SURPEME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF KINGS

-----X

XXXXXXXXXX AND XXXXXXXXXX,

NOTICE OF CROSS-MOTION

Plaintiffs

-against-

INDEX NO. XXXXX/XX

XXXXXX and XXXXXXX,

Justice XXXXXXXXXX

Defendants.

SIRS:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that upon the annexed affirmation of JEENA R. BELIL, dated XXXXXXX 4, XXXX, and upon all exhibits attached hereto, the undersigned will cross move this Court, before Honorable Justice XXXXXXXXXXXX, at IAS Part 24, to be held at the Courthouse located at 360 Adams Street, Brooklyn, New York, on the 18th day of XXXXXXXX, XXXX, at 9:30 o'clock in the forenoon of that day or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, for an Order pursuant to Section 3025 of the C.P.L.R., amending the answer of the Defendants XXXXXXXXXXXX and XXXXXXXXXXXXX to include a counterclaim against the Plaintiff, XXXXXXXXXX, and for such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper herein.

Dated: XXXXXXXXXXX, New York XXXXXXX 4, XXXX

Yours, etc.,

TO: XXXXXXXXXXXXXX
Attorneys for Plaintiff
XXXXXXXXXX
on the Counterclaim
Office & P. O. Address
XXX XXXXXXXXX

P. O. Box XXX XXXXX, New York XXXXX

XXXXXXXX Attorneys for Plaintiffs XXXXXXX, Suite XXX New York, New York XXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXX
Attorney for Defendant
XXXXXXXXXX
XXX XXXXXXX
New York, New York XXXXX

SURPEME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF KINGSX	
XXXXXXXXX AND XXXXXXXXX,	AFFIRMATION IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION AND IN SUPPORT
Plaintiffs,	OF CROSS-MOTION
-against-	INDEX NO. XXXX/XX
XXXXXXX, XXXXXXXXXX AND XXXXXXXXXX,	Justice XXXXXXXXXX
Defendants.	

JEENA R. BELIL, ESQ., an attorney duly admitted to practice law before the Courts of the State of New York, alleges the following under penalty of perjury:

- 1. I am associated with the law firm of XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX, attorneys for the Defendants, XXXXXXX and XXXXXXX, and as such submit this affirmation in support of the herein cross motion to amend the above stated Defendants' Answer to include a counterclaim and in opposition to the Plaintiff on the counterclaim attorney's motion to grant summary judgment by dismissing the co-defendant XXXXXXXX'S counterclaim and for such further and other relief as this Court deems just and proper under the circumstances.
 - 2. I base my knowledge upon the contents of a file maintained by my office.

OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

- 3. The Defendants herein join with the co-defendant in opposing the motion of Plaintiff on the counterclaim, XXXXXXXXX
- 4. That based upon the affidavit of XXXXXX, (a copy of which is annexed hereto as Exhibit "A") there <u>clearly</u> is a triable issue of fact which requires a trial for factual resolution. That all of the arguments raised in XXXXXXX affirmation are adopted by this affirmant. Furthermore, there has not been necessary discovery completed.
- 5. Accordingly, the Defendants herein respectfully request that the motion for summary judgment of the Plaintiff on the counterclaim be denied in its entirety.

SUPPORT OF CROSS-MOTION ALLOWING ANSWER TO BE AMENDED TO ALLOW COUNTERCLAIM

LONG ISLAND ATTORNEY JEENA R. BELIL

6. That this office interposed an answer, cross-claim, demand for bill of particulars and various discovery

dated May 29, XXXX on June 2, XXXX. Annexed hereto as Exhibit "B" is a copy of those documents.

7. A review of the police report (a copy of which is annexed hereto as Exhibit "C") indicated the Plaintiff-

driver, XXXXXXXXX, was standing still at a red light. There being no basis, even in good faith, for a

counterclaim based on the police report, this office did not serve a counterclaim which could have been deemed

frivolous.

8. That your affirmant, now upon recent notice of co-defendant, XXXXXX's, version of the accident in his

affidavit of merit, moves this Court to allow amendment of the Defendants, XXXXXXX and XXXXXXX, to

include a counterclaim against the Plaintiff-operator, XXXXXXXXXXXX. That the rule in CPLR Section 3025(b)

relating to amending pleadings "should be liberally construed to allow for the amendment, in the abscence of laches,

undue prejudice and unfair advantage". Leutloff v. Leutloff, 47 Misc.2d 458, 262 NYS2d 736 (4th Dept., 1965).

9. That there has been no laches in this matter, that, to the contrary, the herein Defendants have moved

promptly upon notice of XXXXXXX's affidavit of merit which raised a factual issue regarding the Plaintiff's

comparative negligence. That in a similar case where the Defendant-movant was moving for the same relief

requested in the case at bar, the motion was denied based upon the Defendant's knowledge of the facts at the

institution of suit and mailing the motion on the eve of trial three years after commencement of the action. L.B.

Foster v. Terry Contracting, Inc., 25 A.D.2d 721, 268 NYS2d 618 (1st Dept. 1966). That the case at bar differs

drastically in that the facts recently noticed in XXXXXXXX's affidavit were not known at the inception of this

action. Secondly, that to have instituted a counterclaim at that stage with the information available in the police

report could have subjected such a counterclaim to a motion to dismiss based on frivolousness. Thirdly, that there is

no prejudice to the Plaintiff who was already on notice as to the counterclaim instituted by the co-defendant.

10. That the herein Defendants annex hereto as Exhibit "D" a proposed counterclaim.

WHEREFORE, the Defendants, XXXXXXXXXXXX and XXXXXXXXXXX, respectfully request this

Honorable Court to grant an order pursuant to CPLR 3025(b) allowing the herein Defendants to amend their Answer

to include a counterclaim against the Plaintiff-operator, XXXXXXXXX, and to deny the Plaintiffs' motion for

summary judgment dismissing the counterclaim brought by the Defendant, XXXXXXX, and for such other and

further relief as this Court may deem just and proper under the circumstances.

Dated: XXXXXXXXXXX, New York

XX	XX	\mathbf{X}	XX	\mathbf{X}	4	XX	73	\mathcal{O}
2 X Z	1/1	\sim \sim	1/1	~ 1	┰.	/1/	\ / '	\ / '

JEENA R. BELIL, ESQ.