28

Verizon Northwest Inc., Verizon Maryland Inc., MCI, LLC, and MCI Communications Services, Inc., Cellco Partnership, Verizon Wireless (VAW) LLC, and Verizon Wireless Services LLC. See 11-17-06 Hr'g Tr. at 81-82.

the filing of answers or resolution of any preliminary injunction motions) should be stayed pending resolution of the *Hepting* appeal. As the Government and the Defendants have explained,^{2/} proceeding with any discovery would be at odds with the very notion of obtaining guidance from the Court of Appeals at the threshold regarding the appropriate scope and application of the state secrets privilege.

At this point, however, Verizon is not in a position to evaluate fully whether it intends to file a dispositive motion as to the claims against it, because the Plaintiffs have not yet submitted their master complaint against the Verizon defendants. Accordingly, Verizon expects to inform the Court and the parties of its position as to dispositive motions after the Plaintiffs file their master complaints.

1112

13

14

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Dated: December 22, 2006

WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP John A. Rogovin Randolph D. Moss Samir C. Jain Brian M. Boynton Benjamin C. Mizer

1516

By: /s/ Brian M. Boynton

17 18

Brian M. Boynton

1920

Attorneys for Verizon Communications Inc., Verizon Global Networks Inc., Verizon Northwest Inc., Verizon Maryland Inc., MCI, LLC, and MCI Communications Services, Inc., Cellco Partnership, Verizon Wireless (VAW) LLC, and Verizon Wireless Services LLC

2122

23

24

25

26

2728

² See Joint Case Management Statement at 43-46 (filed Nov. 7, 2006); Stay Motion at 17-22; 11-17-06 Hr'g Tr. at 27-29, 37, 57-65, 68.