1 David E. Kendall Robert J. Shaughnessy Laura H. Parsky, State Bar No. 178984 2 WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP 3 725 Twelfth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: (202) 434-5000 4 Telecopy: (202) 434-5029 5 JAN B. NORMAN, State Bar No. 6 117117 15503 Ventura Boulevard 7 Encino. California 91436-3103 Telephone: (818) 995-6600, x250 8 Telecopy: (818) 382-1797 9 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 WESTERN DIVISION 12 13 METRO-GOLDWYN-MAYER STUDIOS INC., COLUMBIA Case No. PICTURES INDUSTRIES, INC 14 DISNEY ENTERPRISES, INC., NEW 15 LINE CINEMA CORPORATION, PARAMOUNT PICTURES CORPORATION, TIME WARNER ENTERTAINMENT COMPANY, 16 L.P., TWENTIETH CENTURY FOX COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND 17 FILM CORPORATION, UNIVERSAL INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR CITY STUDIOS, INC., ARISTA RECORDS, INC., ATLANTIC COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT 18 RECORDING CÓRPORATION 19 ATLANTIC RHINO VENTURES INC. d/b/a RHINO ENTERTAIN-20 MENT COMPANY, BAD BOY 21 RECORDS. CAPITOL RECORDS INC., ELEKTRA ENTERTAINMENT GROUP INC., HOLLYWOOD 22 RECORDS, INC., INTERSCOPE 23 RECORDS, LAFACE RECORDS, LONDON-SIRE RECORDS INC 24 MOTOWN RECORD COMPANY L.P., THE RCA RECORDS LABEL, a 25 unit of BMG MUSIC d/b/a BMG ENTERTAINMENT, SONY MUSIC 26 ENTERTAINMENT INC., UMG RECORDINGS, INC., VIRGIN 27 RECORDS AMÉRICA, INC., WALT DISNEY RECORDS, WARNER 28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

27

28

BROS. RECORDS INC., WEA INTERNATIONAL INC., WEA LATINA INC., and ZOMBA RECORDING CORPORATION,

Plaintiffs,

V.

GROKSTER, LTD., MUSICCITY.COM, INC., MUSICCITY NETWORKS, INC., and CONSUMER EMPOWERMENT BV,

Defendants.

Plaintiffs, through undersigned counsel, allege as follows based on personal knowledge as to allegations concerning themselves and on information and belief as to all other allegations:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiffs, who are owners of copyrights in motion pictures and sound recordings, bring this action to stop Defendants from continuing to encourage, enable, and profit from the massive infringements of Plaintiffs' copyrighted works on the Internet. Dubbed the "next Napster" by the press, Defendants have developed and control a network largely dedicated to the repeated and exploitative unauthorized distribution and reproduction of Plaintiffs' protected works. Defendants provide Internet users with a fully integrated infrastructure that connects them to millions of infringing digital files. Defendants have created a 21st century piratical bazaar where the unlawful exchange of protected materials takes place across the vast expanses of the Internet, and where the materials being exchanged include first-run movies currently playing in theaters and hit songs from virtually every major recording artist. The sheer magnitude of this haven for piracy is overwhelming and undeniable. Defendants themselves cannot disclaim knowledge of the massive infringements facilitated by their network. Indeed, in an effort to create a protected zone for piracy, Defendants have encrypted the

electronic communication within the network and boast of the anonymity it provides to users. The ease of use of Defendants' network and the massive piracy it facilitates have rapidly advanced its popularity with potential users. Defendants have sought to turn their growing user base into profit through advertising and investment dollars. In short, Defendants are building a business based on the daily massive infringement that they enable and encourage. Defendants' conduct has caused and continues to cause Plaintiffs grave and irreparable harm.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This is an action for copyright infringement and arises under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101, *et seq.*, based on acts of copyright infringement committed in the United States. This Court has exclusive jurisdiction of this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a).
- 3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)-(c) and 1400(a) because this is a judicial District in which a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims occurred, and/or this is a judicial District in which Defendants reside or may be found.

THE PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios Inc. is a Delaware corporation, with its principal place of business in California.
- 5. Plaintiff Columbia Pictures Industries, Inc. is a Delaware corporation, with its principal place of business in California.
- 6. Plaintiff Disney Enterprises, Inc. is a Delaware corporation, with its principal place of business in California.
- 7. Plaintiff New Line Cinema Corporation is a Delaware corporation that is qualified to transact business in California.
- 8. Plaintiff Paramount Pictures Corporation is a Delaware corporation, with its principal place of business in California.

- 9. Plaintiff Time Warner Entertainment Company, L.P. is a Delaware limited partnership that is qualified to transact business in California.
- 10. Plaintiff Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation is a Delaware corporation, with its principal place of business in California.
- 11. Plaintiff Universal City Studios, Inc. is a Delaware corporation, with its principal place of business in California.
- 12. Plaintiffs Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios Inc., Columbia Pictures Industries, Inc., Disney Enterprises, Inc., New Line Cinema Corporation, Paramount Pictures Corporation, Time Warner Entertainment Company, L.P., Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation, and Universal City Studios, Inc., will be referred to collectively as the "Studio Plaintiffs."
- 13. The Studio Plaintiffs are U.S. motion picture studios or their affiliates that own the copyright, or exclusive reproduction, adaptation, and/or distribution rights under United States copyright, in certain motion pictures (including but not limited to those listed on Exhibit A), each of which is the subject of a valid Certificate of Copyright Registration from the Register of Copyrights or as to which an application to register such picture under copyright is pending (the "Copyrighted Motion Pictures").
- 14. Plaintiff Arista Records, Inc. is a Delaware corporation that is qualified to transact business in California.
- 15. Plaintiff Atlantic Recording Corporation is a Delaware corporation that is qualified to transact business in California.
- 16. Plaintiff Atlantic Rhino Ventures Inc. d/b/a Rhino Entertainment Company is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in California.
- 17. Plaintiff Bad Boy Records is a joint venture of Arista Good Girls, Inc., a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in New

5

10 11

13

14

12

15 16

17 18

20 21

19

23

22

25

26

24

27 28 York, and Bad Boy Entertainment, a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in New York, and is qualified to transact business in California. 18. Plaintiff Capitol Records, Inc. is a Delaware corporation that is

- qualified to transact business in California.
- 19. Plaintiff Elektra Entertainment Group Inc. is a Delaware corporation that is qualified to transact business in California.
- 20. Plaintiff Hollywood Records, Inc. is a California corporation with its principal place of business in California.
- 21. Plaintiff Interscope Records is a California general partnership with its principal place of business in California.
- Plaintiff LaFace Records is a joint venture between Arista 22. Ventures, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and LaFace Records, Inc., a Georgia corporation, and is qualified to transact business in California.
- 23. Plaintiff London-Sire Records Inc. is a Delaware corporation that is qualified to transact business in California.
- Plaintiff Motown Record Company, L.P. is a California limited 24. partnership that is qualified to transact business in California.
- 25. Plaintiff The RCA Records Label, a unit of BMG Music d/b/a BMG Entertainment, is a New York general partnership that is qualified to transact business in California.
- 26. Plaintiff Sony Music Entertainment Inc. is a Delaware corporation that is qualified to transact business in California.
- Plaintiff UMG Recordings, Inc. is a Delaware corporation that is 27. qualified to transact business in California.
- 28. Plaintiff Virgin Records America, Inc. is a California corporation with its place of business in California.
- 29. Plaintiff Walt Disney Records is a division of ABC, Inc., a New York corporation that is qualified to transact business in California.

5

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

28

27

30. Plaintiff Warner Bros. Records Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in California.

- 31. Plaintiff WEA International Inc. is a Delaware corporation that is qualified to transact business in California.
- Plaintiff WEA Latina Inc. is a Delaware corporation that is 32. qualified to transact business in California.
- 33. Plaintiff Zomba Recording Corporation is a New York corporation that is qualified to transact business in California.
- Plaintiffs Arista Records, Inc., Atlantic Recording Corporation, 34. Atlantic Rhino Ventures Inc. d/b/a Rhino Entertainment Company, Bad Boy Records, Capitol Records, Inc., Elektra Entertainment Group Inc., Hollywood Records, Inc., Interscope Records, LaFace Records, London-Sire Records Inc., Motown Record Company, L.P., The RCA Records Label, a unit of BMG Music d/b/a BMG Entertainment, Sony Music Entertainment Inc., UMG Recordings, Inc., Virgin Records America, Inc., Walt Disney Records, Warner Bros. Records Inc., WEA International Inc., WEA Latina Inc., and Zomba Recording Corporation will be referred to collectively as the "Record Company Plaintiffs." The Studio Plaintiffs and the Record Company Plaintiffs will be referred to collectively as "Plaintiffs."
- The Record Company Plaintiffs are the copyright owners or 35. owners of exclusive rights under United States copyright with respect to certain copyrighted sound recordings embodied in their phonorecords, including but not limited to those listed on Exhibit B, each of which is the subject of a valid Certificate of Copyright Registration from the Register of Copyrights or as to which an application to register such sound recording under copyright is pending (the "Copyrighted Sound Recordings").
- 36. Defendant Grokster, Ltd. is a limited liability company with its principal place of business in Nevis, West Indies.

- 37. Defendant MusicCity.com, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Oregon with its principal place of business in Franklin, Tennessee. Its affiliate, Defendant MusicCity Networks, Inc., is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California with a place of business in Woodland Hills, California. (Defendants MusicCity.com, Inc. and MusicCity Networks, Inc. are collectively referred to herein as "MusicCity.")
- 38. Defendant Consumer Empowerment BV is a limited liability company organized under the laws of The Netherlands with its principal place of business in Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- 39. Each of the Defendants is, and at all times averred herein was, a party to the unlawful activities complained of herein, and has conspired with and/or acted in concert or combination with each of the other Defendants and/or has aided and abetted such other Defendants and/or has acted as an agent for each of the other Defendants with respect to the actions and matters described in this Complaint.
- 40. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because they reside in and/or are doing business in this State and District; they have intentionally engaged in acts targeted at this District that have caused harm in this District; they have purported to enter into agreements with residents of this State and District; and they have purposefully availed themselves of the privilege of conducting activities in this State and District. In addition, many of the wrongful acts complained of herein occurred in this State and District.

BACKGROUND ALLEGATIONS

41. The Internet is a worldwide network of millions of computers and computer networks that enables computer users to communicate with one another through the digital transmission of information from one computer to another. Recent years have seen the development and refinement of technologies for the reproduction and distribution via the Internet of various types of infringing digital files, including files containing motion pictures, music, and other content.

42. Defendants have created and control an extensive and integrated network that uses the Internet to connect people throughout the world and encourages and enables them to pool various types of infringing digital files, including files containing motion pictures, music, and other content, into what is effectively a distributed database of millions of such files and to make available, transmit, and reproduce unlawful copies of those files. Defendants provide their users with the infrastructure, technological means, and support and services to accomplish these infringements. Defendants are involved in and enable this process in a way that assists and facilitates their users' infringements.

- download, proprietary application software that allows their users to connect to and use a closed computer network, controlled by Defendants, to reproduce and distribute infringing digital files. The software was created by Defendant Consumer Empowerment BV, which offers the technology itself and has also licensed it to Defendants MusicCity and Grokster. Defendant Consumer Empowerment BV calls its version "KaZaA Media Desktop." Defendant MusicCity terms its version "Morpheus." Defendant Grokster's version is called simply "Grokster." Although the three versions differ in cosmetic ways, they contain substantially identical code and employ the same protocols. Regardless of which version their user employs, the user is connected to the same network controlled by Defendants. Thus, the Defendants' respective user populations have mutual visibility and access to each other's infringing digital files.
- 44. Each Defendant's application software enables their users to connect their computers to one or more central computer servers controlled and maintained by that Defendant. After the central server registers, identifies, and logs in the user, the user is connected to a "supernode" a powerful computer, with a high-bandwidth connection, operated by another user already connected to Defendants' network. Defendant Grokster operates and controls a computer that

functions as a supernode. Defendants' central servers maintain communications with supernodes and assist in administering Defendants' network.

- 45. After Defendants' user connects to a supernode, that supernode compiles an index of digital files being offered by the user for downloading by others, and it processes search requests submitted by the user. The supernode does likewise for all other users that have been assigned to it. In response to a search request, the supernode reviews its own index of files and, if necessary, the indices maintained by other supernodes, and displays the search results to the user. Defendants provide their users with sophisticated tools to search the network to locate the copyrighted works they want. For example, when a user types in the title of one of the Studio Plaintiffs' motion pictures, the system displays a result list showing all currently available audiovisual files containing the title of the motion picture in their name and purporting to contain all or part of that motion picture. With simple commands, the user can download a file directly from the hard drive of a fellow user who hosts it.
- 46. Communications on Defendants' network between their user's computer and the Defendants' central servers, between the user and a supernode, between supernodes and the central servers, and between and among supernodes are all encrypted. Defendants created and control the means of encryption. The encryption ensures that Defendants' network remains "closed" (*i.e.*, cannot be accessed without Defendants' permission and the use of Defendants' software) and thus under Defendants' control.
- 47. Defendants provide their users with facilities, such as online chat rooms and message boards, in which their users with Defendants' full knowledge regularly make clear that the primary use of Defendants' network is the unlawful distribution and reproduction of copyrighted works.
- 48. Defendants have made their network available throughout the United States and the world. At any given time, an enormous number of infringing

digital files are available for downloading through the Defendants' network. The overwhelming majority of the digital files are being distributed and reproduced in violation of the copyright law. The infringing digital files available on and through Defendants' network include illegal copies of hit sound recordings as well as recently released motion pictures, including many that are still playing in theaters and are not yet legitimately available in any other media format.

49. Defendants are capable of controlling the activities of their users and the infringing digital files available through their network. Defendants are also aware of the illegal nature of their users' activities, and actively participate in, facilitate, materially contribute to, and encourage those activities, and profit from those activities by, *inter alia*, drawing users to their network and service, thereby attracting advertising revenues and otherwise adding value to Defendants' businesses.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(By All Plaintiffs Against All Defendants, for Copyright Infringement Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 501, et seq.)

- 50. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 49 as if fully set forth herein.
- 51. A tremendous amount of copyright infringement takes place on and through Defendants' network every day. These infringements occur, *inter alia*, whenever one of their users, without authorization of the copyright owner, uses Defendants' network to download a copyrighted content file from another user's computer or makes copyrighted content files available for such unlawful downloading. Such acts constitute unauthorized reproduction and distribution and result in unauthorized copies. Defendants participate in, facilitate, materially contribute to, and encourage these infringements.
- 52. At all relevant times, Defendants have engaged and continue to engage in the business of knowingly and systematically participating in, facilitating, materially contributing to, and encouraging the above-described unauthorized

reproductions and/or distributions of the Copyrighted Motion Pictures and Copyrighted Sound Recordings and thus the infringement of Plaintiffs' copyrights and exclusive rights under copyright in the Copyrighted Motion Pictures and Copyrighted Sound Recordings. Defendants have actual and constructive knowledge of the infringements committed on and through their network.

- 53. At all relevant times, Defendants have derived substantial financial benefit from the infringement of Plaintiffs' copyrights. Defendants display advertisements on their network and charge fees for such advertising. The amount of those fees is directly related to the number of users, which depends directly on Defendants' having a wide range and selection of pirated motion pictures, sound recordings, and other works. The availability of such content attracts new users to Defendants' network, which adds, in turn, to the inventory of pirated content. In addition, Defendants are undertaking a purposeful strategy to make their network and their respective businesses more attractive to existing and potential investors and advertisers by increasing the number of their users and the volume of unauthorized copyrighted motion pictures and sound recordings available for unlawful reproduction and distribution.
- 54. At all relevant times, Defendants have had the right and ability to supervise and/or control the infringing conduct of their users.
- 55. Defendants, through (a) their active participation in the unauthorized reproduction and/or digital distribution of unauthorized copies of Plaintiffs' copyrighted works, (b) their provision of the means and facilities for unauthorized reproduction and distribution, (c) their encouragement of their users to engage in these unauthorized acts and their material contribution to their users' acts, (d) their control over the means and facilities by which such unauthorized reproductions and distributions are effected, and (e) the substantial, direct financial benefits that Defendants derive from all of the aforesaid acts, all with full knowledge of their illegal consequences, are committing, and/or are contributorily and vicariously

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

18 19

17

20 21

> 23 24

22

25

26

27

28

liable for, a vast number of copyright infringements, including infringements of the Copyrighted Motion Pictures and Copyrighted Sound Recordings.

- The infringement of each Plaintiff's rights in and to each of the 56. Copyrighted Motion Pictures and Copyrighted Sound Recordings constitutes a separate and distinct act of infringement.
- 57. The foregoing acts of infringement by Defendants have been willful, intentional, and purposeful, in disregard of and with indifference to Plaintiffs' rights.
- As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' infringement of 58. Plaintiffs' copyrights and exclusive rights under copyright, Plaintiffs are entitled to damages as well as Defendants' profits pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(b) for each infringement.
- 59. Alternatively, Plaintiffs are entitled to the maximum statutory damages, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c), in the amount of \$150,000 for all individual infringements involved in the action with respect to any one work for which any one infringer is liable individually, or for which any two or more infringers are liable jointly and severally, or for such other amount as may be proper pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c).
- Plaintiffs further are entitled to their attorneys' fees and full 60. costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505.
- 61. Defendants' conduct threatens to cause, and is causing, and unless enjoined and restrained by this Court will continue to cause, Plaintiffs great and irreparable injury that cannot fully be compensated for or measured in money. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502, Plaintiffs are entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctions prohibiting further infringements of their copyrights and exclusive rights under copyright.
- WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants as follows:

- 1. For Defendants' profits and for damages in such amount as may be found, or alternatively, for maximum statutory damages of not less than \$150,000 for all individual copyright infringements involved in the action with respect to any one work for which any one infringer is liable individually, or for which any two or more infringers are liable jointly and severally, or for such other amount as may be proper pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c).
- 2. For a preliminary and a permanent injunction enjoining Defendants and Defendants' agents, servants, employees, officers, attorneys, successors, licensees, partners, and assigns, and all persons acting in concert or participation with each or any of them, from: (a) directly or indirectly infringing in any manner any of Plaintiffs' respective copyrights or other exclusive rights (whether now in existence or hereafter created), including without limitation, copyrights or exclusive rights under copyright in the Copyrighted Motion Pictures listed on Exhibit A and the Copyrighted Sound Recordings listed on Exhibit B, and (b) causing, contributing to, enabling, facilitating, or participating in the infringement of any of Plaintiffs' respective copyrights or other exclusive rights (whether now in existence or hereafter created), including without limitation, copyrights or exclusive rights under copyright in the Copyrighted Motion Pictures listed on Exhibit A and the Copyrighted Sound Recordings listed on Exhibit B.
 - 3. For prejudgment interest according to law.
- 4. For Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees, costs, and disbursements in this action.
- 5. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

		Document hosted at JD 30 F
1		http://www.jdsupra.com/post/documentViewer.aspx?fid=91f70069-f25c-4759-977c-c3898066
2		Respectfully submitted,
3		David E. Kendall
4		Robert J. Shaughnessy Laura H. Parksy, State Bar No. 178984 WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
5		WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP 725 Twelfth Street, N.W.
6		725 Twelfth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: (202) 434-5000 Telecopy: (202 434-5029
7		
8		JAN B. NORMAN, State Bar No. 117117 15503 Ventura Boulevard Encino, California 91436-3103
9		JAN B. NORMAN, State Bar No. 117117 15503 Ventura Boulevard Encino, California 91436-3103 Telephone: (818) 995-6600 ext. 250 Telecopy: (818) 382-1797
10		
11		By JAN B. NORMAN
12		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
13 14		
15	DATED: October 2, 2001	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Complaint	14