

## **Class Action Waiver For Employment? Not So Fast, Says the NLRB**

By Daniel Schwartz on January 10th, 2012

Last year, I talked a lot about a U.S. Supreme Court case that seemed to open the door for employers to use mandatory arbitration agreements that precluded employees from using class actions to sue their employers.

But I noted at the time that this was a quickly shifting landscape.

A few days ago, the NLRB issued a decision that puts this issue in the “up for grabs” category. In D.R. Horton, Inc., concluded that these type of agreements “unlawfully restrict[] employees’ Section 7 right to engage in concerted action for mutual aid or protection.” It concluded that the employer “violated Section 8(a)(1) by requiring employees to waive their right to collectively pursue employment-related claims in all forums, arbitral and judicial.”

(For additional analysis of this issue from other blogs, check out the following links [here](#), [here](#) and [here](#).)

Employers shouldn’t rip up those agreements just yet, though. First, this decision likely applies only to those who work for private companies and can organize. Second, the decision is likely going to be appealed to the federal Courts of Appeals and then, if necessary, the U. S. Supreme Court.

And there is also the fact that NLRB precedent sometime changes with the political cycles. But for now, employers should understand the possible limits of their arbitration agreements in light of this new important decision.

This blog/web site is made available by the host/publisher for educational purposes only as well as to give you general information and a general understanding of the law. It is not intended to provide specific legal advice to your individual circumstances or legal questions. You acknowledge that neither your reading of, nor posting on, this blog site establishes an attorney-client relationship between you and the blog/web site host or the law firm, or any of the attorneys with whom, the host is affiliated. This blog/web site should not be used as a substitute for seeking competent legal advice from a licensed professional attorney in your state. Readers of this information should not act upon any information contained on this website without seeking professional counsel. The transmission of confidential information via Internet email is highly discouraged. Per a June 11, 2007 opinion of Connecticut’s Statewide Grievance Committee, legal blogs/websites, such as this one, may be deemed an “advertisement” under applicable rules and regulations of Connecticut, and/or the rules and regulations of other jurisdictions.