1 2 3 4 5	COLLEEN BAL (pro hac vice pending) cbal@wsgr.com BART E. VOLKMER (pro hac vice pending) bvolkmer@wsgr.com WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, Ca 94304-1050 Telephone: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile: (650) 493-6811	KURT OPSAHL (pro hac vice) kurt@eff.org CORYNNE MCSHERRY (pro hac vice) corynne@eff.org ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION 454 Shotwell Street San Francisco, Ca 94110 Telephone: (415) 436-9333 Facsimile: (415) 436-9993
7 8 9 10 11	CHAD BOWERS bowers@lawyer.com CHAD A. BOWERS, LTD Nevada State Bar No. 7283 3202 West Charleston Boulevard Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone: (702) 457-1001 Attorneys For Defendant & Counterclaimant THOMAS A. DIBIASE	
12		
13	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
14	DISTRICT	OF NEVADA
15	RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited-)	CASE NO.: 2:10-CV-01343-RLH-PAL
16	liability company,	
		DEFENDANT THOMAS A. DIBIASE'S
17 18	Plaintiff,) v.	DEFENDANT THOMAS A. DIBIASE'S REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION TO DISMISS
)	REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION TO
18	v.)	REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION TO
18 19	V. THOMAS A. DIBIASE, an individual,	REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION TO
18 19 20	V. THOMAS A. DIBIASE, an individual,	REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION TO
18 19 20 21	V.) THOMAS A. DIBIASE, an individual,) Defendant.)	REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION TO
18 19 20 21 22	THOMAS A. DIBIASE, an individual, Defendant. THOMAS A. DIBIASE, an individual,	REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION TO
18 19 20 21 22 23	THOMAS A. DIBIASE, an individual, Defendant. THOMAS A. DIBIASE, an individual, Counterclaimant, v. RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited-	REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION TO
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THOMAS A. DIBIASE, an individual, Defendant. THOMAS A. DIBIASE, an individual, Counterclaimant, v. RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited-liability company,	REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION TO
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	THOMAS A. DIBIASE, an individual, Defendant. THOMAS A. DIBIASE, an individual, Counterclaimant, v. RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited-	REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION TO
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	THOMAS A. DIBIASE, an individual, Defendant. THOMAS A. DIBIASE, an individual, Counterclaimant, v. RIGHTHAVEN LLC, a Nevada limited-liability company,	REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION TO

Defendant Thomas A. Dibiase hereby requests that the Court take judicial notice, pursuant to Rule 201 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, of the documents and facts referenced below, which are submitted as part of the Declaration of Bart E. Volkmer in Support of Defendant's Motion to Dismiss.

Ex. A	Nevada Secretary of State's records for Righthaven LLC.
Ex. B	Nevada Secretary of State's records for Net Sortie Systems LLC.
Ех. С	Las Vegas Review Journal article entitled "Retired teacher gets death penalty for wife's murder," available for free at http://www.lvrj.com/news/retired-teacher-gets-death-penalty-for-wife-s-murder-96191524.html, referenced in the Complaint of as the "Work" and attached thereto as Exhibit 2.
¶ 2	Describing Bart E. Volkmer's docket search that revealed that Righthaven has filed over 150 copyright cases since forming in

I. JUDICIAL NOTICE OF NEVADA SECRETARY OF STATE RECORDS IS APPROPRIATE.

early 2010.

Judicial notice of Exhibits A and B, which are Nevada Secretary of State records, is appropriate because both of these documents are on file with the state of Nevada. Courts may take judicial notice of facts that are "capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned." F.R.E. §201(b); see OSO Group, Ltd. v. Bullock & Assocs., Inc., No. 3:09-CV-01906, 2009 WL 2422285, at *2 n.3 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 6, 2009); Omaha Tribe of Neb. v. Miller, 311 F. Supp. 2d 816, 819 n.3 (S.D. Iowa 2004). Consequently, facts in secretary of state records are the proper subject of judicial notice. See Access 4 All v. Oak Spring, Inc., No. 504CV75OCGRJ, 2005 WL 1212663, *2 n.16 (M.D. Fla. May 20, 2005) (taking judicial notice of the records of the Florida Department of State, Division of Corporations, which reflected that one plaintiff was an officer and director of a co-

¹ All references to "Complaint" refer to Plaintiff's Complaint, filed August 9, 2010.

² See also Daniel v. Am. Bd. of Emergency Med., 988 F. Supp. 127, 155 (W.D.N.Y. 1997);. Computer Scis., 244 F.R.D. 580, 587 n.8 (taking judicial notice of articles of incorporation).

plaintiff); *Redding v. Freeman Products, Inc.*, No. 94 C 398, 1995 WL 410922, *2 (N.D. Ill. July 10, 1995) (taking judicial notice of certificates of good standing issued by the Illinois Secretary of State); *Banks v. Consumer Home Mortgage, Inc.*, No. 01-CV-8508 (ILG), 2003 WL 21251584, *6 n.7 (E.D.N.Y. March 28, 2003) ("Plaintiffs submitted a public record on file with the Secretary of State for Georgia, where CHM lists Michael Ashley as the Chief Financial Officer of CHM. This Court can take judicial notice of this official filing by CHM."); *In re Teledyne Defense Contracting Derivative Litigation*, 849 F. Supp. 1369, 1383 (C.D. Cal. 1993) (stating that "[p]laintiffs' claim for negligent breach of fiduciary duty against the Directors is barred by the Corporation's Certificate of Incorporation (of which this Court may take judicial notice). . . .").³

II. JUDICIAL NOTICE REGARDING THE CONTENTS OF THE COURT'S DOCKET IS APPROPRIATE.

Judicial notice of the facts reported in ¶ 2 of the Declaration of Bart E. Volkmer in Support of Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, which describes information contained on court dockets, is also appropriate because the dockets of federal courts are publicly available and the information contained therein is readily verifiable. Courts may take judicial notice of facts that are "capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned." F.R.E. §201(b). Consequently, facts in federal courts dockets are the proper subject of judicial notice. *See Kriston v. Peroulis*, No. 2:09-CV-00708-RCJ-LRL, 2010 WL 1610419, at *3 (D. Nev. April 16, 2010) (taking judicial notice of the docket in a case before the United States District Court for the District of Nevada because "[t]he docket and the documents filed on the docket are capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to a source whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned-the PACER system."); *see also Specter v. Palmer*, 3:10-CV-00485-HDM-RAM, 2010 WL 3170963, at *1 (D. Nev. August 11,

³ Cf. In re Silicon Graphics, Inc. Sec. Litig., 970 F. Supp. 746, 758 (N.D. Cal. 1997) (Courts commonly "take judicial notice of the contents of relevant public disclosure documents required to be filed with the SEC as facts capable of accurate and ready determination. . . .") (quoting Kramer v. Time Warner Inc., 937 F.2d 767, 774 (2d Cir. 1991)).

1 2010) (taking judicial notice of "the state court procedural history reflected in the online docket 2 records of the Eighth Judicial District Court for Clark County, State of Nevada. . . . "). 3 III. JUDICIAL NOTICE OF FACTS REGARDING DOCUMENTS REFERENCED IN OR FORMING THE BASIS OF THE COMPLAINT IS APPROPRIATE. 4 5 Judicial notice of the fact that the article set forth in Exhibit C was and currently is 6 freely available to the public — at http://www.lvrj.com/news/retired-teacher-gets-death-7 penalty-for-wife-s-murder-96191524.html — is appropriate because Righthaven repeatedly 8 references that article in its Complaint and it forms the basis of Righthaven's claim. See U.S. 9 E.E.O.C. v. Champion Chevrolet, No. 3:07-CV-444-ECR-VPC, 2009 WL 2835101, at *3 (D. Nev. Aug. 26, 2009) (Reed, J.) (judicial notice of a document is appropriate where "the 10 11 complaint 'refers extensively to the document or the document forms the basis of the plaintiff's claim." (quoting *United States v. Ritchie*, 342 F.3d 903, 908 (9th Cir. 2003)). Consequently. 12 13 the fact that the article was and currently is freely available to the public is the proper subject of 14 iudicial notice. 15 **CONCLUSION** 16 For the foregoing reasons, Mr. DiBiase requests that the Court consider the above-17 referenced documents and facts in connection with his motion to dismiss. 18 19 Dated: October 29, 2010 Respectfully submitted, 20 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI 21 Professional Corporation 22 By: /s/ Colleen Bal COLLEEN BAL (pro hac vice pending) 23 BART E. VOLKMER (pro hac vice pending) 24 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304 25 See also OneBeacon Ins. Co. v. Probuilders Specialty Ins. Co., No. 3:09-CV-36, 2009 WL 26 2407705, at *2 (D. Nev. Aug. 3, 2009) (Reed, J.); Mack v. Kuckenmeister, No. 3:08-CV-370, 2009 WL 196247, at *2 (D. Nev. Jan. 23, 2009) (Reed, J.); Autotel v. Nevada Bell Tel. Co., No. 2:07-cv-27

1423, 2009 WL 250024, at *3 (D. Nev. Jan. 30, 2009) (Reed, J.).

28

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Counsel has complied with LR IA 10-2 ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION

By: /s/ Kurt Opsahl Kurt Opsahl (pro hac vice) Corynne McSherry (pro hac vice) 454 Shotwell Street San Francisco, CA 94110

CHAD A. BOWERS, LTD.

By: /s/ Chad Bowers Chad A. Bowers NV State Bar Number 7283 3202 W. Charleston Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

Attorneys for Thomas A. DiBiase