



June 23, 2010



Air Board Cap and Trade Workshops Highlight More Details

Author: [Jon Costantino](#)

Earlier this week the [California Air Resources Board \(CARB\)](#) held three workshops in their continued march toward adoption of a [Cap and Trade \(CT\)](#) program this year. These efforts are being driven by [The California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006](#), commonly known as [AB 32](#), which requires CARB to adopt regulations that reduce California's greenhouse gas emissions back to 1990 levels by 2020.

The blueprint for accomplishing this fundamental shift is laid out in their adopted [Scoping Plan](#) (Plan). The Plan sets up Cap and Trade as a major policy component of reaching the goal.

The topics of the three half-day Sacramento workshops all relate to overall cost but each deals with a very technical, yet vitally important, sub-issue within the CT program itself. Though many details were provided, many questions were still left unanswered.

Workshop 1 - [Cost Containment](#)

The focus of this workshop was on policy mechanisms that could be placed within a CT program so that participating industries would not be subjected to "unacceptably high costs." These mechanisms are based in economic theory and rely on market principles. The goal of cost containment is being balanced against the environmental integrity of the program itself. Setting a minimum/maximum price for carbon credits is one example of a policy option.

Workshop 2 - [Offsets and Linkage](#)

Offsets are another way to reduce the cost of a CT program by allowing the use of certified additional reductions from places and emissions sources not covered under the CT program itself. The

Newsletter Editors

[Craig S. Bloomgarden](#)
Partner
cbloomgarden@manatt.com
310.312.4240

[Ted Wolff](#)
Partner
twolff@manatt.com
212.790.4575

Our Practice

The Energy, Environment & Natural Resources lawyers at Manatt have one of our firm's fastest-growing practices. That growth reflects our depth of experience and skill in dealing with the interaction of increasingly complex environmental and energy regulations that confront every company doing business in the United States. Our attorneys blend a sophisticated legal understanding with a practical business perspective to enhance the competitive flexibility of all our clients ...[more](#)

[Practice Group Overview](#)
[Practice Group Members](#)

Info & Resources

[Subscribe](#)
[Unsubscribe](#)
[Newsletter Disclaimer](#)
[Manatt.com](#)

questions surrounding this policy choice deal with how to verify the validity of the reduction and whether or not to quantitatively and/or geographically limit inclusion of these reductions in the program. An additional question on the agenda is whether or not to link up with other greenhouse gas programs around the world.

Workshop 3 - Compliance Offset Quantification Methodologies (Protocols)

The final CT workshop of the week dealt with how to complete the reduction accounting needed to secure offsets. These accounting schemes are officially called “Quantification Methodologies” but are commonly known as credit protocols. CARB has previously approved protocols for use with voluntary reductions, but it has to readopt them if they are to be used in the more rigorous CT program. Four protocols were up for discussion: Forestry, Livestock, Urban Forest, and Ozone Depleting Substances.

CARB is sailing in rough waters these days with a new administration looming and a ballot initiative that would essentially stop the core climate programs of CT, Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS) and the Renewable Electricity Standard (RES), and a possible federal preemption. In addition, the Legislature is working to expand the existing 20% Renewable Portfolio Standard for electricity to 33%, thereby superseding ARB’s regulatory work on the RES. It is under this scrutiny that these workshops and the policy details were presented.

Notwithstanding these obstacles, the regulatory schedule for CARB has shown no signs of slowing down. It is their intention to adopt these programs prior to the November election, all the while continuing to implement their previously adopted [regulations](#). Therefore, now is the time to engage in a proactive strategy to protect, and even enhance, your bottom line. The potential uncertainty surrounding climate change regulations is even more reason to work with experts that are following these developments on a daily basis.

[back to top](#)

For additional information on this issue, contact:

[Jon Costantino](#) Jon Costantino manages environmental issues for clients in both political and regulatory environments. He is a seventeen-year legislative and regulatory professional specializing

in the areas of climate change and air pollution control. He has developed policy and implemented programs with billion-dollar implications.

ATTORNEY ADVERTISING pursuant to New York DR 2-101(f)

Albany | Los Angeles | New York | Orange County | Palo Alto | Sacramento | San Francisco | Washington, D.C.

© 2010 Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP. All rights reserved.