1 2 3 4	ROBERT M. CHILVERS, Calif. Bar No. 65442 AVIVA CUYLER, Calif. Bar No. 185284 CHILVERS & TAYLOR PC 83 Vista Marin Drive San Rafael, California 94903 Telephone: (415) 444-0875 Facsimile: (415) 444-0578	
<ul><li>5</li><li>6</li><li>7</li></ul>	Attorneys for Plaintiffs United States for the Use and Benefit of Dixon Marine Services, Inc. and Dixon Marine Services, Inc.	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
0	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
2	UNITED STATES FOR THE USE AND )	Case No.: C-05-02039 SI
13	BENEFIT OF DIXON MARINE SERVICES, ) INC. and DIXON MARINE SERVICES, )	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR
14 15	INC., a California Corporation.  Plaintiffs,	BREACH OF CONTRACT AND RECOVERY UNDER MILLER ACT PAYMENT BOND
6	vs.	
7	MASS EX CONSTRUCTION CO., a	
8	California Corporation, and TRAVELERS (CASUALTY AND SURETY COMPANY OF)	
9	AMERICA, a Connecticut Corporation,  Defendants.	
20		
$_{21}$		
22		
23		
24		
25		
-0		

# 

authorized to do business in the

#### **JURISDICTION**

1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to the 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and the provisions of the Miller Act, 40 U.S.C. § 3133(b)(3)(B). The Court has pendent jurisdiction and supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims alleged in this Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

#### VENUE

2. The contract at issue was to be performed and executed in Marin County and in Napa County, California. Venue therefore lies in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California pursuant to 40 U.S.C. § 3133(b)(3)(B) and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

#### INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

3. Assignment in the San Francisco division of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California is proper pursuant to Rule 3-2(d) of the Civil Local Rules because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims alleged arose in Marin County and in Napa County, California.

## **PARTIES**

- 4. Plaintiff Dixon Marine Services, Inc. ("DMS") is a California corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, with its principal place of business in Inverness, California. DMS is a licensed contractor.
- 5. Defendant Mass Ex Construction Co. ("Mass Ex.") is a California corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, with is principal place of business in Santa Cruz, California.
- 6. Defendant Travelers Casualty & Surety Company of America ("Travelers"), is a Connecticut corporation, organized and existing under the laws of the State of Connecticut and authorized to do business in the state of California.

# 

## 

## 

# 

# 

# 

# 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

# 

### **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

- 7. In or before July 2003, defendant Mass Ex contracted with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers ("Army Corps"), on the federal project known as the "Napa River/Napa Creek Flood Protection Project," pursuant to Contract No. DACW05-03-C-0007 (hereinafter "Prime Contract"). The Prime Contract included Bid Item 0025, which required Mass Ex to install, maintain and remove the turbidity curtain, and a Self Monitoring Program ("SMP"), which required Mass Ex to conduct daily water quality monitoring and analysis.
- 8. On July 2, 2003, Mass Ex obtained a Miller Act payment bond (hereinafter the "Bond") from defendant Travelers in the amount of \$2,546,591.00, as required by the Army Corps. In the Bond, Travelers agreed to be bound "jointly and severally with" Mass Ex to make payment to all persons having a direct contractual relationship with Mass Ex or to any subcontractor of Mass Ex who furnished labor, material or both in the prosecution of the work provided for in the Prime Contract, in the event that Mass Ex failed to make prompt payment to such persons.
- 9. On or about July 29, 2003, Mass Ex contracted with plaintiff DMS (hereinafter the "Subcontract") to furnish labor, materials, equipment and other facilities required to complete the work included in Bid Item 0025, as well as to perform the SMP daily water quality monitoring and analysis required by the Prime Contract and specifications.
- 10. Mass Ex agreed to pay DMS "net 30 days from date of Invoice" and to pay DMS interest on amounts due and outstanding after that time. The contract further entitles the prevailing party in any dispute to an award of costs and attorneys' fees.
- 11. DMS completed its work on or about May 22, 2004, all of which work was furnished in the prosecution of the work provided for in the Prime Contract and specifications.

- 12. Mass Ex failed to pay DMS \$37,419.00 due under the Subcontract within 30 days of DMS's final invoice, dated May 26, 2004, and more than 90 days have passed since its failure to pay.
- 13. As of May 2, 2005, DMS was owed a total of \$53,943.98 pursuant to the terms of the Subcontract.
- 14. DMS has submitted a claim for payment to Travelers under the Bond, but Travelers has failed to pay DMS the amount due.

## FIRST CLAIM

## (BREACH OF CONTRACT)

- 15. Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraph 1 through 14 above as though fully set forth in this claim.
  - 16. Plaintiff has performed all of its obligations under the Subcontract.
- 17. Mass Ex has breached the Subcontract in that it has failed and refused to pay plaintiff in full for labor, services and materials furnished in the prosecution of the work provided for in the Prime Contract and pursuant to the Subcontract.
- 18. Plaintiff has suffered damages as a direct and proximate result of Mass Ex's breach of contract.

## **SECOND CLAIM**

### (QUANTUM MERUIT)

- 19. Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraph 1 through 14 above as though fully set forth in this claim.
- 20. Plaintiff provided valuable labor, services and materials that were necessary for Mass Ex to perform and complete its obligations under Bid Item 0025 of the Prime Contract.
- 21. Mass Ex benefited from plaintiff's labor, services and materials, including but not limited to the fact that Mass Ex could not have fully performed and completed its obligations

under Bid Item 0025 of the Prime Contract in the absence of the labor, services and materials that plaintiff provided.

- 22. Mass Ex has failed and refused to pay plaintiff for the labor, services and materials referenced herein.
- 23. Plaintiff has suffered damages and Mass Ex has been unjustly enriched as a result of Mass Ex's failure to pay plaintiff for the labor, materials and services provided by plaintiff.

#### **THIRD CLAIM**

## (MILLER ACT PAYMENT BOND)

- 24. Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraph 1 through 23 above as though fully set forth in this claim.
- 25. Travelers is obligated, pursuant to the Bond, to pay DMS for the labor, materials and services it furnished in the prosecution of the work provided for in the Prime Contract, and for which Mass Ex failed to make payment.
- 26. Travelers has failed to fulfill its obligation under the Bond to pay plaintiff for labor, materials and services furnished in the prosecution of the work provided for in the Prime Contract, and for which Mass Ex failed to make payment.
- 27. Plaintiff is entitled to payment from Travelers pursuant to the Miller Act, 40 U.S.C. § 3133.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF 1 2 3 WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for: 4 1. Damages in an amount to be proven at trial; 5 2. Costs of suit incurred herein; 6 3. Reasonable attorney's fees; and 7 Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper. 4. 8 Dated: May 20, 2005 9 CHILVERS & TAYLOR PC 10 11 12 /s/ Robert M. Chilvers By: Robert M. Chilvers 13 Attorneys for Plaintiff
United States for the Use and 14 Benefit of Dixon Marine 15 Services, Inc. and Dixon Marine Services, Inc. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25