The Value Of A Good Retirement Plan Auditing Firm
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As a child and later as an adult, I always remembered the commercial regarding Hebrew National hot dogs. Hebrew National hotdogs explained that they exceeded the Federal Government’s guidelines regarding hotdog production and that they couldn’t use non-meat fillers because they were Kosher and had to answer to a higher authority. In the world of retirement plans, larger retirement plans have to answer a higher authority through the independent audit requirement.

The purpose of this article is to let you know about the plan audit requirement and what to look for in hiring an independent auditor for your retirement plan.

When Plans need an audit

Retirement plans with more than 100 participants have a requirement to get an independent audit as an attachment for their Form 5500 filing. There is an exception called the 80/120 rule which allows plans with between 80 and 120 participants, as of the 1st day of the plan year, to file the Form 5500 in the same category (“large plan” or “small plan”) as indicated on the prior year’s Form 5500 filing. What counts as a participant for the audit requirement? The participant count must include (1) actively participating employees, (2) retired, deceased, or separated employees who still have assets in the plan and (3) all eligible employees who have yet to enroll or have elected not to enter the plan.

The purposes of an audit

A retirement plan audit will help protect the assets and the financial integrity of a plan sponsor’s retirement plan and ensure that the necessary funds will be available to pay retirement benefits to participants. The higher the quality of a plan’s financial statement audit, the more reliable the information used to manage and administer the plan is. A quality audit also will help carry out a plan sponsor and plan trustee’s fiduciary responsibility to file a complete and accurate Form 5500 for the plan each year.

The failure to obtain an independent filing is the same consequence of making no Form 5500, so there will be harsh consequences for failing to obtain one. Recent Department of Labor (DOL) studies of audit quality have identified significant deficiencies in plan audits. Accordingly, the DOL has increased and revised its enforcement strategies with respect to audit deficiencies. The penalties for such audit failures can be substantial. The DOL can assess penalties on plan sponsors of up to $2,233 a day (2020 amount) per annual report filing where the required auditor’s report is missing or deficient and there is no statute of limitations for a Form 5500 that is considered not being filed because of no audit attached. Since the use of a retirement plan audit is vital, the selection of an experienced and reliable auditor is very important.

Picking an audit firm

As far as picking an auditing firm, it’s rather simple. Federal law requires that an auditor engaged for a retirement plan audit be licensed or certified as a public accountant by a State regulatory authority. So hiring your local tax preparer, which is not a CPA firm, is not allowed. Don’t pick an auditor on price, but pick an auditor based on experience. Find out how many audits they do a year and see how many auditors work on them. From experience, any auditing firm that has its auditors average more than 12-15 audits is more of a mill and less of an actual auditing firm. A few years back, the DOL did force a CPA out of the business when it was discovered that three auditors produced 80 audits, a number far too great for the audits to be accurate. The audit ensures that the plan is operating correctly and is in good financial condition. Paying thousands for an audit report that is suspect is the same as paying thousands of dollars for a worthless piece of paper. Beware of referrals from third-party administration (TPA) firms unless the TPA makes more than just one firm as a referral and you have indicated that the
auditing firm in question handles plans from different TPA providers.

**Quality over a name**

While some companies would want an audit from a Big 4 firm, it’s not necessary. There are many accounting firms that do just as good a job, if not better than the Big 4 firms, at a better price. I recently came across one plan where a Big 4 firm charged $54,000 for a limited scope audit, which is about $40,000-45,000 too high. There are so many high-quality medium and small size CPA firms with auditing practices that you don’t need the panache of getting a Big 4 firm audit. A Big 4 firm charged $54,000 for a limited scope audit, which is about $40,000-45,000 too high. There are so many high-quality medium and small size CPA firms with auditing practices that you don’t need the panache of getting a Big 4 firm audit. So while the of the plan, a good audit will review plan terms, plan investments, distribution of benefits, participant loans, potential prohibited transaction, discrimination testing, and timeliness of contributions among other major issues for review. A good audit firm will be thorough in its review and may identify mistakes made by the TPA, financial advisor, or ERISA attorney. A mediocre audit firm will make short shrift of the audit process and let mistakes pass. I recall one plan sponsor that had participants fail to make quarterly payments on a loan. What the TPA and the audit firm should have treated as a defaulted loan, deemed distribution, and a taxable event that was ignored. It was discovered by the Internal Revenue Service on their audit and the plan sponsor was penalized an amount that the TPA and audit firm eventually ended up eating. In 2011, the DOL conducted a study on retirement plan audits and they found almost 40% of plan audits to contain major deficiencies with respect to one or more relevant accounting requirements “which would lead to rejection of a Form 5500 filing, putting $653 billion and 22.5 million plan participants and beneficiaries at risk.” You need to hire a good auditor.

**A check and balance**

A good audit ensures the financial condition of the plan and serves as a check and balance on the other plan providers. The requirements to get an audit should never be taken lightly. While the purpose of an audit is to gauge the financial integrity of the plan, a good audit will review plan terms, plan investments, distribution of benefits, participant loans, potential prohibited transaction, discrimination testing, and timeliness of contributions among other major issues for review. A good audit firm will be thorough in its review and may identify mistakes made by the TPA, financial advisor, or ERISA attorney. A mediocre audit firm will make short shrift of the audit process and let mistakes pass. I recall one plan sponsor that had participants fail to make quarterly payments on a loan. What the TPA and the audit firm should have treated as a defaulted loan, deemed distribution, and a taxable event that was ignored. It was discovered by the Internal Revenue Service on their audit and the plan sponsor was penalized an amount that the TPA and audit firm eventually ended up eating. A check and balance can only help the plan sponsor carry out their fiduciary responsibility, as well as minimizing liability because of the thoroughness of its work in identifying plan errors. A plan sponsor should never compromise on hiring a quality audit firm.

**Don’t pick on price**

The only mistake in picking an audit firm is rather simple. Basing the decision to hire an audit firm on price without reviewing their capabilities in performing retirement plan audits is a mistake that may cost thousands of dollars in penalties later down the line. Picking a CPA firm with a well-experienced audit practice can only help the plan sponsor carry out their fiduciary responsibility, as well as minimizing liability because of the thoroughness of its work in identifying plan errors. A plan sponsor should never compromise on hiring a quality audit firm.