCE WE BEGAN CALCULATING THIS METRIC IN 2004



Industry Results

AGGREGATE INDUSTRY RESULTS FOR QUARTER—RESULTS BROKEN DOWN BY COMPANIES' INDUSTRY FOR POST-SERIES A FINANCINGS

- DIRECTION OF PRICE CHANGES COMPARED TO THE PRIOR ROUND OF FINANCING (UP, DOWN AND FLAT)
- AVERAGE PERCENTAGE CHANGE IN PRICE PER SHARE WHEN COMPANIES RAISED FUNDS COMPARED TO THE PRIOR ROUND OF FINANCING
- MEDIAN PERCENTAGE CHANGE IN PRICE PER SHARE WHEN COMPANIES RAISED FUNDS COMPARED TO THE PRIOR ROUND OF FINANCING

Industry	Up Rounds	Down Rounds	Flat Rounds	Barometer	Median Price Change	Post-Series A Financings
Software	82%	7%	11%	103%	62%	45
Hardware	78%	0%	22%	59%	49%	9
Life Sciences	92%	8%	0%	80%	27%	12
Internet/Digital Media	40%	40%	20%	32%	0%	10
Other	73%	9%	18%	87%	67%	11
Total, All Industries	77%	10%	13%	85%	49%	87


DOWN ROUND RESULTS BY INDUSTRY—PERCENT OF DOWN ROUNDS EACH QUARTER, BROKEN OUT BY INDUSTRY

Down Rounds	Q3 '21	Q4 '21	Q1 '22	Q2 '22	Q3 '22	Q4 '22	Q1 '23	Q2 '23
Software	4%	2%	0%	5%	9%	4%	6%	7%
Hardware	27%	18%	0%	14%	9%	14%	0%	0%
Life Sciences	14%	10%	8%	0%	0%	15%	15%	8%
Internet/Digital Media	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	14%	14%	40%
Other	10%	0%	0%	6%	0%	10%	25%	9%
Total, All Industries	8%	4%	1%	5%	6%	10%	9%	10%

BAROMETER RESULTS BY INDUSTRY—AVERAGE PERCENTAGE CHANGE IN PRICE PER SHARE WHEN COMPANIES RAISED FUNDS COMPARED TO THE PRIOR ROUND OF FINANCING, BROKEN OUT BY INDUSTRY

Industry	Q3 '21	Q4 '21	Q1 '22	Q2 '22	Q3 '22	Q4 '22	Q1 '23	Q2 '23
Software	198%	233%	275%	191%	109%	73%	95%	103%
Hardware	110%	160%	300%	76%	189%	76%	56%	59%
Life Sciences	157%	123%	147%	166%	83%	81%	60%	80%
Internet/Digital Media	258%	281%	258%	215%	88%	62%	156%	32%
Other	166%	211%	263%	143%	213%	161%	87%	87%
Total, All Industries	190%	216%	253%	174%	122%	87%	84%	85%

GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATION OF THE ABOVE DATA




Industry Results

continued

MEDIAN PERCENTAGE PRICE CHANGE BY INDUSTRY—MEDIAN PERCENTAGE CHANGE IN PRICE PER SHARE WHEN COMPANIES RAISED FUNDS COMPARED TO THE PRIOR ROUND OF FINANCING, BROKEN OUT BY INDUSTRY

Median % Price Change	Q3 '21	Q4 '21	Q1 '22	Q2 '22	Q3 '22	Q4 '22	Q1 '23	Q2 '23
Software	146%	187%	186%	142%	102%	69%	70%	62%
Hardware	33%	112%	306%	53%	140%	15%	24%	49%
Life Sciences	101%	100%	74%	123%	51%	44%	6%	27%
Internet/Digital Media	182%	134%	268%	169%	88%	49%	31%	0%
Other	123%	203%	123%	105%	174%	104%	61%	67%
Total, All Industries	136%	168%	186%	136%	98%	57%	36%	49%

GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATION OF THE DATA ON THE LEFT



Financing Rounds


FINANCING ROUND—PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL FINANCINGS BROKEN DOWN BY SERIES

Series	Q3 '21	Q4 '21	Q1 '22	Q2 '22	Q3 '22	Q4 '22	Q1 '23	Q2 '23
Series A	27%	24%	28%	24%	44%	58%	51%	46%
Series B	28%	28%	30%	32%	28%	21%	32%	27%
Series C	20%	23%	22%	26%	15%	11%	10%	13%
Series D	12%	13%	8%	10%	7%	4%	3%	10%
Series E+	13%	13%	12%	8%	6%	6%	4%	4%


Fenwick Data on Legal Terms

Liquidation

SENIOR LIQUIDATION PREFERENCE—PERCENTAGE OF POST-SERIES A FINANCINGS WITH SENIOR LIQUIDATION PREFERENCE (LIMITED TO SERIES B THROUGH E+)




PERCENTAGE OF SENIOR LIQUIDATION PREFERENCES BY SERIES




Liquidation

continued

SENIOR LIQUIDATION PREFERENCE WITH MULTIPLE—PERCENTAGE OF FINANCINGS WITH A SENIOR LIQUIDATION PREFERENCE THAT INCLUDED A MULTIPLE GREATER THAN ONE




RANGE OF MULTIPLES—PERCENTAGE OF FINANCINGS WITH A SENIOR LIQUIDATION PREFERENCE THAT INCLUDED A MULTIPLE WITHIN THE SPECIFIED RANGE




Liquidation

continued

PARTICIPATION IN LIQUIDATION—PERCENTAGE OF FINANCINGS THAT PROVIDED FOR PARTICIPATION IN LIQUIDATION (INCLUDES ALL SERIES A THROUGH E+)




NO CAPPED PARTICIPATION IN LIQUIDATION—PERCENTAGE OF FINANCINGS THAT PROVIDED FOR PARTICIPATION IN LIQUIDATION THAT WERE NOT CAPPED (INCLUDES ALL SERIES A THROUGH E+)




Other Terms

CUMULATIVE DIVIDENDS—PERCENTAGE OF FINANCINGS THAT INCLUDED CUMULATIVE DIVIDENDS (INCLUDES ALL ROUNDS SERIES A THROUGH E+)




REDEMPTION—PERCENTAGE OF FINANCINGS THAT PROVIDED FOR MANDATORY REDEMPTION OR REDEMPTION AT THE OPTION OF THE INVESTOR (INCLUDES ALL ROUNDS SERIES A THROUGH E+)




Other Terms

continued

NON-IPO ANTIDILUTION PROVISIONS—PERCENTAGE OF FINANCINGS THAT INCLUDED NON-IPO ANTIDILUTION PROVISIONS (INCLUDES ALL ROUNDS SERIES A THROUGH E+) FOCUSED ON NON-IPO ANTIDILUTION PROVISIONS THAT PROTECT THE INVESTOR AGAINST A FUTURE VENTURE FINANCING AT A PRICE BELOW WHAT THE INVESTOR PAID. DOES NOT REFLECT ANTIDILUTION PROVISIONS DESIGNED TO PROTECT AGAINST AN IPO AT A PRICE BELOW THE PRICE PAID BY THE VENTURE INVESTOR, SUCH AS AN IPO RATCHET.



IPO DOWNSIDE PROTECTIONS—PERCENTAGE OF FINANCINGS THAT INCLUDED IPO DOWNSIDE PROTECTIONS (INCLUDES ALL ROUNDS SERIES A THROUGH E+)




- Ratchet: Investors receive additional shares if IPO price is less than financing price or, in some cases, the financing price plus a premium
- Blocking Right: IPO price must be at least as high as financing price


Other Terms

continued


CORPORATE REORGANIZATION—PERCENTAGE OF FINANCINGS INVOLVING CORPORATE REORGANIZATION



PAY-TO-PLAY PROVISIONS—PERCENTAGE OF FINANCINGS THAT INCLUDED PAY-TO-PLAY PROVISIONS PROVIDING FOR CONVERSION OF NON-PARTICIPATING INVESTORS' PREFERRED STOCK INTO COMMON STOCK OR SHADOW PREFERRED STOCK



DUAL-CLASS COMMON STOCK—PERCENTAGE OF FINANCINGS WITH DUAL-CLASS COMMON STOCK STRUCTURES WHERE ONE CLASS OF COMMON STOCK HOLDS MORE VOTES PER SHARE THAN THE OTHER CLASS



Authors



Cynthia Clarfield Hess

Partner
Startup & Venture Capital
chess@fenwick.com

[Full Bio](#)

Mark Leahy

Partner
Startup & Venture Capital
mleahy@fenwick.com

[Full Bio](#)

James Li

Associate
Startup & Venture Capital
jli@fenwick.com

[Full Bio](#)

“[The Fenwick team is] first class through and through. They are the best in the business for a fast-moving startup.”

–Chambers USA

Fenwick’s Startup & Venture Capital Team

For over 50 years, Fenwick has helped some of the world’s most recognized companies become, and remain, market leaders. From emerging enterprises to large public corporations, our clients are leaders in the technology and life sciences sectors and are fundamentally changing the world through rapid innovation. We currently represent 1,500+ VC-backed companies, including many of the world’s most notable and 80 with valuations over \$1B. Our VC practice is ranked as one of the top in the U.S. by Dow Jones and *Chambers USA*, and Fenwick has advised on some of the largest venture capital financings in history.

Representative Venture-Backed Clients



About Our Survey

The Fenwick Venture Capital Survey has been published quarterly since the first quarter of 2002. Its goal is to provide information to the global entrepreneurial and venture community on the terms of venture financings in Silicon Valley.

The survey is available to all, without charge, by signing up at www.fenwick.com/subscribe. We are pleased to be a source of information for entrepreneurs, investors, educators, students, journalists and government officials.

Our analysis of Silicon Valley financings is based on independent data collection performed by our lawyers and paralegals, and is not skewed toward or overly representative of financings in which our firm is involved. We believe that this approach, compared to only reporting on deals handled by a specific firm, provides a more statistically valid dataset.

For purposes of determining whether a company is based in “Silicon Valley,” we use the area code of the corporate headquarters. The area codes included are 650, 408, 415, 510, 925, 916, 707, 831 and 209.

Disclaimer

The preparation of the information contained herein involves assumptions, compilations and analysis, and there can be no assurance that the information provided herein is error-free. Neither Fenwick & West LLP nor any of its partners, associates, staff or agents shall have any liability for any information contained herein, including any errors or incompleteness. The contents of this report are not intended, and should not be considered, as legal advice or opinion. To the extent that any views on the venture environment or other matters are expressed in this survey, they are the views of the authors only, and not Fenwick & West LLP.

Methodology

When interpreting the Barometer results, please bear in mind that the results reflect the average price increase of companies raising money in a given quarter compared to their prior round of financing. By definition, the Barometer does not include companies that do not do follow-on financings (which may be because they went out of business, were acquired or went public). Accordingly, we believe that our results are most valuable for identifying trends in the venture environment, as opposed to calculating absolute venture returns. Please also note that our calculations are not “dollar weighted,” i.e., all venture rounds are treated equally, regardless of size.

Contact/Sign-Up Information

For additional information about this report, please contact Cynthia Hess at 650.335.7238; chess@fenwick.com or Mark Leahy at 650.335.7682; mleahy@fenwick.com at Fenwick.

To view the most recent survey, please visit fenwick.com/vcsurvey. To be placed on an email list for future editions of this survey, please visit www.fenwick.com/subscribe.

© 2023 Fenwick & West LLP

FENWICK