	Case 3:06-cv-00672-VRW	Document 165	Filed 06/05/2006	Page 1 of 3				
		http://www.idcup	ra.com/post/document\/iowor.com/	Document hosted at JDSUPRA				
1	James M. Finberg (State Bar	http://www.jdsupra.com/post/documentViewer.aspx?fid=d29d6948-347d-4668-8a2e-b9774f99qdet						
	James M. Finberg (State Bar No. 114850) Barry R. Himmelstein (State Bar No. 157736)							
2	Michael W. Sobol (State Bar No. 194857) Eric B. Fastiff (State Bar No. 182260)							
3	LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP 275 Battery Street, 30th Floor							
4	San Francisco, CA 94111-33 Telephone: (415) 956-1000	39						
5	Facsimile: (415) 956-1008 jfinberg@lchb.com							
6	bhimmelstein@lchb.com msobol@lchb.com							
7	efastiff@lchb.com							
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Class							
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
11	(San Francisco Division)							
12								
13	TASH HEPTING, et al., ,		Case No. C-06-0672-	VRW				
14	Plaintiffs,		ADMINISTRATIVE	MOTION TO IER CASES SHOULD				
15	v.		BE RELATED					
16	AT&T CORP., et al.,							
17	Defendant		The Henerelle Veneb	n D. Wallson				
18			The Honorable Vaugh					
19	THIS DOCUMENT RELAT							
20	<i>Roe, et al. v. AT&amp;T Corp., et</i> case no. C-06-03467 MMC	al.,						
21								
22	TO ALL PARTIES AND TO THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:							
23	Pursuant to Local Rule 3-12, plaintiffs Benson B. Roe and Paul Goltz respectfully							
24	submit this administrative motion to consider whether a case filed in this District, Roe, et al. v.							
25	AT&T Corp., et al., case no. C-06-03467-MMC (filed May 30, 2006) ("Roe"), should be related							
26	to another case filed in this District, Hepting, et al. v. AT&T Corp. et al., case no. C-06-0672-							
27	VRW (" <i>Hepting</i> ").							
28								
	540902.1			RATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER ER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED CASE NO. C-06-0672-VRW				

	Case 3:06-cv-00672-VRW Document 165 Filed 06/05/2006 Page 2 of 3				
	Document hosted http://www.jdsupra.com/post/documentViewer.aspx?fid=d29d6948-347d-4668-8	at JD <mark>S</mark> UPRA <sup>®</sup> a2e-b9774f99qde5			
1	1 Pursuant to Local Rule 3-12(d)(2), plaintiffs state that <i>Roe</i> names the same	;			
2	2 defendants as named in <i>Hepting</i> ( <i>i.e.</i> , AT&T Corp. and AT&T Inc.) and that both <i>Roe</i> and	1			
3	Hepting allege that these defendants violated federal communications statutes and the California				
4	Unfair Competition Law by illegally providing the federal government with AT&T's customers'				
5	telecommunications records. (Roe names additional AT&T corporate entities.) Plaintiffs in Roe				
6	and Hepting raise causes of actions for violations of 28 U.S.C. § 2702, 47 U.S.C. § 605, and				
7	California Bus. & Prof. Code §§17200, et seq. (Hepting includes additional causes of action that				
8	<i>Roe</i> does not include.)				
9	Pursuant to Local Rule 3-12(a)(2), it appears likely that there will be an unduly				
10	burdensome duplication of labor and expense or the possibility of conflicting results if the cases				
11	are conducted before different Judges.				
12	12Dated: June 5, 2006Respectfully submitted,				
13	13				
14	$D_{j}$ . $J_{j}$ $D_{j}$ $D_{$				
15					
16	Daily R. Infiniteisteni				
17	Life D. Pasuli				
18	275 Dattery Street, Sour Proor	<b>,</b>			
19	Telephone. (413) 350-1000				
20					
21	21 Attorneys for Individual and Representative Plaintiffs Benson B. Roe and Paul Goltz				
22	22				
23	23				
24	24				
25	25				
26	26				
27	27				
28	28				
	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CO	ONSIDER			

	Case 3:06-cv-00672-VRW Document 165	Filed 06/05/2006	Page 3 of 3			
	http://www.ids	upra.com/post/documentViewer.aspx	ں Document hosted at JDSUPRA fid=d29d6948-347d-4668-8a2e-b9774f99qde??			
1	PROOF OF SERVICE BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY					
2	I am a citizen of the United States and employed in San Francisco County,					
3	California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My					
4	business address is Embarcadero Center West, 275 Battery Street, 30th Floor, San Francisco,					
5	California 94111-3339. On June 5, 2006, I caused to be deposited with Federal Express, a true					
6	and correct copy of the within documents:					
7	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED					
8	in a sealed envelope, addressed to the parties in Roe, et al. v. AT&T Corp., et al., case no. C 06-					
9	03467 MMC as follows:					
10						
11	AT&T CORP. c/o CT Corporation System	PACIFIC BELL TELH c/o CT Corporation Sy				
12	818 West Seventh St. Los Angeles, CA 90017	818 West Seventh St. Los Angeles, CA 9001	7			
13	AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF	AT&T INC.				
14	CALIFORNIA, INC. c/o CT Corporation System 818 West Seventh St.	c/o CT Corporation Sy 350 N. St. Paul	stem			
15	Los Angeles, CA 90017	Dallas, TX 75201				
16	SBC LONG DISTANCE, LLC c/o CT Corporation System					
17	818 West Seventh St. Los Angeles, CA 90017					
18	Following ordinary business practices, the envelope was sealed and placed for					
19	collection by Federal Express on this date, and		-			
20		retrieved by Federal Express for overnight delivery on this date.				
21	I declare that I am a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.					
22						
23	Service on the parties to <i>Hepting, et al. v. AT&amp;T Corp. et al.</i> , case no. C-06-0672-					
24	VRW was made by electronic filing using the Court's CM/ECF system.					
25	Executed on June 5, 2006, at San Francisco, California.					
26						
27	<u>\s\ Barry R. Himmelstein</u> Barry R. Himmelstein					
28		·	FRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER			
	- 540902.1		ER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED CASE NO. C-06-0672-VRW			