

# Morrison & Foerster Client Alert

May 11, 2015

## MoFo Privacy Minute

### France: Lower House of Parliament Adopts Controversial Draft Law on Surveillance

By Sotirios Petrovas

The mood is shifting in the debate between privacy and security as France prepares to enact a controversial terrorism surveillance law critics have nicknamed the “French Patriot Act.”

In the wake of the revelations about the NSA’s activities and CIA operations conducted in Europe, EU countries have been quite vocal in condemning U.S. Government’s practices, despite indications that the same type of surveillance may already be taking place back home. In April 2014, the decision of the European Court of Justice to annul directive 2006/24/EC (joined Cases C-293/12 and C-594/12), long criticized for interfering with fundamental rights and the respect for private life, seemed to indicate that online freedom and privacy were finally gaining ground – until last week, when France took a long stride the opposite way.

On Monday May 5, 2015, the lower house of Parliament, the *Assemblée Nationale*, adopted a draft surveillance law with an overwhelming majority of 438 in favor and 86 against (42 abstentions), with the support of both larger parties, the Socialist party (at power) and the center-right UMP.

The law aims at providing France with a comprehensive legal framework around the activities of its intelligence services, but privacy advocates fear that it may legalize highly intrusive surveillance without sufficient democratic guarantees. The following are some key provisions of the law:

- Intelligence services will be able to place cameras and recording devices in individuals’ homes and geolocation sensors on their cars and intercept communications without prior authorization from a court (Articles 2 & 3).
- Electronic communication and Internet service providers will have to allow intelligence services to install electronic “black-boxes” to collect metadata from virtually all Internet users in France. The metadata will then be analyzed for suspicious patterns of behavior (Article 6). Although the data would be anonymous, it will be possible for intelligence services to investigate deeper and to acquire the actual identities of users.
- France’s financial intelligence cell “TracFin” will be able to collect data pertaining to the customers of transportation companies and travel agencies (Article 9).

#### UNITED STATES

##### California

|                     |                |
|---------------------|----------------|
| Tiffany Cheung      | (415) 268-6848 |
| Kimberly R. Gosling | (858) 314-5478 |
| Rebekah Kaufman     | (415) 268-6148 |
| Christine E. Lyon   | (650) 813-5770 |
| David F. McDowell   | (213) 892-5383 |
| Purvi G. Patel      | (213) 892-5296 |
| Andrew Serwin       | (858) 720-5134 |
| Stephanie Sharron   | (650) 813-4018 |
| William L. Stern    | (415) 268-7637 |
| Nancy R. Thomas     | (213) 892-5561 |
| David M. Walsh      | (213) 892-5262 |

##### New York

|                         |                |
|-------------------------|----------------|
| Cindy Abramson          | (212) 336-4178 |
| Melissa Crespo          | (212) 336-4354 |
| John F. Delaney         | (212) 468-8040 |
| Michael B. Miller       | (212) 468-8009 |
| Sotirios Petrovas       | (212) 336-4377 |
| Suhna N. Pierce         | (212) 336-4150 |
| Marian Waldmann Agarwal | (212) 336-4230 |
| Miriam H. Wugmeister    | (212) 506-7213 |

##### Washington, D.C.

|                     |                |
|---------------------|----------------|
| Patrick Bernhardt   | (202) 887-8771 |
| L. Richard Fischer  | (202) 887-1566 |
| Adam J. Fleisher    | (202) 887-8781 |
| Libby J. Greismann  | (202) 778-1607 |
| Julie O'Neill       | (202) 887-8764 |
| Cynthia J. Rich     | (202) 778-1652 |
| Nathan David Taylor | (202) 778-1644 |

#### EUROPE

##### Berlin

|                   |                  |
|-------------------|------------------|
| Hanno Timmer      | 49 30 72622-1346 |
| Lokke Moerel      | 44 20 79204054   |
| Alex van der Wolk | 44 20 79204054   |

##### Brussels

|                     |               |
|---------------------|---------------|
| Karin Retzer        | 32 2 340 7364 |
| Alja Poler De Zwart | 32 2 340 7360 |

##### London

|              |                |
|--------------|----------------|
| Susan McLean | 44 20 79204045 |
|--------------|----------------|

#### ASIA

##### Beijing

|                  |                 |
|------------------|-----------------|
| Paul D. McKenzie | 86 10 5909 3366 |
|------------------|-----------------|

##### Hong Kong

|                  |               |
|------------------|---------------|
| Gordon A. Milner | 852 2585 0808 |
|------------------|---------------|

##### Singapore

|                   |              |
|-------------------|--------------|
| Daniel P. Levison | 65 6922 2041 |
|-------------------|--------------|

##### Tokyo

|                   |                |
|-------------------|----------------|
| Toshihiro So      | 81 3 3214 6568 |
| Yukihiro Terazawa | 81 3 3214 6585 |

## Client Alert

---

One of the main points of criticism of the law is that it lacks routine judicial review. Requests to begin surveillance will have to go through a new independent administrative authority, the “CNCTR” (National Commission for the Control of Intelligence Techniques), partly composed of judges and Members of Parliament. The CNCTR, however, will merely have a consultative function, and can be overridden by the Prime Minister. Also, in situations of emergency, the government may act without the CNCTR’s opinion. The CNCTR and individuals who are targets of surveillance activities will have the ability to lodge complaints with the *Conseil d’Etat*, the Supreme Court of the administrative jurisdictional order in France, but it is unclear how this may play out in practice.

Opponents consider the draft law as a way for France to keep up with the international arms’ race in terms of espionage and surveillance powers, to the detriment of civil liberties and the rule of law. Prime Minister Valls fiercely defended the bill, saying that it is indispensable in light of new threats and stressing that the current legal framework on wiretapping, established in 1991, is outdated. The law was proposed before the January 2015 attacks at the offices of satirical newspaper Charlie Hebdo and a kosher supermarket in Paris, but its adoption has become more urgent in the wake of ongoing terrorist threats by jihadist groups and even the Islamic State (ISIS).

To become law, the upper house of Parliament, the Senate, must examine and adopt the draft, and the President of the Republic must sign it into law. Because the draft law has the backing of both larger parties, and the Senate is traditionally and structurally more conservative than the *Assemblée Nationale*, we expect that the draft law will be enacted without any obstacles.

The draft law as adopted by the *Assemblée Nationale* is available in French at:  
<http://www.assemblee-nationale.fr/14/ta/ta0511.asp>

An overview of the legislative procedure leading to the draft law is available in French at:  
<http://www.assemblee-nationale.fr/14/dossiers/renseignement.asp>

### About Morrison & Foerster:

We are Morrison & Foerster — a global firm of exceptional credentials. Our clients include some of the largest financial institutions, investment banks, Fortune 100, technology and life science companies. We’ve been included on *The American Lawyer’s A-List* for 11 straight years, and *Fortune* named us one of the “100 Best Companies to Work For.” Our lawyers are committed to achieving innovative and business-minded results for our clients, while preserving the differences that make us stronger. This is MoFo. Visit us at [www.mofo.com](http://www.mofo.com).

Morrison & Foerster has a world-class privacy and data security practice that is cross-disciplinary and spans our global offices. With more than 60 lawyers actively counseling, litigating, and representing clients before regulators around the world on privacy and security of information issues, we have been recognized by *Chambers* and *Legal 500* as having one of the best domestic and global practices in this area.

For more information about our people and services and the resources we offer such as our treatise setting out the U.S. and international legal landscape related to workplace privacy and data security, "[\*Global Employee Privacy and Data Security Law\*](#)," or our free online Privacy Library, please visit our [practice page](#) and follow us on Twitter [@MoFoPrivacy](#).

*Because of the generality of this update, the information provided herein may not be applicable in all situations and should not be acted upon without specific legal advice based on particular situations. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome.*