

LOEB & LOEB adds Depth.

FINRA CONSIDERING ELIMINATING SUB-VENDOR REQUIREMENTS IN PROPOSED RULE 3190

June 2011

At FINRA's recently held Annual Conference, Grace Vogel, FINRA Executive Vice President, was asked about the status of Proposed Rule 3190 regarding the regulation of third-party service providers, including, without limitation, heightened supervisory requirements for clearing and carrying firms. She responded that FINRA had received a wide array of detailed comment letters from interested parties, all of which are published on FINRA's website. The comment period ended May 13, 2011. (We provided a summary of the proposed rule in our April 2011 Outsourcing Alert.)

In an exclusive interview this week with Loeb & Loeb's Stephen Cohen, Ms. Vogel confirmed that FINRA has now completed its review of the comments and is currently preparing its response for submission to the SEC at which time the industry will again have an opportunity to comment on the proposed rule once it is published.

Ms. Vogel had just returned from her meeting with Marc Menchel, Executive Vice President and General Counsel for FINRA, and his staff on Monday to discuss the comment letters. She shared with Loeb & Loeb that, as a result of its review of the comments, FINRA is strongly considering an elimination of the requirements around sub-vendors. The revised rule will likely say that as a good business practice firms should require their vendors to notify them of subcontracting relationships but will not actually go so far as to require such notification.



LOEB & LOEB adds Depth.

We support FINRA's revised position on the elimination of sub-vendors from the rule and view this as a positive development for the industry, as any requirements regarding sub-vendor notification would have made industry compliance overly burdensome (as we discussed in our April Outsourcing Alert). Of lesser note, FINRA will be tightening some of the proposed language of the rule to clarify some issues. For example, it will clarify that activities with third-parties in the ordinary course of business, such as government securities clearing banks (i.e., Chase and Bank of New York Mellon), foreign clearing banks (i.e., Euroclear) and custodians, are not intended to be within the scope of this rule.

This client alert is a publication of Loeb & Loeb LLP and is intended to provide information on recent legal developments. This client alert does not create or continue an attorney client relationship nor should it be construed as legal advice or an opinion on specific situations.

<u>Circular 230 Disclosure</u>: To ensure compliance with Treasury Department rules governing tax practice, we inform you that any advice contained herein (including any attachments) (1) was not written and is not intended to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of avoiding any federal tax penalty that may be imposed on the taxpayer; and (2) may not be used in connection with promoting, marketing or recommending to another person any transaction or matter addressed herein.

This publication may constitute "Attorney Advertising" under the New York Rules of Professional Conduct and under the law of other jurisdictions.

© 2011 Loeb & Loeb LLP. All rights reserved.