# LATHAM&WATKINS

# Client Alert

Latham & Watkins Financial Regulatory Practice

24 June 2020 | Number 2769

## FCA Consults on Post-Brexit Prudential Regime for Investment Firms

*Important changes ahead for investment firms as the FCA's Discussion Paper (DP20/2) indicates that the UK may depart from EU capital rules.* 

#### **Key Points:**

- FCA consults on a UK capital regime that in some respects breaks ranks with the EU.
- Many firms will face changes to capital requirements and risk processes.
- Remuneration rules will change for some, with a loss of flexibility and potentially lower exemption thresholds.

At the end of the Brexit transition period (currently scheduled to end in December 2020), the UK will be free to follow, or depart from, future EU legislation. One important legislative proposal, the Investment Firm Directive and the Investment Firm Regulation (IFD/R) has been completed at an EU level, but will not come into force until 26 June 2021 (i.e. after the end of the transition period). Therefore, although (as the FCA's <u>Discussion Paper</u> notes) the UK was "heavily involved in policy discussions" on the European regime, the UK needs to decide how much of the regime to implement into law. In some important regards, highlighted in this Client Alert, the UK is consulting on departing significantly from the proposed European regime.

## Types of investment firms

There will be four types of investment firm:

- Systemically important firms, primarily credit institutions, who will remain subject to the Capital Requirements Directive/Regulation (CRD/R)
- Certain large firms (total value of consolidated assets of the firm is above EUR 15 billion, or in certain circumstances EUR 5 billion), which will also primarily be subject to prudential requirements in the CRD/R
- All investment firms that are not Small Non-Interconnected firms (SNIs), which will be subject to the IFD/R in full
- SNIs, who will be subject to a reduced IFD/R regime

Latham & Watkins operates worldwide as a limited liability partnership organized under the laws of the State of Delaware (USA) with affiliated limited liability partnerships conducting the practice in France, Hong Kong, Italy, Singapore, and the United Kingdom and as an affiliated partnership conducting the practice in Japan. Latham & Watkins operates in South Korea as a Foreign Legal Consultant Office. Latham & Watkins works in cooperation with the Law Office of Salman M. Al-Sudairi in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Under New York's Code of Professional Responsibility, portions of this communication contain attorney advertising. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. Results depend upon a variety of factors unique to each representation. Please direct all inquiries regarding our conduct under New York's Disciplinary Rules to Latham & Watkins. LIP, 885 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10022-4834, Phone: +1.212.906.1200. © Copyright 2020 Latham & Watkins. All Rights Reserved.

Only the very largest investment banks will therefore be subject to the CRD/R. For most investment banks, the critical threshold will therefore be to understand whether they are an SNI or not. There are a number of thresholds, the key thresholds (which may apply on a firm or combined group basis) are:

- Assets under management (AUM) below EUR 1.2 billion
- Client orders handled in cash trades of below EUR 100 million a day
- Client orders handled in derivatives below EUR 1 billion a day
- Not holding client monies or assets
- On and off balance sheet total assets below EUR 100 million
- Total annual gross revenue from investment services and activities below EUR 30 million

Firms that exceed a threshold criteria are not SNIs. We highlight in this Client Alert certain issues relating to the calculation of those thresholds, and the benefits of being an SNI.

## Capital and own funds

Regulatory capital will still be composed of three classes:

- Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital
- Additional Tier 1 (AT1) capital
- Tier 2 (T2) capital

This follows closely the existing regime. However, there are a small number of important alterations. Significantly, the deduction for holdings of capital instruments on a trading book will not apply to non-significant market making holdings. This is intended to promote market making activity, but firms will need to be clear where holdings are, indeed, that they are part of their normal market making activity and are non-significant.

Firms' capital requirements will be calculated by looking at three elements:

- An Initial Capital Requirement (ICR). This will be EUR 750k, EUR 150k, or EUR 75k depending upon the activity being undertaken. In summary, firms who deal on own account, underwrite, and certain OTFs will be 750k firms. Most other firms will be EUR 150k firms, but will be EUR 75k firms if they do not have permission to hold client money or securities, and only receive and transmit orders, execute orders on behalf clients, undertake portfolio management, provide investment advice, and conduct placings without a firm commitment
- A Fixed Overhead Requirement (FOR). This is calculated as three months' overheads. In an important change from the existing regime, this will apply to all investment firms, and may be one of the key causes of increased capital requirements if implemented as proposed
- A new K-Factor Requirement (KFR). The KFR is new, but does not apply to SNIs (although the FCA says that the KFR approach should be "considered by" SNIs in any event)

## The new KFR regime

The KFR is a significant departure from the current regime. The FCA notes that "firms must recognise that the new approach is very different to what they are used".

The KFR is calculated as the sum of each of the (up to) three K-Factors that apply. The K-Factors are:

- Risk-to-Client (RtC)
- Risk-to-Market (RtM)
- Risk-to-Firm (RtF) (which only applies to firms with dealing and underwriting permissions)

## K-AUM

For asset management firms, an important Risk-to-Client factor relates to assets under management, which is seen as a proxy for the potential harm a firm might cause by incorrectly managing client portfolios. As well as capturing discretionary managed portfolios, non-discretionary arrangements where advice is provided are also within scope. Exactly what is caught by this requirement is described in some detail, but is not entirely clear. It is not intended to capture one-off advice, but will cover advice of an ongoing nature covering an entire portfolio which is similar to a discretionary managed portfolio other than for the fact that the client wants to retain final decision making power. It will also cover periodic reviews, where the investment firm charges based on a percentage of the assets of the portfolio.

A further complexity arises when considering delegated asset management arrangements. If a firm delegates, it must still include those assets within its K-AUM calculation, but the firm to whom it delegates need not include those assets to avoid double counting. However, if the firm delegating is based in a third country that does not have a comparable AUM-based capital requirement, then the firm receiving the delegation needs to include the value of the assets when measuring its total AUM. It is not clear how firms are expected to understand whether a third country has a comparable AUM-based capital requirement.

## К-СМН

This risk factor is derived from the amount of client money held by a firm. It only applies to money which is held, not controlled. Firms will be required to undertake a monthly calculation based upon a six month rolling daily average. The period in question is a six month period, covering the most distanced six months in a nine month period (i.e. excluding the most recent three months). This approach of choosing a six month period but excluding the most recent three months in calculating it occurs in a number of areas of the proposed new regime, and we refer to it in this Client Alert as the "six months in the last nine months approach".

## K-ASAs

This risk factor relates to safeguarding and administering client assets, irrespective of whether they are held on balance sheet or in third party accounts. In this regard (and unlike in relation to K-AUM), it includes amounts which have been delegated to a firm to safeguard and administer, so some double counting may occur. A daily average is to be calculated based upon a six months in the last nine months approach.

## K-COH

This risk factor takes into account risks from the execution of orders in the name of the client, and the reception and transmission of client orders. There are two elements to this regime. The first amounts to cash trades, where the requirement is 0.1% of the amount paid or received. The second relates to derivatives based upon the notional amount of the contract (guidance is given in this regard), where the amount is 0.01%. This factor does not capture situations where investors are brought together to facilitate a transaction, for example, in a corporate finance context.

## K-DTF

This risk factor captures operational risks relating to the value of trading activity (the daily trading flow) conducted on own account, or in its name to execute client orders. It measures the daily trading flow excluding any flow captured within the K-COH calculation. Firms need to determine a rolling average (separately for cash and derivatives trades) using the six months in the previous nine months approach. Detailed guidance is given on calculations, for instance, interest rate derivatives are to calculated on the basis of the time to maturity of a swap of ten years duration.

## K-NPR/CMG

Where firms deal on own account, or execute for clients in the name of the firm, one of two approaches needs to be taken in order to provide for potential market risks. K-CMG requires the FCA's permission, and is based upon a calculation of margin. This looks to the third highest day margin requirement in the previous three months, and applies a multiplying factor of 1.3 to that number. Other firms will use a Net Position Risk approach to their trading booking activity. The FCA's permission can be sought to use an internal model to calculate K-NPR, but firms are warned that early engagement with the FCA will be required if they wish to seek such approval.

## K-TCD

This factor looks at the risk of the default of a trading counterparty. It applies to firms who are dealing on own account or executing orders for clients in the firm's name. A number of detailed and complex elements of the calculation are set out in detail in the Discussion Paper.

## **Prudential consolidation**

Group consolidation applies in a way that will be familiar to firms already caught by the CRD. One change is that the IFD/R puts the obligations both on the regulated firm and directly upon the (potentially unregulated) HoldCo. There is, in addition, a further attempt to close down any capital benefit from the use of service companies, as they would fall within the definition of "ancillary service undertakings" that would therefore be within the consolidation group.

## **Group capital test**

The IFD/R introduces a new group capital test (CGT), which focuses on potential strains from membership of a group. It is similar to, but not the same as, a derogation from prudential consolidation under the CRD. Although the FCA has discretion as to whether to permit this approach to be used, the Discussion Paper makes clear the FCA's intention to do so widely and willing. The FCA must first be content that the group structure is simple, and that no significant risks to clients would arise from applying this alternative (to prudential consolidation) basis. In essence, the benefit is that parents simply have to hold enough own funds to cover (i) the sum of the book value of its holdings, subordinated claims and relevant instruments; and (ii) its total contingent liability to firms in its own group. It is worth noting the

impact of the GCT on potential acquisitions, as under prudential consolidation, parents would be required to deduct any goodwill arising at a consolidated level. Under the GCT, acquisitions at a premium would now be similar, as leveraged acquisitions not funded by capital may lead to a deficit in the required amount of CET1 held by the parent.

## **Concentration risk**

All firms (including SNIs) are required to monitor and control concentration risk. Although this may, for many firms, involve primarily their trading book, the Discussion Paper makes clear that other types of risk (such as debtors, client money, etc.) also need to be taken into account in considering concentration risks. Within the trading book, there are a number of limits, both soft and absolute, that are set out in the Discussion Paper, where the K-CON is increased if the limits are breached. Certain breaches require reporting.

## Liquidity

One of the most important changes in the future regime will be the application, for the first time, of liquidity requirements to all investment firms. Existing liquidity waivers will cease. Firms will be required to ensure that, within a defined list of liquid assets, they hold enough to meet one month's worth of the FOR as a minimum baseline. Haircuts are applied to many asset categories – as an example, financial instruments traded on venues for which there is a liquid market (using the MiFID definition) are subject to a 55% haircut.

## Risk, governance, and review processes

The new regime will introduce (to replace the ICAAP) a new Internal Capital and Risk Assessment (ICARA). This will need to be produced continuously, and reviewed annually. To manage this process, non-NSIs with balance sheets above a certain size (currently EUR 100 million) will need a risk committee made up entirely of non-executives. The FCA is considering (indeed, the suggestion is it is likely that the FCA will agree to) raise the limit from EUR 100 million to EUR 300 million (average-on and off-balance sheet assets over the previous four years) before the obligation to have a risk committee arises.

The approach in the ICARA is more consistent with the regulators recent approach to Operational Risk than the more metric driven approach of a typical ICAAP. For the first time, wind-down planning will need to be taken into account. The FCA gives a list of examples of potential harm to clients or markets that will need to be assessed, including:

- Mandate breaches for asset managers
- Trading / dealing errors
- System outages affecting customers
- Corporate finance advice that could result in law suits
- The provision of unsuitable advice leading to claims
- Issues with assets under TTCA arrangements
- A failure to manage transition away from LIBOR

The FCA notes that these changes may have an impact on businesses who currently have Individual Capital Guidance (ICG). Such firms are required to compare the old and new figures, and where the new figure reduces a capital requirement, to apply an equivalent new ICG test to retain existing levels of capital. Firms would need to apply to the FCA for a voluntary requirement (VREQ) to confirm any rebased requirement.

## **Regulatory reporting**

Firms will be required to report to the FCA on their capital position on a quarterly basis, other than SNIs who will do so annually.

## Remuneration

SNIs are exempt from the detail of the revised remuneration arrangements, unless they are caught as part of a consolidated group.

Non-UK subsidiaries of consolidated groups, or investment firms, who are not exempted are caught. However, the FCA has acknowledged that this provision is dependent upon it being "not unlawful" for the remuneration code to apply locally. If a firm wishes to take this point, they may be required to notify the FCA in advance of doing so.

Non-SNI firms are then split into two categories, with proportionality applying to firms whose on-and-off balance sheet risks are below EUR 100 million (taking a four year rolling average). For such firms, the rules relating to (i) payment being in shares rather than cash; (ii) payment being deferred over a period of years; and (iii) holding retention periods for discretionary pension arrangements, are disapplied. It is likely that the UK will choose to raise this level to EUR 300 million, which is permitted under the IFD/R. If it does so, the requirement to have a remuneration committee for firms that are above a EUR 100 million limit will also be increased to EUR 300 million.

Under the IFD/R, requirements relating to remuneration are disapplied for individuals whose variable pay is below EUR 50k and below 25% of their overall compensation. The current requirement applies proportionality to employees whose overall compensation package is below EUR 500k provided that no more than 33% of this is variable. This means that the IFD/R is likely to catch more employees, and the FCA is consulting on whether this is the correct outcome or whether the UK should take a different approach (for instance, retaining the existing levels).

The FCA makes an important statement relating to the use of proportionality, which appears to agree to the interpretation being taken at an EU-level. The previous regime used the phrase "to the extent" when talking about proportionality. That terminology is removed under the IFD/R. The FCA takes the view that this means that flexibility has been removed, and that proportionality has been hardwired into the IFD/R by, for instance, exempting SNIs, exempting certain types of employees, and exempting firms with balance sheet risks below defined limits (see above). So additional flexibility cannot be justified on a firm by firm basis. The IFD/R will, when implemented, likely contain the answer, rather than permitting firms to plead their own proportionality cases.

The FCA makes clear that its implementation of the IFD/R will not include the provision of fixed bonus caps.

In other regards, the IFD/R contains familiar approaches (subject to the proportionality points above) to payment being in cash or shares, deferred over a period of time, made subject to malice and clawback etc. In addition, rules relating to limitations on guaranteed bonuses and buy-outs will remain.

## ESG

The FCA notes that the IFD/R intends to include a future K-Factor for ESG issues. This will be a significant change, as managing ESG risks would then have directly beneficial capital implications for investment firms. The FCA states that all firms (including SNIs) should consider ESG risks. It is likely that investment firm disclosure on the impact of ESG is to be required from late 2022.

## **Public disclosure**

Investment firms will be required to continue to provide disclosure relating to various requirements in the IFD/R (similarly to the CRR/D). However, these requirements will not apply to SNIs unless they have issued AT1 instruments.

## Waivers and CRR permissions

Some CRR permissions are carried over into the IFD/R regime (for instance, exemptions for inter-group exposures from the limit to large exposures). But consolidation waivers, and firm-specific liquidity permissions, will all lapse.

## Collective portfolio management investment firms

Collective portfolio management investment firms (CPMs) authorised under the AIFMD or UCITS Directive which have MiFID permissions will fall within the new regime. Therefore, if the K-AUM requirement applies, there is no limit on the ultimate amount of the capital requirement, which is a change from the existing regime.

## **FCA discretions**

The regime contains a number of discretions, and the Discussion Paper highlights the FCA's intentions on a number of them when discussing the relevant rule change. But it also pulls together its view on those discretions in a single section to give an overview of where flexibility is, and is not, likely to be expected.

First, firms will lose any ability to opt into the CRD/R when the IFD/R applies. The FCA does not want to have to supervise firms on two different bases.

SNIs will not be allowed to opt out of the liquidity regime.

On remuneration, it seems likely that the UK will opt for a EUR 300 million balance sheet regime (although the FCA notes that it will need the government's approval to do so), and the UK is likely to change the IFD/R proposals to permit the use of alternative "shadow" management incentive arrangements where shares are not available.

The FCA notes that it is likely to mandate a single UK HoldCo if a group contains two firms within the scope of the IFD/R.

## **Transitional provisions**

There are likely to be significant changes for some firms, and the FCA therefore proposes that transitional arrangements be put in place. Notably, there are likely to be significant capital changes required for firms currently operating on a matched principal restriction basis. The FCA proposes that they are given five years to go "in steps" from either a EUR 50k or EUR 125k firm to the (now likely) EUR 750k requirement.

## Conclusion

This Discussion Paper sets out the future direction of travel for capital requirements on investment firms in the UK post-Brexit. It is clear that the overall approach, of saying that the existing CRR/D regime is not fit for purpose for investment firms, and that the new IFD/R regime proposed at a European level is a better one, is fully supported by the UK regulators. In a number of areas, such as remuneration, additional flexibility is likely to be provided. But the regime will be a significant change for firms who may not love, but have got used to, the existing ICAAP-led approach. For some firms, significant improvements to data analysis and reporting, and capital levels, may be required.

If you have questions about this *Client Alert*, please contact one of the authors listed below or the Latham lawyer with whom you normally consult:

#### David Berman

david.berman@lw.com +44.20.7710.3080 London

#### **Kishore Bhindi**

kishore.bhindi@lw.com +44.20.7710.4785 London

#### Sherryn Buehlmann

sherryn.buehlmann@lw.com +44.20.7710.3043 London

#### **Brett Carr**

brett.carr@lw.com +44.020.7710.4695 London

#### Charlotte Collins

Knowledge Management Lawyer charlotte.collins@lw.com +44.20.7710.1804 London

#### **Becky Critchley**

becky.critchley@lw.com +44.20.7710.4519 London

#### **Stuart Davis**

stuart.davis@lw.com +44.20.7710.1821 London

#### **Carl Fernandes**

carl.fernandes@lw.com +44.20.7710.4777 London

#### Nicola Higgs

nicola.higgs@lw.com +44.20.7710.1154 London

#### **Gabriel Lakeman**

gabriel.lakeman@lw.com +44.020.7710.4645 London

#### Anna Lewis-Martinez

Knowledge Management Lawyer anna.lewis-martinez@lw.com +44.20.7710.1025 London

#### Anne Mainwaring

anne.mainwaring@lw.com +44.20.7710.1018 London Sam Maxson

sam.maxson@lw.com +44.20.7710.1823 London

#### Ella McGinn

ella.mcginn@lw.com +44.20.7710.4649 London

#### Rob Moulton

rob.moulton@lw.com +44.20.7710.4523 London

#### Denisa Odendaal

denisa.odendaal@lw.com +44.20.7710.1845 London

#### Jonathan Ritson-Candler

jonathan.ritson-candler@lw.com +44.20.7710.1815 London

#### Katy Sanders

katy.sanders@lw.com +44.20.7710.4548 London

#### Sean Wells

sean.wells@lw.com +44.20.7710.4662 London

#### You Might Also Be Interested In

FCA Fails to Find Its Watersheds Moment in Adams v. Options SIPP UK LLP

European Commission Publishes Draft Delegated Regulations on ESG Disclosures in Benchmarks

New Draft Regulatory Technical Standards Under the EU Benchmarks Regulation

Alternative Data: Regulatory and Ethical Issues for Financial Services Firms to Consider

MiFID Refit — Commission Consults on Review of MiFID II Framework

*Client Alert* is published by Latham & Watkins as a news reporting service to clients and other friends. The information contained in this publication should not be construed as legal advice. Should further analysis or explanation of the subject matter be required, please contact the lawyer with whom you normally consult. The invitation to contact is not a solicitation for legal work under the laws of any jurisdiction in which Latham lawyers are not authorized to practice. A complete list of Latham's *Client Alerts* can be found at <u>www.lw.com</u>. If you wish to update your contact details or customize the information you receive from Latham & Watkins, visit <u>https://www.sites.lwcommunicate.com/5/178/forms-english/subscribe.asp</u> to subscribe to the firm's global client mailings program.