

---

In the Supreme Court of New Jersey  
*Docket Number 58,879*

---

**STATE OF NEW JERSEY,**  
**Plaintiff-Petitioner,**

v.

**JANE H. CHUN, et al.,**  
**Defendants-Respondents.**

---

Defendants' Initial Brief after Remand  
re Source Code

---

TO: Honorable Justices of the Supreme Court of New Jersey  
In care of Stephen W. Townsend, Clerk  
Hughes Justice Complex  
25 West Market Street, Box 006  
Trenton, New Jersey 08625

—

Office of the Attorney General  
Attention: Christine Hoffman, Deputy Attorney General  
Hughes Justice Complex  
25 West Market Street, Box 080  
Trenton, New Jersey 08625

—

On the Brief:

Samuel Louis Sachs, Esq., and Christopher Hewitt, Esq., for Hausler, Wood  
Matthew W. Reisig, Esq., for Desai, Lieberwirth, Piasecki, Salkowitz  
John Menzel, J.D., for Anzano, Ling, Nawaz, Walker, Whitman  
Evan M. Levow, Esq., for Chun, Demirelli, Miralda, Ogbutor, Slater, Tirado  
Jonathan Kessous, Esq., Garces & Grabler, for Miralda, Yataco

—

Date submitted: December 10, 2007

---

## CONTENTS

<b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1.</b>
<b>MATTER INVOLVED .....</b>	<b>3.</b>
<b>COMMENTS ON SPECIAL MASTER’S REPORT .....</b>	<b>6.</b>
<b>I.     <b>Version 3.11 Is Riddled with Error: A Hidden Fuel Cell Manipulation Software Routine Renders the Alcotest Scientifically Unreliable .....</b></b>	<b>7.</b>
Fuel Cell Drift Exposes the Lie to Draeger’s Claim that Independent Technologies Verify Each Other and Assess Reliability .....	10.
The Lie About Independent Technologies .....	14.
The Lie About Control Tests .....	15.
Judge King’s Remedy Is Unsupported .....	17.
Draeger’s History of Concealment .....	19.
<b>II.    <b>Version 3.11 Is Riddled with Error: “Show Stoppers” like, <i>inter alia</i>, Disabled Catastrophic Error Detection, No Positive Feedback, and Expanded Agreement Criteria, Whether Alone and in Concert, Render the Alcotest Scientifically Unreliable .....</b></b>	<b>22.</b>
Disabled Catastrophic Error Detection Leads to Unpredictable Results .....	22.
Without Positive Feedback, Software Assumes but Does Not Verify Hardware Actions .....	23.
Failed Diagnostics Force Software to Adjust and Substitute Data for Breath Measurements .....	24.
Inconsistent Error Detection Logic Suppresses Error Messages Unless the Error Occurs a Large Number of Times .....	24.
Incorrect Averaging Algorithm Is Not Scientifically Valid and Improperly Weighs Last Measurement .....	25.
Analog to Digital Conversion Routines Reduce Accuracy and Precision .....	26.
Buffer Overflow Compromises Reliability of Test Sequences Requiring Third Samples (Although Such Sequences Would Rarely Present Themselves Given the Extraordinarily Wide Agreement Between Results Accepted by Version 3.11) .....	27.
Other Concerns Raised by BaseOne Undermine Alcotest Reliability .....	27.
<b>III.   <b>Faulty Software Design Renders Draeger Source Code Unreliable .....</b></b>	<b>28.</b>

Software Fails to Adhere to Any Discernable Standard, Leading to Unreliable, Error Prone Code .....	28.
Highly Complex Coding Leads to Error Insertion and Degradation on “Upgrades” and, with Excessive Use of Global Variables, Further Compromises Reliability .....	29.
<b>III. Institutional Deficiencies Evidenced by Draeger’s Culture of Concealment and the State Police Forensic Laboratory’s Culture of Ignorance Undermines Confidence in the Present Alcotest Program and Any Determination of Alcotest Reliability .....</b>	<b>31.</b>
<b>COMMENTS ON WITNESSES .....</b>	<b>36.</b>
<b>I. Source Code Witnesses: Geller, Shaffer, Wisniewski .....</b>	<b>36.</b>
<b>II. Expert Witnesses: Seidman, Dee, Workman .....</b>	<b>41.</b>
<b>III. Special Master’s Credibility Determinations .....</b>	<b>43.</b>
<b>APPLICABLE LEGAL PRINCIPLES .....</b>	<b>46.</b>
<b>I. Draeger’s Failure to Adhere to Any Recognizable Standard Precludes a Finding that Its Source Code for the Alcotest Has Gained General Acceptance in the Scientific Community .....</b>	<b>46.</b>
The Particular Scientific Field .....	47.
The Relevant Scientific Community .....	48.
General Acceptance Defined .....	49.
The Quality and Burden of Proof .....	50.
Methods of Proof .....	52.
The Application of Standards .....	53.
<b>II. Presently Unknowable Source Code Deprives Any Challenger of a Reported Breath Test Result Any Meaningful Right of Confrontation .....</b>	<b>55.</b>
<b>III. Draeger Source Code, by Its Overly Complex Nature, Conceals Material Exculpatory Information Which No Defendant Will Ever Discover and Which the State Can Never Reveal .....</b>	<b>60.</b>
<b>CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>65.</b>
What We Have Learned .....	65.
Knowing the Right Thing and Doing It .....	66.

An Untenable Situation ..... 67.  
 The Only Reasonable Findings ..... 69.

**SPECIAL MASTER’S REPORTS:**

Findings and Conclusions of Remand Court, February 14, 2007 (267 pages) ..... SMR\_\_.  
 Supplemental Findings and Conclusions on Remand, November 20, 2007  
 (108 pages) ..... 2SMR\_\_.

**RELEVANT TRANSCRIPTS:**

2006 Hearings

September 18, 2006, morning (Conde) ..... 1T\_\_-\_\_.  
 September 18, 2006, afternoon (Conde) ..... 2T\_\_-\_\_.  
 September 19, 2006, morning (Conde) ..... 3T\_\_-\_\_.  
 September 20, 2006, afternoon (Logan) ..... 5T\_\_-\_\_.  
 September 27, 2006, morning (Gullberg) ..... 12T\_\_-\_\_.  
 September 27, 2006, afternoon (Gullberg, Zettl) ..... 13T\_\_-\_\_.  
 September 28, 2006, morning (Zettl) ..... 14T\_\_-\_\_.  
 September 28, 2006, afternoon (Zettl) ..... 15T\_\_-\_\_.  
 October 3, 2006, all day (Seidman) ..... 16T\_\_-\_\_.  
 October 4, 2006, morning (Seidman) ..... 17T\_\_-\_\_.  
 October 4, 2006, afternoon (Seidman) ..... 18T\_\_-\_\_.  
 October 10, 2006, morning (Ryser) ..... 20T\_\_-\_\_.  
 October 11, 2006, afternoon (Ryser) ..... 23T\_\_-\_\_.  
 October 12, 2006, morning (Ryser) ..... 24T\_\_-\_\_.  
 October 12, 2006, afternoon (Ryser) ..... 25T\_\_-\_\_.  
 October 16, 2006, morning (Harding) ..... 26T\_\_-\_\_.  
 October 18, 2006, morning (Dee) ..... 30T\_\_-\_\_.  
 October 18, 2006, afternoon (Dee) ..... 31T\_\_-\_\_.  
 October 19, 2006, morning (Dee) ..... 32T\_\_-\_\_.  
 October 23, 2006, afternoon (Brettell) ..... 34T\_\_-\_\_.  
 October 25, 2006, all day (Brettell) ..... 37T\_\_-\_\_.  
 October 30, 2006, morning (Brettell) ..... 38T\_\_-\_\_.  
 October 30, 2006, afternoon (Brettell) ..... 39T\_\_-\_\_.  
 November 6, 2006, morning (Brettell) ..... 41T\_\_-\_\_.  
 November 6, 2006, afternoon (Brettell) ..... 42T\_\_-\_\_.  
 November 8, 2006, afternoon (Brettell) ..... 44T\_\_-\_\_.  
 November 13, 2006, afternoon (Brettell) ..... 48T\_\_-\_\_.  
 November 14, 2006, afternoon (Ryser) ..... 50T\_\_-\_\_.  
 December 4, 2006, afternoon (Flanagan) ..... 57T\_\_-\_\_.  
 January 10, 2007 morning (Summations) ..... 70T\_\_-\_\_.

2007 Hearings

September 17, 2007 (Geller) ..... 1RT\_\_-\_\_.  
 September 18, 2007 (Geller) ..... 2RT\_\_-\_\_.  
 September 19, 2007 (Geller, Dee) ..... 3RT\_\_-\_\_.  
 September 20, 2007 (Dee) ..... 4RT\_\_-\_\_.  
 September 24, 2007 (Shaffer) ..... 5RT\_\_-\_\_.  
 September 25, 2007 (Shaffer, Wisniewski) ..... 6RT\_\_-\_\_.

September 26, 2007 (Wisniewski, Shaffer) .....	7RT	-	__
October 9, 2007 (Wisniewski) .....	8RT	-	__
October 10, 2007 (Wisniewski, Workman) .....	9RT	-	__
October 11, 2007 (Workman, Shaffer) .....	10RT	-	__

**APPENDIX:**

**Volume 1 (Da1-196)**

Order entered December 14, 2005 .....	Da1.
Order entered January 10, 2006 .....	Da5.
Corrected Order entered April 30, 2007 .....	Da9.
Order entered May 9, 2007 .....	Da13.
D-232. Letter dated December 11, 2006, from Samuel L. Sachs, Esq., to Jeffrey Schreiber .....	Da15.
Supplemental Order Entered May 22, 2007 .....	Da17.
BaseOne Technologies Report on Behalf of Defendants .....	Da20.
SysTest Labs Assessment Report for Draeger Safety Diagnostics, Inc. Alcotest 7110 MKIII-C, New Jersey Firmware Version 3.11 .....	Da77.
Letter dated October 4, 2007, from Thomas E. Workman, Jr., to Hon. Michael Patrick King, P.J.A.D. ....	Da134.
Glossary by Thomas E. Workman, Jr. ....	Da143.
D-47. General Principles of Software Validation; Final Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff (issued January 11, 2002) .....	Da155.

**Volume 2 (Da201-318)**

D-197 (p.1). East Brunswick Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.000321) .....	Da201.
D-197 (p.3). Milltown Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.000118) .....	Da203.
D-197 (p.5). South River Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.000150) .....	Da205.
D-8. New Brunswick Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00030) .....	Da207.
D-12. Monroe Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00048) .....	Da209.
D-13. Piscataway Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00021) .....	Da211.
D-35. Old Bridge Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00164) .....	Da213.
D-36. Old Bridge Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00169) .....	Da214.
D-39. Plainsboro Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00086) .....	Da215.
D-60. North Brunswick Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00027) .....	Da216.
D-61. Rutgers Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00023) .....	Da217.
D-62. Metuchen Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00032) .....	Da219.
D-63 (p.1). Milltown Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00012) .....	Da220.
D-63 (p.3). Milltown Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00014) .....	Da222.
D-63 (p.4). Milltown Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00015) .....	Da223.
D-63 (p.6). Milltown Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00016) .....	Da225.
D-63 (p.7). Milltown Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00017) .....	Da226.
D-63 (p.8). Milltown Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00019) .....	Da227.
D-63 (p.10). Milltown Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00020) .....	Da229.
D-130. Haddonfield Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00019) .....	Da230.
D-134. Middlesex Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00062) .....	Da232.
D-135 (p.1). Middlesex Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00022) .....	Da234.
D-135 (p.2). Middlesex Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00023) .....	Da235.
D-136 (p.1). Highland Park Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00050) .....	Da237.
D-136 (p.2). Highland Park Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00051) .....	Da238.
D-137. New Jersey State Police Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00081) .....	Da240.

<i>D-140.</i> Burlington County Bridge Commission Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00031) .....	Da242.
<i>D-142 (p.1).</i> Princeton Borough Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00172) .....	Da244.
<i>D-142 (p.3).</i> Princeton Borough Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00173) .....	Da246.
<i>D-142 (p.5).</i> Princeton Borough Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00174) .....	Da248.
<i>D-142 (p.7).</i> Princeton Borough Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00176) .....	Da250.
<i>D-142 (p.8).</i> Princeton Borough Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00177) .....	Da251.
<i>D-142 (p.9).</i> Princeton Borough Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00178) .....	Da252.
<i>D-142 (p.10).</i> Princeton Borough Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00179) .....	Da253.
<i>D-142 (p.12).</i> Princeton Borough Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00180) .....	Da255.
<i>D-142 (p.13).</i> Princeton Borough Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00181) .....	Da256.
<i>D-142 (p.14).</i> Princeton Borough Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00182) .....	Da257.
<i>D-142 (p.15).</i> Princeton Borough Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00183) .....	Da258.
<i>D-142 (p.16).</i> Princeton Borough Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00186) .....	Da259.
<i>D-142 (p.18).</i> Princeton Borough Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00187) .....	Da261.
<i>D-142 (p.19).</i> Princeton Borough Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00188) .....	Da262.
<i>D-142 (p.20).</i> Princeton Borough Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00189) .....	Da263.
<i>D-143.</i> Monroe Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00062) .....	Da264.
<i>D-144.</i> Milltown Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00063) .....	Da266.
<i>D-145.</i> Magnolia Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00030) .....	Da268.
<i>D-146.</i> Parsippany Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00054) .....	Da270.
<i>D-147.</i> Mountain Lakes Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00076) .....	Da272.
<i>D-148.</i> Middle Township Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00056) .....	Da274.
<i>D-149.</i> Edison Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00058) .....	Da275.
<i>D-150.</i> Spotswood Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00060) .....	Da277.
<i>D-151 (p.8).</i> East Brunswick Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00030) .....	Da278.
<i>D-151 (p.13).</i> East Brunswick Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00038) .....	Da280.
<i>D-152.</i> North Brunswick Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00037) .....	Da281.
<i>D-153 (p.4).</i> Plainsboro Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00060) .....	Da282.
<i>D-153 (p.5).</i> Plainsboro Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00061) .....	Da283.
<i>D-154 (p.1).</i> Sayreville Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00043) .....	Da284.
<i>D-154 (p.4).</i> Sayreville Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00050) .....	Da286.
<i>D-154 (p.6).</i> Sayreville Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00074) .....	Da287.
<i>D-154 (p.9).</i> Sayreville Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00090) .....	Da289.
<i>D-155 (p.1).</i> Old Bridge Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00027) .....	Da291.
<i>D-155 (p.3).</i> Old Bridge Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00032) .....	Da293.
<i>D-155 (p.5).</i> Old Bridge Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00041) .....	Da295.
<i>D-155 (p.6).</i> Old Bridge Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00042) .....	Da296.
<i>D-155 (p.8).</i> Old Bridge Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00072) .....	Da297.
<i>D-155 (p.10).</i> Old Bridge Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00082) .....	Da299.
<i>D-155 (p.12).</i> Old Bridge Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00086) .....	Da301.
<i>D-155 (p.14).</i> Old Bridge Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00109) .....	Da303.
<i>D-155 (p.16).</i> Old Bridge Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00117) .....	Da304.
<i>D-156 (p.4).</i> South River Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00059) .....	Da305.
<i>D-156 (p.5).</i> South River Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00064) .....	Da306.
<i>D-156 (p.7).</i> South River Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00069) .....	Da308.
<i>D-157 (p.1).</i> Dunellen Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00011) .....	Da310.
<i>D-157 (p.2).</i> Dunellen Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00030) .....	Da311.
<i>D-157 (p.6).</i> Dunellen Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00047) .....	Da312.
<i>D-157 (p.8).</i> Dunellen Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00053) .....	Da313.
<i>D-157 (p.9).</i> Dunellen Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.00057) .....	Da314.

S-61. New Jersey State Police Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no.01106) ..... Da316.  
 AB-3 (on remand). Longport Alcohol Influence Report (seq.no. 00134) ..... Da318.

**CITATIONS:**

**Constitutions**

*U.S.Const., Amend.VI.* ..... 67.

**Statutes**

*N.J.S. 39:4-50* ..... 52.

**Administrative Rules, Regulations, and Materials**

*21 C.F.R. 862.3050* ..... 28.

**Court Rules**

*R. 1:7-3* ..... 45.

**Cases**

*Barker v. Wingo*, 407 U.S. 514, 92 S.Ct. 2182, 33 L.Ed.2d 101 (1972) ..... 67.  
*Berger v. U.S.*, 295 U.S. 78, 55 S.Ct. 629, 79 L.Ed. 1314 (1935) ..... 60.  
*Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194, 10 L.Ed.2d (1963) ..... 60, 62, 68.  
*California v. Trombetta*, 467 U.S. 479, 104 S.Ct. 2528, 81 L.Ed.2d 413 (1984) ..... 63.  
*Crawford v. Washington*, 541 U.S. 36, 124 S.Ct. 1354 (2004) ..... 56, 58, 67.  
*Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 579, 113 S.Ct. 2786,  
 125 L.Ed.2d 469 (1993) ..... 48, 49.  
*Frye v. U.S.*, 293 F. 1013 (D.C. Cir. 1923) ..... 46.  
*In re LTI Marksman 20-20 Laser Speed Detection System*, 314 N.J.Super. 211  
 (Law Div. 1996) ..... 49.  
*Moore v. Illinois*, 408 U.S. 786, 92 S.Ct. 2562, 33 L.Ed.2d 706 (1972) ..... 62.  
*Pointer v. Texas*, 380 U.S. 400, 403-04, 85 S.Ct. 1065, 13 L.Ed.2d 923 (1965) 55, 56, 67.  
*Romano v. Kimmelman*, 96 N.J. 66 (1984) ..... 6, 8, 50, 51, 67.  
*Rubanick v. Witco Chem.Corp.*, 125 N.J. 421 (1991) ..... 50.  
*In re Seaman*, 133 N.J. 67 (1993) ..... 50.  
*State v. Berezansky*, 386 N.J.Super. 84 (App.Div. 2006), cert.gr. 191 N.J. 317  
 (2007) ..... 56.  
*State v. Branch*, 182 N.J. 338 (2005) ..... 57, 58.  
*State v. Buda*, 389 N.J.Super. 241 (App.Div. 2006), cert.gr. 191 N.J. 317 (2007) ..... 56.  
*State v. Burr*, 392 N.J.Super. 538 (App.Div. 2007) ..... 56.  
*State v. Byrd*, 393 N.J.Super. 218 (App.Div. 2007) ..... 56.  
*State v. Chun*, 191 N.J. 308 (2007) ..... 4.  
*State v. Dorman*, 393 N.J.Super. 28 (App.Div.), cert.gr. in part, 192 N.J. 475 (2007) .. 56.  
*State v. Downie*, 117 N.J. 450 (1990) ..... 6, 8.  
*State v. Farrell*, 320 N.J.Super. 425 (App.Div. 1999) ..... 67.  
*State v. Ford*, 240 N.J.Super. 44 (App.Div. 1990) ..... 63.  
*State v. Foley*, 370 N.J. Super. 341 (Law Div. 2003) ..... 6, 11, 14, 15, 19.  
*State v. Harvey*, 151 N.J. 117 (1997) ..... 46, 50, 52.  
*State ex rel. J.A.*, 385 N.J.Super. 544 (App.Div. 2006), cert.gr. 191 N.J. 317 (2007) .... 56.  
*State v. Kent*, 391 N.J.Super. 352 (App.Div. 2007) ..... 56.  
*State v. Martini*, 160 N.J. 248 (1999) ..... 62.  
*State v. Nyhammer*, 396 N.J.Super. 72 (App.Div. 2007) ..... 56.  
*State v. Parsons*, 341 N.J.Super. 448 (App.Div. 2001) ..... 62.

<i>State v. Renshaw</i> , 390 <i>N.J.Super.</i> 456 (App.Div. 2007) .....	56.
<i>State v. Storm</i> , 141 <i>N.J.</i> 245 (1995) .....	61.
<i>State v. Sweet</i> , 191 <i>N.J.</i> 318 (2007) .....	56-57.
<i>Strickler v. Greene</i> , 527 <i>U.S.</i> 263, 119 <i>S.Ct.</i> 1936, 144 <i>L.Ed.2d</i> 286 (1999) .....	63.
<i>U.S. v. Agurs</i> , 427 <i>U.S.</i> 97, 96 <i>S.Ct.</i> 2392, 49 <i>L.Ed.2d</i> 342 (1976) .....	60, 61, 62, 63.
<i>In re Winship</i> , 397 <i>U.S.</i> 358, 90 <i>S.Ct.</i> 1068, 25 <i>L.Ed.2d</i> 368 (1970) .....	67.

### **Treatises**

-----, <i>Model Rules of Professional Responsibility</i> 3.8, comment (1994) .....	61.
Hitler, Adolph, <i>Mein Kampf</i> (James Murphy translation, 1933) .....	10.

### **Websites**

<a href="http://encarta.msn.com">http://encarta.msn.com</a> .....	2.
<a href="http://www.wikipedia.org/wiki/Big_Lie">http://www.wikipedia.org/wiki/Big_Lie</a> .....	2
<a href="http://www.sourcewatch.org">http://www.sourcewatch.org</a> .....	2