http://www.idsupra.com/post/document\/iower.aspy?fid=a8af4831-30a5-444c-bc7a-d813ad03b405

			Fall 2008 Electronic D	iscovery Survey Co	mparisc	on					
Survey: Thirteenth Annual AMLAW Tech Survey(1) Audience: AMLAW 200 Firms Respondants: 109 What electronic evidence data discovery vendors does the firm use?				Survey: Sixth Annual Socha Gelbmann Electronic Discovery Survey (2) Audience: Vendors, Law Firms, Corporations Respondants: 155 107 Vendors, 29 Law Firms, 19 Corporations Top overall service providers? Top overall software providers?							
0%	62%	62%	Autonomy Zantaz	0	1	1	Autonomy Zantaz	0	1	6	
10%	48%	38%	Fios Inc.	5	1	6	Clearwell Systems, Inc.	5	1	6	
20%	45%	25%	FTI Consulting	0	1	1	FTI Consulting	0	1	1	
10%	34%	24%	Kroll Ontrack Inc.	0	1	1	Guidance Software	0	1	1	
11%	27%	16%	Lexis Nexis	0	1	1	Lexis Nexis	0	1	1	
9%	26%	17%	Encore Discovery Solutions	0	6	6	Attenex Corp.	-5	6	1	
7%	24%	17%	Epiq Systems Inc.	15	6	21	CT Summation Inc.	-5	6	1	
2%	24%	22%	Huron Consulting Group Inc.	15	6	21	Epiq Systems	5	6	11	
0%	23%	23%	KPMG	0	6	6	iConect Development	5	6	11	
-4%	19%	23%	Renew Data Corp.	0	6	6	Symantec Corp.	5	6	11	
-1%	17%	18%	Electronic Evidence Discovery In	с5	11	6	AccessData Corp.	0	11	11	
3%	17%	14%	Ernst & Young	-5	11	6	Equivio	0	11	11	
1%	17%	16%	Merrill Corp.	10	11	21	Kazeon Systems	0	11	11	
-1%	17%	18%	ONSITE3	0	11	11	Kroll Ontrack Inc.	0	11	11	
-10%	16%	26%	Stratify Inc.	0	11	11	MetaLincs	0	11	11	
-8%	16%	24%	CaseCentral Inc.	5	16	21					
4%	14%	10%	Computer Forensic Services Inc.	5	16	21					
-8%	13%	21%	Daticon, Inc.	5	16	21					
7%	12%	5%	Discovery Mining Inc.	5	16	21					
3%	11%	8%	eMag Solutions	-5	16	11					
4%	10%	6%	Guidance Software	15	16	1					
3%	8%	5%	IE Discovery Inc.	-5	16	11					
1%	8%	7%	Pitney Bowes	5	16	21					
2%	6%	4%	Spi	-5	16	11					
-2%	6%	8%	TechLaw Solutions	5	16	21					
-1%	6%	7%									
-5%	5%	10%									
-3%	1%	4%									
19%	40%	21%									
	e: AMLAW 200 Firms 5: 109 overy vendors does the fi Change (%) 07-08 0% 10% 20% 10% 11% 9% 7% 2% 0% -4% -1% 3% 1% -100 -8% 4% -8% 7% 3% 4% -8% 7% 3% 4% -8% 7% 3% 4% -8% 7% 3% 4% -8% -7% 3% 4% -8% -7% 3% 4% -8% -8% -7% 3% 4% -8% -7% 3% 4% -8% -7% 3% 4% -8% -7% 3% 4% -8% -7% 3% 4% -8% -7% 3% 4% -8% -7% -10% -8% -8% -7% -10% -8% -8% -7% -10% -8% -8% -7% -10% -8% -8% -7% -10% -8% -8% -7% -10% -8% -8% -7% -10% -8% -8% -7% -10% -8% -8% -7% -10% -8% -8% -7% -10% -8% -8% -7% -8% -8% -7% -8% -8	2: AMLAW 200 Firms 3: 109 Change (%) 07-08 2008 0% 62% 10% 48% 20% 45% 10% 34% 11% 27% 9% 26% 7% 24% 2% 24% 0% 23% -1% 17% 1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 17% -1% 18% -2% 6% -2% 6% -2% 6% -2% 6% -5% 5% -5% 5% -3% 1%	## AMLAW 200 Firms ## 109 **Notery vendors does the firm use?** Change (%) 07-08	## Thirteenth Annual AMLAW Tech Survey(1) ## AMLAW 200 Firms ## 109 Overy vendors does the firm use?	**Thirteenth Annual AMLAW Tech Survey(1) **AMLAW 200 Firms **109 **Overy Vendors does the firm use? Change (%) 07-08	** Thirteenth Annual AMLAW Tech Survey(1) ** AMLAW 200 Firms ** 109 ** Top overall service providers?* Change (%) 07-08 2008 2007 Organization Change 07-08 2008* 0% 62% 62% Autonomy Zantaz 0 1 10% 48% 38% Fios Inc. 5 1 20% 45% 25% FTI Consulting 0 1 10% 34% 24% Kroll Ontrack Inc. 0 1 11% 27% 16% Lexis Nexis 0 0 1 11% 27% 16% Encore Discovery Solutions 0 6 7% 24% 17% Encore Discovery Solutions 0 6 7% 24% 17% Encore Discovery Solutions 0 6 0% 23% 23% KPMG 0 0 6 -4% 19% 23% Renew Data Corp. 0 6 -1% 17% 18% Electronic Evidence Discovery Inc5 11 18% 17% 16% Merrill Corp. 10 11 19% 17% 18% ONSITE3 0 11 -10% 16% 24% CaseCentral Inc. 5 16 7% 12% 5% Discovery Mining Inc. 5 16 4% 14% 10% Computer Forensic Services Inc. 5 16 7% 12% 5% Discovery Mining Inc. 5 16 4% 14% 10% God Software 15 16 4% 14% 10% God Software 15 16 4% 14% 10% God God Software 15 16 4% 14% 10% God	*** AMIAW 200 Firms *** *** 109** *** 109** *** *** *** *** *** *** ***	Thirteenth Annual AMLAW Tech Survey(1) ** AMLAW 200 Firms ** 109 ** Change (%) 07-08 ** C	Thirteenth Annual AMLAW Tech Survey(1) Survey: Sixth Annual Socha Gelbmann Electronic Discovery Survey (2) Audience: Vendors, Law Firms, Corporations	Charge Continue Continue Charge Continue Continue Charge Charge	

Competitive Working Papers - 10/28/2008 Orange Legal Technologies

Source: Am Law Tech 2008: IT in the Balance. http://www.law.com/jsp/legaltechnology/pubArticleLT.jsp?id=1202425136351, October 2008.

Source: Sixth Annual Socha Gelbmann Electronic Discovery Survey. http://www.lawtechnews.com/r5/showkiosk.asp?listing_id=2117297, August 2008.

Source: Fifth Annual Socha Gelbmann Electronic Discovery Survey. http://www.sochaconsulting.com/2007surveyresults.php, August 2007.

Ranked 1-25 with 1-5, 6-10, 11-15, and 16-25 being ranked in alphabetical order. For purposes of comparison - this document presents each group of as "tied" at highest tier number.

* Ranked 1-20 with 1-5, 6-10, and 11-20 being ranked in alphabetical order. For purposes of comparison - this document presents each group of as "tied" at highest tier number. All non ranked assigned 21 for comparison purposes.

** Ranked 1-15 with 1-5, 6-10, and 11-15 being ranked in alphabetical order. For purposes of comparison - this document presents each group of as "tied" at highest tier number.

*** Ranked 1-10 with 1-5, and 6-10 being ranked in alphabetical order. For purposes of comparison - this document presents each group of as "tied" at highest tier number. All non ranked assigned 11 for comparison purposes.

^{**} Ranked As 1 In Software Breakout (2008)