

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE,
AT CHATTANOOGA

FILED

2011 JAN 31 P 12:49

ROY L. DENTON,
Plaintiff

v.

STEVE RIEVLEY,
in his individual capacity
Defendant

*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*

Case No. 1:07-cv-211

Chief Judge Curtis L. Collier

BY _____ DEPT. CLERK

JURY DEMAND

**PLAINTIFF ROY L. DENTON'S REPLY TO DEFENDANT STEVE RIEVLEY'S
RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR EXPEDITED EVIDENTIARY
HEARING and REQUEST TO GRANT OR DENY PLAINTIFF'S MOTION JNOV**

Comes now, the plaintiff Roy L. Denton, *pro se*, and hereby files his Reply to the defendant Steve Rievley's Responsive pleading. *See Court Doc. 169*

First, the *criminal allegations alleged* are not the basis for the plaintiff's requested hearing. If the defendant's attorney(s) would examine the motion(s) that were filed by the plaintiff, they could easily see that the plaintiff's motion for a hearing is one relative of his previously filed MOTION FOR CONTEMPT.


Second, in this state, as well as every state in the union, as well as a district court, where a person commits perjury on a federal witness stand, such person not only commits a CONTEMPT OF COURT, but also commits a separate criminal offense of PERJURY. A perusal reading of existing law establishes that.

Finally, the plaintiff is entitled to his requested hearing to present his evidence that the defendant committed a fraud upon this court by giving perjured testimony that

was material to the proceedings, and to have the defendant show cause to this court as to “why” he should not be held in contempt of this court for perjury. Moreover, a police officer cloaked with the badge of authority to act under a color of law should be held to the highest, most strictest standards when testifying in this, or any court of law.

Therefore, for all the herein reasons stated, the court should grant plaintiff’s requested hearing and in the interest of the fair administration of justice, the plaintiff’s Motion JNOV should either be Granted or Denied so as to allow this case to come to some sort of finality either in this district court or in the court of appeals.


Respectfully submitted this 27th day of JANUARY, 2011.



Roy L. Denton, *pro se*
120 6th Ave.
Dayton, TN 37321
423-285-5581

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that an exact copy of this document has been served upon all parties of interest in this cause by placing an exact copy of same in the U.S. Mail addressed to such parties, with sufficient postage thereon to carry same to it’s destination, on this 27th day of January, 2011.



Roy L. Denton

Copy mailed to:

Ronald D. Wells, BPR# 011185
Suite 700 Republic Centre
633 Chestnut Street
Chattanooga, TN 37450
Phone:423-756-5051