manatt

May 2, 2008

Advertising Law_{ana}

NEWSLETTER OF THE ADVERTISING, MARKETING & MEDIA PRACTICE GROUP OF MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP

IN THIS ISSUE

- Court Affirms \$120 Million Fine Against Seasilver
- Court Affirms \$120 Million Fine Against Seasilver
- Blockbuster Sued Over Facebook Ad Program
- Microsoft's "Vista Capable" Lawsuit Advances
- Comcast To Draft File-Sharing Best Practices

Court Affirms \$120 Million Fine Against Seasilver

The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit has affirmed a lower court ruling that orders makers of Seasilver dietary supplement to pay an avalanche fine of close to \$120 million for failing to comply with an earlier order.

The ruling requires Jason and Bela Berkes, Seasilver USA, Inc., and Americaloe, Inc. to pay nearly \$120 million under a 2004 settlement agreement with the Federal Trade Commission. The agreement prohibited the defendants from making false or misleading claims and included a \$120 million judgment that would be suspended if they paid a \$3 million fine within a specified period. After the defendants failed to comply with the payment terms, the district court granted the FTC's request to enforce the avalanche judgment in June 2006, and the defendants appealed to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

The FTC's initial 2002 investigation of Seasilver focused on its claims that the dietary supplement was clinically proven to treat or cure 650 diseases, including cancer and AIDS. The marketers also claimed that Seasilver caused rapid, substantial, and permanent weight loss without dieting. The agency alleged the claims were false and unsubstantiated. In 2003, the FTC and the Food and Drug Administration joined forces to seize \$5.3 million in Seasilver inventory.

back to top

Blockbuster Sued Over Facebook Ad Program

UPCOMING EVENTS

October 21, 2008 ACI: Sports Sponsorship Advertising and IP

Topic:

"When Retired Players Sue: From Coscarart v. Major League Baseball to Parrish v. NFLPA"

Ronald S. Katz

"Morality and an Agreement's Mortality--Taking Appropriate Measures to Avoid the Termination of an Endorsement Deal"

Linda Goldstein

The Carlton Hotel New York, NY

For more information

...

October 22, 2008 D.C. Bar CLE Seminar

Topic:

"Copyright Law and Litigation"

Kenneth M. Kaufman

D.C. Bar Conference Center Washington, D.C.

For more information

..

November 20-21, 2008 PMA's 30th Annual Promotion Marketing Law Conference

Topic:

"Navigating the Potholes: The Evolving Landscape for

Blockbuster, the video retailer, is facing a class action lawsuit for its role in Facebook's controversial "social advertising" program.

The lawsuit by Cathryn Elaine Harris, a Texas resident, was filed on April 9 in federal court in Texas. The complaint alleges that Blockbuster, the video rental giant, violates federal law through its participation in Beacon, which shares rental history on "news feeds" of members of the popular online social networking site, unless a member opts out. The lawsuit, which is seeking class action status, asks for damages of \$2,500 per infringement. It does not name Facebook as a defendant.

The complaint states that Blockbuster shared Harris' movie rental history through Beacon, in violation of the 1988 Videotape Privacy Protection Act. The law was passed during the viciously contested nomination of judge Robert Bork to the U.S. Supreme Court in 1987, in the midst of which writer Michael Dolan went to a video store that Bork frequented and obtained a list of 146 videotapes his family had checked out.

Facebook launched the Beacon ad program in November. Privacy groups criticized the plan as violating the privacy of members whose buying history with certain ad partners would be revealed to their friends and families unless they opted out.

back to top

Microsoft's "Vista Capable" Lawsuit Advances

The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit has affirmed a lower court decision approving class action status to a lawsuit claiming that Microsoft unfairly profited by advertising computers as "Windows Vista Capable" although they couldn't run basic functions of the Vista Premium operating system.

The suit filed in February alleges that buyers were deceived because most purported "Vista Capable" PCs bought before the official retail launch in January 2007 lacked the hardware to run Vista Premium's memory-intensive media center and Aero interface.

A federal judge in Seattle granted class action status on the grounds that "common issues predominate. . . . These common issues . . . are whether Vista Home Basic, in truth, can fairly be called 'Vista' and whether Microsoft's 'Windows Vista Capable' marketing campaign inflated demand marketwide for 'Windows Vista Capable' PCs."

The court found plaintiffs could argue that Microsoft had artificially inflated demand – and prices – for computers only

Sweepstakes, Games & Contests"

Linda Goldstein

Topic:

"Consumer Product Safety: Hear from the Regulators How the New Laws Affect Your Promotion"

Kerrie L. Campbell

Marriott Downtown Magnificent Mile Chicago, IL

For more information

...

December 4-5, 2008 Film & Television Law

Topic

"Product and Music Placement, Branded Entertainment: Issues and Litigation"

Linda Goldstein

Topic:

"The Value of Fame: Understanding the Right of Publicity"

Mark S. Lee

Century Plaza Hyatt Regency Los Angeles, CA

For more information

NEWSLETTER EDITORS

Jeffrey S. Edelstein

Partner jedelstein@manatt.com 212.790.4533

Linda A. Goldstein

Partner

Igoldstein@manatt.com 212.790.4544

OUR PRACTICE

Whether you're a multi-national corporation, an ad agency, a broadcast or cable company, an e-commerce business, or a retailer with Internet-driven promotional strategies, you want a law firm that understands ... more

. Practice Group Overview

capable of running Vista Home Basic by marketing them as "Windows Vista Capable." The court also found the named plaintiffs could not represent purchasers who took part in "Express Upgrade," a Microsoft program giving consumers the right to free or low-priced upgrades to Windows Vista after it debuted, although it said plaintiffs could amend their complaint to add a named plaintiff who took part in that program.

back to top

Comcast To Draft File-Sharing Best Practices

Comcast has announced its intention to draw up a "Bill of Rights and Responsibilities" for file sharing.

The announcement follows on the heels of Comcast's statement last month that it would treat all types of Internet traffic equally. The shift in policy came in response to heavy public criticism after the Associated Press reported last fall that the cable company was blocking certain forms of file-sharing traffic. File sharing is the main means for illegal downloads of copyrighted works, but it is also developing as an inexpensive method for distributing legal video.

Comcast said it hopes to create a "best practices" protocol for Internet service providers to manage file-sharing traffic. The guidelines would also address what kinds of controls should be available to users of peer-to-peer file-sharing applications on their computers. P2P applications typically operate in the background, providing minimal information on what they are doing or how much capacity they are using.

Previously, Comcast announced that it is working with BitTorrent, another file-sharing company, on a mutually agreeable solution to managing P2P traffic.

The Federal Communications Commission is looking into consumer complaints about Comcast's practice of blocking file-sharing traffic. FCC Chairman Kevin Martin has said he is "pleased" the company is planning to stop hindering file-sharing traffic.

Comcast said it would test Pando Networks' file-sharing software on its network as a way to better handle P2P traffic. Pando's software allows transfers of big e-mail attachments between users and large video files from major media companies, such as TV networks. But file-sharing traffic places different burdens on cable ISPs such as Comcast, because neighboring users share data capacity on local lines.

back to top

Our Practice Group

. Practice Group Members

INFO & RESOURCES

- . Subscribe
- . Unsubscribe
- . Sarbanes-Oxley Act
- . Newsletter Disclaimer
- . Technical Support
- . Manatt.com

Danny Michael Renée **Andrews Barkow** Brissette 310.312.4206 212.790.4590 212.790.4620 Lauren Alan Kim S. Reiter **Brown Brunswick Brody** 212.790.4503 212.790.4518 310.312.4213 **Aydin** Gregory **Kerrie** S. A. Campbell Caginalp **Clarick** 202.585.6526 212.790.4610 212.790.4525 Christopher George **Elise** A. A. **Dang** Cole Cooke 202.585.6507 202.585.6524 212,790,4538 R. **Jeffrey** Jennifer Deitch **Bruce** Lavie **Dickson Edelstein** 212.790.4595 202.585.6522 212.790.4533 Gene Clayton **Tamar** R. **Feder Elerding** Friedman 310.312.4161 310.312.4158 714.338.2704 Linda William Seth A. A. M. Goldstein Gold Heberer 212.790.4544 310.312.4371 212.790.4566 Kenneth Susan **Angela** C. Μ. E. Hollander Hurdle Kaufman 212.790.4574 202.585.6532 650.812.1344 Christopher Charulata **Felix** Н. Т. В. Kent Koegel **Pagar** 212.790.4588 202.585.6563 310.312.4155 Lindsay Jill M. Kimo Pietrini Peluso Schoen 212.790.4570 310.312.4325 212.790.4504 **Brad Amy** Lauren **Terry** W. **Tang** Seiling Sheehan

212.790.4548

202.585.6533

Svetlana

Walker

Kathrin A. Wanner 310.312.4178

714.338.2706

Charles

Carly

Orman

Van

310.312.4234

202.585.6539

E. Washburn, Jr. 310.312.4372

Ivan Wasserman 202.585.6529

- Blockbuster Sued Over Facebook Ad Program
- Microsoft's "Vista Capable" Lawsuit Advances
- Comcast To Draft File-Sharing Best Practices

Court Affirms \$120 Million Fine Against Seasilver

The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit has affirmed a lower court ruling that orders makers of Seasilver dietary supplement to pay an avalanche fine of close to \$120 million for failing to comply with an earlier order.

The ruling requires Jason and Bela Berkes, Seasilver USA, Inc., and Americaloe, Inc. to pay nearly \$120 million under a 2004 settlement agreement with the Federal Trade Commission. The agreement prohibited the defendants from making false or misleading claims and included a \$120 million judgment that would be suspended if they paid a \$3 million fine within a specified period. After the defendants failed to comply with the payment terms, the district court granted the FTC's request to enforce the avalanche judgment in June 2006, and the defendants appealed to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

The FTC's initial 2002 investigation of Seasilver focused on its claims that the dietary supplement was clinically proven to treat or cure 650 diseases, including cancer and AIDS. The marketers also claimed that Seasilver caused rapid, substantial, and permanent weight loss without dieting. The agency alleged the claims were false and unsubstantiated. In 2003, the FTC and the Food and Drug Administration joined forces to seize \$5.3 million in Seasilver inventory.

back to top

Blockbuster Sued Over Facebook Ad Program

Blockbuster, the video retailer, is facing a class action lawsuit for its role in Facebook's controversial "social advertising" program.

The lawsuit by Cathryn Elaine Harris, a Texas resident, was filed on April 9 in federal court in Texas. The complaint alleges that Blockbuster, the video rental giant, violates federal law through its participation in Beacon, which shares rental history on "news feeds" of members of the popular online social networking site, unless a member opts out. The lawsuit, which is seeking class action status, asks for damages of \$2,500 per infringement. It does not name Facebook as a defendant.

The complaint states that Blockbuster shared Harris' movie rental history through Beacon, in violation of the 1988 Videotape Privacy Protection Act. The law was passed during the viciously contested nomination of judge Robert Bork to the U.S. Supreme Court in 1987, in the midst of which writer Michael Dolan went to a video store that Bork frequented and obtained a list of 146 videotapes his family had checked out.

Facebook launched the Beacon ad program in November. Privacy groups criticized the plan as violating the privacy of members whose buying history with certain ad partners would be revealed to their friends and families unless they opted out.

back to top

Microsoft's "Vista Capable" Lawsuit Advances

The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit has affirmed a lower court decision approving class action status to a lawsuit claiming that Microsoft unfairly profited by advertising computers as "Windows Vista Capable" although they couldn't run basic functions of the Vista Premium operating system.

The suit filed in February alleges that buyers were deceived because most purported "Vista Capable" PCs bought before the official retail launch in January 2007 lacked the hardware to run Vista Premium's memory-intensive media center and Aero interface.

A federal judge in Seattle granted class action status on the grounds that "common issues predominate. . . . These common issues . . . are whether Vista Home Basic, in truth, can fairly be called 'Vista' and whether Microsoft's 'Windows Vista Capable' marketing campaign inflated demand marketwide for 'Windows Vista Capable' PCs."

The court found plaintiffs could argue that Microsoft had artificially inflated demand – and prices – for computers only capable of running Vista Home Basic by marketing them as "Windows Vista Capable." The court also found the named plaintiffs could not represent purchasers who took part in "Express Upgrade," a Microsoft program giving consumers the right to free or low-priced upgrades to Windows Vista after it debuted, although it said plaintiffs could amend their complaint to add a named plaintiff who took part in that program.

back to top

Comcast To Draft File-Sharing Best Practices

Comcast has announced its intention to draw up a "Bill of Rights and Responsibilities" for file sharing.

The announcement follows on the heels of Comcast's statement last month that it would treat all types of Internet traffic equally. The shift in policy came in response to heavy public criticism after the Associated Press reported last fall that the cable company was blocking certain forms of file-sharing traffic. File sharing is the main means for illegal downloads of copyrighted works, but it is also developing as an inexpensive method for distributing legal video.

Comcast said it hopes to create a "best practices" protocol for Internet service providers to manage file-sharing traffic. The guidelines would also address what kinds of controls should be available to users of peer-to-peer file-sharing applications on their computers. P2P applications typically operate in the background, providing minimal information on what they are doing or how much capacity they are using.

Previously, Comcast announced that it is working with BitTorrent, another file-sharing company, on a mutually agreeable solution to managing P2P traffic.

The Federal Communications Commission is looking into consumer complaints about Comcast's practice of blocking file-sharing traffic. FCC Chairman Kevin Martin has said he is "pleased" the company is planning to stop hindering file-sharing traffic.

Comcast said it would test Pando Networks' file-sharing software on its network as a way to better handle P2P traffic. Pando's software allows transfers of big e-mail attachments between users and large video files from major media companies, such as TV networks. But file-sharing traffic places different burdens on cable ISPs such as Comcast, because neighboring users share data capacity on local lines.

back to top

212.790.4518

Our Practice Group

Danny Michael Renée **Andrews Barkow Brissette** 212.790.4620 310.312.4206 212.790.4590 Lauren Kim Alan Reiter S. M. **Brody Brown Brunswick**

 Aydin
 Kerrie
 Gregory

 S.
 Campbell
 A.

 Caginalp
 202.585.6526
 Clarick

 212.790.4610
 212.790.4525

212.790.4503

310.312.4213

 Christopher
 George
 Elise

 A.
 A.
 Dang

 Cole
 Cooke
 202.585.6524

 202.585.6524
 212.790.4538

 Jennifer
 R.
 Jeffrey

 Deitch
 Bruce
 S.

 Lavie
 Dickson
 Edelstein

 212.790.4595
 202.585.6522
 212.790.4533

 Gene
 Tamar
 Clayton

 R.
 Feder
 S.

 Elerding
 310.312.4161
 Friedman

 714.338.2704

 Seth
 Linda
 William

 A.
 A.
 M.

 Gold
 Goldstein
 Heberer

 310.312.4371
 212.790.4544
 212.790.4566

 Susan
 Angela
 Kenneth

 E.
 C.
 M.

 Hollander
 Hurdle
 Kaufman

 650.812.1344
 212.790.4574
 202.585.6532

 Felix
 Christopher
 Charulata

 H.
 T.
 B.

 Kent
 Koegel
 Pagar

 212.790.4588
 202.585.6563
 310.312.4155

 Kimo
 Jill M.
 Lindsay M.

 Peluso
 Pietrini
 Schoen

 212.790.4570
 310.312.4325
 212.790.4504

Brad Amy Lauren
Tang
W. Terry 714 339 2706

Document hosted at $JDSUPRA^{\circ}$ http://www.jdsupra.com/post/documentViewer.aspx?fid=f5af2464-301a-401e-b36a-944e3716d461Seiling Sneenan 310.312.4234 212.790.4548 Carly **Kathrin** Svetlana Van A. Walker Orman Wanner 202.585.6533 202.585.6539 310.312.4178

Charles

E. Ivan Washburn, Wasserman Jr. 202.585.6529

310.312.4372

ATTORNEY ADVERTISING pursuant to New York DR 2-101(f)
Albany | Los Angeles | New York | Orange County | Palo Alto | Sacramento | San Francisco | Washington, D.C.
© 2008 Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP. All rights reserved.